



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

MARCH 6, 1997

TO: GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS, POSTING ON THE GISB HOME PAGE

FROM: RAE MCQUADE

RE: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION, STANDARD LANGUAGE, AND VOTING RESULTS FROM MARCH 5 AND 6, 1997

R96050 Modify the Upload of a Pre-Arranged Deal, Offer Download, and Invoicing Transactions to include a new data element - rate basis indicator

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Market Settlement Task Force reviewed Northern Border's request with modifications requested by Sponsor, Theresa Hess. Northern Border's rate is per 100 dekatherm miles for all services. For shorthauls and/or small quantities using a quantity per 100 dekatherm miles results in quantities less than 1 dekatherm. Technical recommended to the chairs of Market Settlement that we implement the above request by using a qualifier that was previously defaulted instead of adding a data element of Unit Price Basis Code, and the chairs agreed.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Proposed standard was reviewed by Jim Buccigross. Rates are based on Dekatherms per 100 miles for Northern Border and would require a rate case to alter the mechanism. Changes are needed to handle day-to-day business. With the capacity release program which has been in progress for several years, it was indicated that probably this item has not been raised before with the capacity release program, shippers using this rate basis on Northern Border are not using EDI currently. The only pipeline requiring this change known to the participants in the meeting is Northern Border. We have reviewed and declined a related request in previous Executive Committee meetings. A point was made that while the request asks to add a rate basis, there are no related quantity fields.

LANGUAGE & VOTE:

Add a measurement basis code that would equal 100 dekatherm miles
Add unit price code
Add unit of basis for measurement code

DOES NOT PASS. 6 votes in favor.

Prepared March 5 and 6, 1997



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96074

Modify the capacity release related transactions to add notice types

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Notices in the Capacity Release Related Standards book. The two types being requested are "Capacity Discount" and "Market Affiliates". These two Notice types would be used to better clarify the types of notices that can occur in the System-wide Notices transaction. The Market Initiation Task Force voted separately on the two requested notice types. The "Capacity Discount" code is a recommended addition, but is not required to be supported by all transportation service providers. The task force does not recommend the addition of the requested "Market Affiliates" Notice Type code at this time - EDM is in the process of making recommendations for this item, which is required to be available through the Internet.

System-Wide Notices

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Notice Type	M	1	Critical Period
		2	System or segment maintenance
		3	Curtailment
		4	System-wide or segment-wide operational flow order
		5	Force majeure
		6	Other
		74a	Capacity discount
		74b	Market affiliate report

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Jim Buccigross covered the document. The EDM reference is regarding the format of the information on the Internet, for system wide notices. The code does not create new requirements.

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE:

- (1) Add capacity discount and decline market affiliate.
REQUIRES NOTATIONAL VOTE. 13 in favor.
- (2) Add capacity discount
PASSES. 17 votes in favor.
- (3) Decline market affiliate report code value.
DOES NOT PASS. 10 votes in favor.
- (4) Add market affiliate report code.
DOES NOT PASS. 7 votes in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96075 Modify the capacity release related transactions to add an additional data element - indemnification clauses

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Request for addition of new data element "Indemnification Clause" to the Capacity Release Standards book. The data element would be used to provide the text that describes any and all indemnification provisions necessary when posting an offer. The data element is necessary to comply with Columbia's tariff and, at this time, can only be viewed using the EBB. Usage would be MA in the UPPD, C in the UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm, and SO in the Offer.

UPPD

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Indemnification Clause	A description specifying the releasing shipper's indemnification clause.	MA	

UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Indemnification Clause	A description specifying the releasing shipper's indemnification clause.	C	Mandatory when mutually agreed to in the UPPD and when provided in the UPPD to which this document pertains.

Offer

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Indemnification Clause	A description specifying the releasing shipper's indemnification clause.	SO	

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Jim Buccigross reviewed the request. After conversations, the motion was made to adopt the recommendation of the task force.

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE:

As stated above.

PASSES. 17 in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96076 Modify the upload of pre-arranged deals transaction to add new status codes

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Provide UPPD Status Codes in the UPPD Validation for non-biddable prearranged deals on Transportation Service Providers (TSP) that do not require replacement shipper confirmation and have not awarded the capacity.

UPPD Validation

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
UPPD Status	M	76a	Request passed edits, UPPD accepted, No Confirmation Required, Award Pending
		76b	Request passed edits, UPPD accepted (with Warnings), No confirmation Required, Award Pending

Values 1 through 9 with corresponding descriptions exist in the UPPD Validation dataset.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Jim Buccigross reviewed the recommendation.

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE:

As stated in the recommendation.

PASSES. 17 in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96082

Modify the capacity release offer review, award notice, upload of pre-arranged deals and upload of pre-arranged deals request to confirm transactions to add rate identification code and a conforming change to upload of pre-arranged deals surcharge identification codes

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Add an additional "surcharge identification code" of "capacity" to the Capacity Release Offer, Bid Review, Award Notice, UPPD, UPPD Validation and UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm transaction sets. The new code will be used by firm storage customers releasing capacity to identify the rate as a "capacity" rate.

Applicable Documents: Offer, Bid Review, Award Notice, UPPD, UPPD Validation, UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm

Offer

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Surcharge Identification Code	BC	DEM	Demand
		CTS	Contract Adjustment
		GSD	GSD Demand
		RCA	Reservation Charge Adjustment
		GRI	GRI Demand
		GRIL	GRI Demand - Low Load
		GRIH	GRI Demand - High Load
		GRL	GRI load factor low
		GRH	GRI load factor high
		RES	Reservation
		REV	Reservation volumetric
		REB	Reservation balancing
		ACA	Annual Charge Adjustment
		CAP	Capacity

Bid Review

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Surcharge Identification Code	BC	DEM	Demand
		CTS	Contract Adjustment
		GSD	GSD Demand
		RCA	Reservation Charge Adjustment
		GRI	GRI Demand
		GRIL	GRI Demand - Low Load
		GRIH	GRI Demand - High Load



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

		GRL	GRI load factor low
		GRH	GRI load factor high
		RES	Reservation
		REV	Reservation volumetric
		REB	Reservation balancing
		ACA	Annual Charge Adjustment
		CAP	Capacity

Award Notice

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Surcharge Identification Code	BC	DEM	Demand
		CTS	Contract Adjustment
		GSD	GSD Demand
		RCA	Reservation Charge Adjustment
		GRI	GRI Demand
		GRIL	GRI Demand - Low Load
		GRIH	GRI Demand - High Load
		GRL	GRI load factor low
		GRH	GRI load factor high
		RES	Reservation
		REV	Reservation volumetric
		REB	Reservation balancing
		ACA	Annual Charge Adjustment
		CAP	Capacity

Upload of Pre-arranged Deals (UPPD)

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Surcharge Identification Code	BC	DEM	Demand
		CTS	Contract Adjustment
		GSD	GSD Demand
		RCA	Reservation Charge Adjustment
		GRI	GRI Demand
		GRIL	GRI Demand - Low Load
		GRIH	GRI Demand - High Load
		GRL	GRI load factor low
		GRH	GRI load factor high
		RES	Reservation
		REV	Reservation volumetric



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

		REB	Reservation balancing
		ACA	Annual Charge Adjustment
		CAP	Capacity

UPPD Validation

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Surcharge Identification Code	BC	DEM	Demand
		CTS	Contract Adjustment
		GSD	GSD Demand
		RCA	Reservation Charge Adjustment
		GRI	GRI Demand
		GRIL	GRI Demand - Low Load
		GRIH	GRI Demand - High Load
		GRL	GRI load factor low
		GRH	GRI load factor high
		RES	Reservation
		REV	Reservation volumetric
		REB	Reservation balancing
		ACA	Annual Charge Adjustment
		CAP	Capacity

UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Surcharge Identification Code	BC	DEM	Demand
		CTS	Contract Adjustment
		GSD	GSD Demand
		RCA	Reservation Charge Adjustment
		GRI	GRI Demand
		GRIL	GRI Demand - Low Load
		GRIH	GRI Demand - High Load
		GRL	GRI load factor low
		GRH	GRI load factor high
		RES	Reservation
		REV	Reservation volumetric
		REB	Reservation balancing
		ACA	Annual Charge Adjustment
		CAP	Capacity



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Jim Buccigross reviewed the recommendation. Surcharge identification is more accurately described as rates. The additional codes are for rates for storage releases. They are separately biddable and separately charged. As such some recommended that the codes be moved to rate identification codes rather than surcharge identification codes. The task force chair concurred that the codes are more appropriately placed in the rate identification codes. The request and recommendation are amended.

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE:

As stated in the recommendation with the business name Surcharge Identification Code changed to Rate Identification Code.

PASSES. 17 in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96086

Modify the capacity release transactions to add surcharge identification codes

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Add an additional "surcharge identification code" of "MARQ" to support 1) Maximum Appalachian Receipt Quantity (MARQ) and 2) Capacity (for Storage) to the Capacity Release Offer, Bid Review, Award Notice, UPPD, UPPD Validation and UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm transaction sets. The new code will be used to differentiate MARQ quantities as opposed to MDQ quantities on offers.

Offer

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Surcharge Identification Code	BC	DEM	Demand
		CTS	Contract Adjustment
		GSD	GSD Demand
		RCA	Reservation Charge Adjustment
		GRI	GRI Demand
		GRIL	GRI Demand - Low Load
		GRIH	GRI Demand - High Load
		GRL	GRI load factor low
		GRH	GRI load factor high
		RES	Reservation
		REV	Reservation volumetric
		REB	Reservation balancing
		ACA	Annual Charge Adjustment
		MARQ	Maximum Appalachian Receipt Quantity

Bid Review

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Surcharge Identification Code	BC	DEM	Demand
		CTS	Contract Adjustment
		GSD	GSD Demand
		RCA	Reservation Charge Adjustment
		GRI	GRI Demand
		GRIL	GRI Demand - Low Load
		GRIH	GRI Demand - High Load
		GRL	GRI load factor low
		GRH	GRI load factor high
		RES	Reservation
		REV	Reservation volumetric

Prepared March 5 and 6, 1997



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

		REB	Reservation balancing
		ACA	Annual Charge Adjustment
		MARQ	Maximum Appalachian Receipt Quantity

Award Notice

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Surcharge Identification Code	BC	DEM	Demand
		CTS	Contract Adjustment
		GSD	GSD Demand
		RCA	Reservation Charge Adjustment
		GRI	GRI Demand
		GRIL	GRI Demand - Low Load
		GRIH	GRI Demand - High Load
		GRL	GRI load factor low
		GRH	GRI load factor high
		RES	Reservation
		REV	Reservation volumetric
		REB	Reservation balancing
		ACA	Annual Charge Adjustment
		MARQ	<i>Maximum Appalachian Receipt Quantity</i>

UPPD

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Surcharge Identification Code	BC	DEM	Demand
		CTS	Contract Adjustment
		GSD	GSD Demand
		RCA	Reservation Charge Adjustment
		GRI	GRI Demand
		GRIL	GRI Demand - Low Load
		GRIH	GRI Demand - High Load
		GRL	GRI load factor low
		GRH	GRI load factor high
		RES	Reservation
		REV	Reservation volumetric
		REB	Reservation balancing
		ACA	Annual Charge Adjustment
		MARQ	Maximum Appalachian Receipt Quantity



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

UPPD Validation

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Surcharge Identification Code	BC	DEM	Demand
		CTS	Contract Adjustment
		GSD	GSD Demand
		RCA	Reservation Charge Adjustment
		GRI	GRI Demand
		GRIL	GRI Demand - Low Load
		GRIH	GRI Demand - High Load
		GRL	GRI load factor low
		GRH	GRI load factor high
		RES	Reservation
		REV	Reservation volumetric
		REB	Reservation balancing
		ACA	Annual Charge Adjustment
		MARQ	Maximum Appalachian Receipt Quantity

UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Surcharge Identification Code	BC	DEM	Demand
		CTS	Contract Adjustment
		GSD	GSD Demand
		RCA	Reservation Charge Adjustment
		GRI	GRI Demand
		GRIL	GRI Demand - Low Load
		GRIH	GRI Demand - High Load
		GRL	GRI load factor low
		GRH	GRI load factor high
		RES	Reservation
		REV	Reservation volumetric
		REB	Reservation balancing
		ACA	Annual Charge Adjustment
		MARQ	Maximum Appalachian Receipt Quantity

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Some members noted that the codes would be more appropriately placed in the rate identification code instead of surcharge identifier. The requester noted that MRQV (Maximum Appalachian Receipt Quantity Volumetric Based) as well as MARQ (Maximum



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

Appalachian Receipt Quantity) should be added, but later determined that the business function could be achieved through one code, MARQ (Maximum Appalachian Receipt Quantity).

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE:

As stated in the recommendation, but to be added to Rate Identification Code rather than Surcharge Identification Code.

NOTATIONAL VOTE REQUIRED. 15 votes in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96088

Add new code values for Service Code to the Transportation / Sales Invoice

PROPOSED STANDARD:

The Market Settlement Task Force recommends approval of the four requested service codes. The four service codes are commonly used throughout the industry. The members of the Market Settlement Committee reviewed the request and agreed that these services codes are needed to provide customers adequate information about the services being invoice.

Data Element	Usage	Code Name	Code	Code Value Description
Service Code	M	Operational Balancing	OB	An agreement between the service provider and a service requester or between two interconnecting service providers used to establish rules for treatment of differences between actual and scheduled quantities.
		Imbalance Settlement	IB	An agreement under which a service requester would reconcile and settle an imbalance.
		Parking and Lending	PK	A service that provides a service requester flexibility in balancing their receipt and/or deliveries.
		No Notice Service Small Customers	SN	A delivery service that can be used to provide traditionally small service requesters a supply of gas without prior notice.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Some of these have been adopted as transaction codes. Should have conforming language between service code and transaction codes. "Park" and "Loan" versus "Parking and Lending". Some services may need to be billed that are not nominated -- so there is a differentiation. Amendment -- "Parking and LOANING"

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE:

As stated in the recommendation with the amendment of replacing "Parking and Lending" with "Parking and Loaning".

PASSES. 17 in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96089 Add Replacement Shipper Contract Number to UPPD and UPPD-Notify and Request to Confirm

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Add a data element to the UPPD and UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm documents called "Replacement Shipper Contract Number." Data element would be mutually agreeable (MA) in the UPPD document, conditional in the UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm document. This data element currently exists in the UPPD Final Disposition document.

Some Transportation Service Provider's tariffs allow replacement shipper's to "stack" capacity acquired on their existing contract. If this is the case, this data element in the UPPD and UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm, would allow this replacement shipper contract number to be specified. To allow for flexibility of implementation, the Market Initiation Task Force requests implementation at the detail level of these documents.

UPPD

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Replacement Shipper Contract Number	A unique identifier assigned by each transportation service provider identifying the replacement contract assigned to the replacement shipper for the awarded released capacity.	MA	

UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Replacement Shipper Contract Number	A unique identifier assigned by each transportation service provider identifying the replacement contract assigned to the replacement shipper for the awarded released capacity.	C	Mandatory when contained in the UPPD this document pertains to.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Jim Buccigross reviewed the recommendation from the task force. No questions from the Executive Committee.

VOTE:

As stated in the recommendation.

PASSES. 17 in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96090

Add a business conditional indicator to the UPPD and UPPD-Notify and Request to Confirm to allow releasing shippers to release their right to change points

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Add a data element to the UPPD, UPPD Validation, and Capacity Release Offer documents called "Change Points Indicator." Data element would be mutually agreeable (MA) in the UPPD document, conditional in the UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm document, and sender's option (SO) in the Capacity Release Offer document.

Some Transportation Service Provider's tariffs allow a releasing shipper to also release the right to the replacement shipper to change the gas transaction points of the release. This indicator would communicate in the UPPD, UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm, and Capacity Release Offer documents whether the right to change points is contained in the release or not.

UPPD

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Change Points Indicator	An indicator which tells whether the Releasing Shipper is releasing the right to the Replacement Shipper to change the specified gas transaction points of the release	MA	

UPPD Notify and Request to Confirm

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Change Points Indicator	An indicator which tells whether the Releasing Shipper is releasing the right to the Replacement Shipper to change the specified gas transaction points of the release	C	Mandatory when contained in the UPPD this document pertains to.

Capacity Release Offer

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Change Points Indicator	An indicator which tells whether the Releasing Shipper is releasing the right to the Replacement Shipper to change the specified gas transaction points of the release	SO	

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Recommendation was reviewed by Jim Buccigross. This is based on current tariff provisions of at least one transportation service provider. It is not currently an inherent right of the replacement shipper to change points, but is being considered in RM96-14 docket on capacity release. On Florida Gas, this is currently a right of the releasing shipper to release the rights to change points to the replacement shipper. Concerns were raised as a result of the specific rather than generic codes. Some noted that potentially a business practice should be defined such as when the replacement shipper returns capacity to the releasing shipper, the original primary points are reinstated. This indicator



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

may be more appropriately handled in special terms and conditions, as it is handled today. Others indicated that it is cumbersome to use the terms.

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE:

As stated in the recommendation.

NOTATIONAL VOTE REQUIRED.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96091 Add a Return Addressee company code to the Notes / Special Instructions document for UPPD purposes

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Add a conditional data element, Releaser Company Code, to 864 Notes documents associated with Upload of Pre-arranged Deals. This information, combined with the existing UPPD Number, will allow specific identification of Notes documents with the applicable UPPD document. The condition would be applicable to all Notes documents associated with a UPPD document.

Without this information, it could be difficult, and in some cases not possible, to coordinate Notes documents associated with Upload of Pre-arranged Deal's to the relevant UPPD document.

Note that this implementation recommendation is a change to the original request where Return Addressee was requested. Requester agreed with the modification to include Releaser Company Code rather than Return Addressee in the Notes documents.

Notes

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Releaser Company Code *	The legal entity which signed the contract with the transportation service provider	C	Mandatory when the Notes document is associated with a UPPD document

* Indicates Common Code

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Jim Buccigross covered the recommendation. The question was asked: "Why isn't this added to the other 864 documents?"

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE:

As stated in the recommendation.

PASSES. 17 in favor..



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96093

Modify the Notes/Special Instruction document to remove the Gas Transaction Point

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Request asked that Gas Transaction Point data element be removed from capacity release related Notes documents as a mandatory data element as in many instances it would not be applicable in this document.

Review of implementation documentation by the group determined that this was not a mandatory data element, but rather a Sender's Option data element, and as such did not present any problems. No change to current data dictionary is necessary.

Request was voluntarily withdrawn by the requester, no further action is needed.

No vote taken, request was withdrawn by the requester after explanation.

WITHDRAWN



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96094 Add the Surcharge Identification Code values from the UPPD to the UPPD - Validation document's Surcharge Identification Code element values

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Clarification and correction request only. The Surcharge Identification Tables were different in the "EDISIM" documents for the UPPD and UPPD Validation documents. They should be the same.

This correction was already noted by the Technical Task Force, and they have undertaken to correct the EDISIM documentation. Market Initiation Task Force agreed with the correction and the sense of the room was taken to validate this correction. No specific action is needed on this request, correction will be made pursuant to another request.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Already reflected in the 1/31/97 implementation guides. Request is WITHDRAWN.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96099

Modify the UPPD Validation to include location information for error conditions

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Reclassify the use of a current mandatory ANSI data element and add a data element to the UPPD Validation data dictionary. This mandatory data element, "UPPD Level Error/Warning ID" would be used in the UPPD Validation document to identify which level (PO1 loop) any errors or warnings were encountered in the original UPPD.

UPPD documents can contain multiple loops of data. This change would allow specific identification of detail errors and warnings in the validation document by returning the assigned PO1 line identification number(s) of the loop(s) containing errors or warnings. This data element is currently ANSI mandatory, this change would only mandate what information would be contained in the data element.

The request was modified by the requester for a November, 1997 implementation.

UPPD Validation

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
UPPD Level Error/Warning ID	Identifies the PO1 assigned line ID in the UPPD where any detail errors and/or warnings are being reported.	C	Mandatory when detail level error or warning codes are contained in the UPPD validation document

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Jim Buccigross reviewed the recommendation. On the timeline, the change is fairly substantial, and may not be able to be implemented for April, May and June 1997. The timeline is not considered part of the standard for vote by the Executive Committee. The standards are voluntary and will be implemented as possible. The November timeline date was removed from the request and recommendation by the requester during the Executive Committee meeting. The amended recommendation now does not reflect date.

The Technical Subcommittee offered another way to implement the request, which is to repeat back the value when errors or warnings exist -- as is already implemented in the PDA Quick Response, without adding another data element. Nominations transactions handle the error conditions as new data elements.

The requester noted that they prefer the original request minus the implementation date.

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE:

As stated in the recommendation with the amendment that the reference to the implementation date is removed.

PASSES. 17 in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96118 Add two code types of "Capacity Discount" and "Market Affiliates" to the Notice Type on the System-Wide Notice.

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Add two new Notice Type codes to the System-wide Notices in the Capacity Release Related Standards book. The two types being requested are "Capacity Discount" and "Market Affiliates". These two Notice types would be used to better clarify the types of notices that can occur in the System-wide Notices transaction.

This is a duplicate request of number R96074 which was acted on at the January 28, 1997 Market Initiation Task Force - Capacity Release meeting. No action need be taken on this request.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

As this request is a duplicate of R96074, no further action is necessary on this request and it should be terminated.

DUPLICATE, WITHDRAWN.

R96119 Add a new data element, Indemnification Clauses, to datasets in Capacity Release Standards.

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Request for addition of new data element "Indemnification Clause" to the Capacity Release Standards book. The data element would be used to provide the text that describes any and all indemnification provisions necessary when posting an offer. The data element is necessary to comply with Columbia's tariff and, at this time, can only be viewed using the EBB.

This is a duplicate request of number R96075 which was acted on at the January 28, 1997 Market Initiation Task Force - Capacity Release meeting. No action need be taken on this request.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

As this request is a duplicate of R96075, no further action is necessary on this request and it should be terminated.

DUPLICATE, WITHDRAWN.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96121 Add clarifying descriptions to 2 code values on the Charge Type on the Transportation/Sales Invoice.

PROPOSED STANDARD:

The Market Settlement Task Force recommends that no action is necessary on this request. Code descriptions are currently not included in the implementation guides.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE -- PROCEDURAL

The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

PASSES. 17 in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R96122 Add 2 new code values to Service Code on the Transportation/Sales Invoice.

PROPOSED STANDARD:

The Market Settlement Task Force recommends adding the two additional Service Codes. The transactions are significantly different from other services covered by the current list of Service Codes.

Data Element	Usage	Code Names	Codes	Code Value Description
Service Code	M	Transition Costs		A principal/interest type of service charge.
		Market Balancing Service		A type of Service designed to eliminate imbalances as deliveries are allocated on actual usage.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Wade Hopper described the recommendation. A general balancing service code may be more appropriate than market balancing service and operational balancing service. The review of these codes should be included in the review of codes to be undertaken by the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee as addressed in the response and Executive Committee action on R96121.

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE:

As stated in the recommendation.

REQUIRES NOTATIONAL VOTE. 16 in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R97004

Add 5 new Charge Type codes to the Transportation/Sales Invoice

PROPOSED STANDARD:

In August 1996, the Market Settlement Committee formed a special subgroup to review charge types, transaction types and service type codes. The sub-group presented a report with a series of recommendations in the October Market Settlement Committee meeting. One of the recommendations was to add a group of individual charge type codes to the Charge Type Codes Table of the Invoice Data Set. The five Charge Type Codes presented in this request were included in that recommendation. The Market Settlement Task Force recommends the approval of the five new charge type codes.

Sense of the Room Results: 15 support ; 0 Opposed - October 17, 1996 Meeting

Data Element	Usage	Code Names	Codes	Code Value Description
Charge Type	MA	Miscellaneous Charge		A charge that is used in unusual situations that cannot be anticipated by a Service Provider.
		Prepayment		A charge associated with prepayments connected to a service.
		Extended Delivery		A charge for transportation deliveries outside of the area included in the rights defined by the contract.
		Fuel Volumes Retained		A fuel volume reduction.
		Reservation/Demand with Surcharges		Demand/reservation charges inclusive of surcharges.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Wade Hopper covered the recommendation to add five new codes to charge type.

VOTE:

As stated in the recommendation.

REQUIRES NOTATIONAL VOTE. 16 in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R97020

Modify the shipper imbalance statement for use with all nomination model types

PROPOSED STANDARD:

In order to use this data set to accommodate both path nominations and unpathed nominations, the Market Settlement Task Force is asking that the data element "Model Type" be added to the data set. The usage code on other data elements in the data set will also have to be reviewed to determine which of the data element should have a usage code of conditional based on the new "Model Type" data element.

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Model Type	This field identifies the type of nomination structure being used. Types are: Pathed and Non-Pathed	M	

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Wade Hopper reviewed the recommendation. The Information Requirements Subcommittee has been asked to create a grid of elements and three model types (Pathed, Non-Pathed, and Pathed Non-threaded) with usage codes. The recommendation is incomplete and should be sent back to Information Requirements Subcommittee for a complete recommendation addressed all model types.

The Information Requirements Subcommittee is requested to formulate appropriate datasets for the Shipper Imbalance Statement and return to the Executive Committee with recommendations for same, including usage codes, definitions, and data elements the support the above standard, addressing all three model types.

STANDARDS LANGUAGE & VOTE: -- PROCEDURAL

PASSES. 15 in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R97021 Add 'Adjustment Type' code values to the Measurement Information Statement

PROPOSED STANDARD:

A data element - "Adjustment Type" is included on the Measurement Information Statement without any valid code values defined. This request is to update the Measurement Information Statement Implementation Guides with a table of defined codes appropriate for use. Three types of adjustments have been identified and codes need to be defined for these Adjustment Types. The adjustments are energy adjustments, quantity adjustments, and energy/quantity adjustments. The changes are needed to support the following standards:

2.3.11 For treatment of measurement prior period adjustments, treat the adjustment by taking it back to the production month. A meter adjustment becomes a prior period adjustment after the fifth business day following the business month.

2.3.12 For reporting measurement prior period adjustments, report it with the restated line item with new total quantity for the day and the month.

2.3.13 Estimate missing or late measurement data and treat actual as a prior period adjustment, with the measuring party to provide the estimate.

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Energy		*	Adjustment to measured quantity for Energy
Quantity		*	Volume adjustment to Measured Quantity
Energy/Quantity		*	Adjustment the measured amount for both Energy and quantity

* To be defined by the GISB Technical Task Force

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Wade Hopper reviewed the recommendation. Upon questions from the Executive Committee, Wade indicated that it is not time-critical item, and further time could be spent to clarify the recommendation. Energy/Quantity - adjustment that affects both measured quantity and energy.

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE -- PROCEDURAL

PASSES. 15 votes in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R97023

Further define GISB Standard 4.3.6 on formats for non-transactional data

PROPOSED STANDARD:

Standard 4.3.6 states that Notices, Affiliated Marketer Information, Unsubscribed Capacity, Index of Customers and Tariff's must be accessible via the World Wide Web. The Future Technology Task Force examined the best format for posting this non-transactional data. After much consideration the task force approved (22 to 1) the following proposal:

"The documents identified in GISB Standard 4.3.6 should be made available in HTML and/or RTF format."

The standard would allow the transportation service provider (TSP) to post non-transactional data in the most appropriate format. HTML and RTF would be easily read by anyone who requires this information.

Information posted in HTML format is easily readable by a Web Browser. Though the committee believes that HTML editors will be more readily available in the very near future, editor are limited today.

As HTML editors may not be easily available and converting large documents could be time consuming and expensive, RTF should also be an option. RTF is a format that is readable by most word processors.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

George Heal reviewed the recommendation. HTML is not appropriate for tariffs -- difficult to search for clauses. RTF, similarly, does not maintain footnotes, page numbering or any other formatting. Systems notices and similar types of documents are shorter and can be accommodated through HTML or RTF. Review of existing ANSI standards on documents were not reviewed other than those available for X.12.

"and/or" language is confusing and should be changed to "or".

With testing, the subcommittee determined that HTML was a better fit, but editors are not readily available.

The difficulty of the Executive Committee on this issue is not as a result of the recommendation of the task force. The problem is that the Executive Committee has not fully understood the issue.

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE:

"The documents identified in GISB Standard 4.3.6 should be made available in HTML or RTF format."

DOES NOT PASS.

Defer issue to Future Technology Task Force to review the five types of information as referenced in GISB Standard 4.3.6, and return a recommended format for each of those five items and further to review GISB standard 4.3.5 to see if amendments are necessary.

PASSES PROCEDURAL. 17 votes in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ACTIONS ON PROPOSED STANDARDS

March 5 and 6, 1997

R97024 Modify GISB Standard 4.3.1 to reflect the Electronic Delivery Mechanism standards adopted in September 1996.

PROPOSED STANDARD:

The Future Technology Task Force recommends that standard 4.3.1 be modified from:

By 4/1/97, all parties sending and receiving data should accept a TCP/IP using PPP connection...

to:

By 4/1/97, all parties sending and receiving data should accept a TCP/IP connection...

Later standards that further define the electronic deliver mechanisms make the use of "PPP" an incorrect. Removing this from the standard clarifies the standards but does not change the intent.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Presented by George Heal.

STANDARD LANGUAGE & VOTE

As stated in the recommendation.

PASSES. 17 in favor.



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925

HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002

PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, EMAIL - gisb@aol.com

Executive Committee Voting Record & Notational Votes Actions taken on March 5 & 6, 1997

Request	Producers				Pipelines					Services					End Users			LDCs					Statistics		
	BW	MP	TE	JH	MF	NG	NW	KK	MB	CL	CC	KS	NL	SM	RC	LS	ML	DB	BB	GB	MN	SS	Total	Seg	Pass
R96050	X		X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X			X	X		X	X		X	X	6	NO	NO
R96074-1	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	17	NO	NO
R96074-2	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	17	YES	YES
R96074-3	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X			✓	✓		X	X		X	X	10	NO	NO
R96074-4	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓			X	X		✓	✓		✓	✓	7	NO	NO
R96075	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	17	YES	YES
R96076	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	17	YES	YES
R96082	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	17	YES	YES
R96086	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	19	NO	NO
R96088	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	17	YES	YES
R96089	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	17	YES	YES
R96090	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	16	NO	NO
R96091	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	17	YES	YES
R96099	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	17	YES	YES
R96121	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				✓	✓		✓			✓	✓	15	PRO	YES
R96122	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	21	YES	YES
R96123	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	17	YES	YES
R97004	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	21	YES	YES
R97020	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				✓	✓		✓			✓	✓	15	PRO	YES
R97021	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	17	PRO	YES
R97023-1	✓		X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X				✓	✓		X	X		X	X	10	NO	NO
R97023-2	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	17	PRO	YES
R97024	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	17	YES	YES
Gas Day	X		A	X	A	A	✓	✓	✓	A	A	A			X	✓		✓			✓	✓	7	PRO	YES

Legend: ✓ - voted in favor, X - voted in opposition, A - was present for the vote but abstained, blank - was present for the meeting but was out of the room when the vote was taken.



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925

HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002

PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, EMAIL - gisb@aol.com

The 22 Executive Committee members voting and represented in the previous table of results are:

Producer Segment	BW	Bob Wallenhorst
	TE	Tom Ehinger
	JH	Jerry Hahn
	MP	Marty Patterson (Notationally)
Pipeline Segment	MF	Max Feldman
	NG	Nancy Gardiner
	NW	Norm Walker
	KK	Kathy Kirk
	MB	Mike Bray
Services Segment	CL	Cheryl Loewen
	CC	Carl Caldwell
	KS	Keith Sappenfield
	NL	Nancy Laird (Notationally)
	SM	Steve Meadows (Notationally)
End User Segment	RC	Rita Conway
	LS	Lee Smith
	ML	Mary Lynch (Notationally)
LDC Segment	DB	Darlene Buchholz
	BB	Bill Boswell
	MN	Mike Novak
	SS	Steve Shaiko
	GB	Gary Beland (Notationally)

Absent from the meeting and from submitting a notational vote were : Hugu Roberts of Marathon, Kay Kern of Southern California Edison, and Michael Mishkin of A.T. Kearney.

The requests that were withdrawn and as such do not have a vote recorded for them are:

R96118 - Withdrawn
R96119 - Withdrawn
R96093 - Withdrawn
R96094 - Withdrawn

On Gas Day - Paul Love sat in for Max Feldman and Joyce Phillips sat in for Kathy Kirk.