

NOTES
GISB STANDARDS LANGUAGE CONFERENCE CALL
10/3/97

ATTENDEES:

1.. Chuck Beaver	TransEnergy Management
2.. Joel Greene	Salt River Project
3.. Terry Fitch	Transco
4.. Kelly Daly	Arizona Public Service Company
5.. Bob Wallenhorst	Exxon
6.. Betty Barnum	PEPL
7.. Becky Cantrell	ECT
8.. Jim Buccigross	Transcapacity
9.. Greg Lander	National Registry
10.. Tom Ehinger	Amoco
11.. Rick Ishikawa	Socal
12.. Theresa Hess	Transwestern
13.. Sandra Barnett, Julie Dennis	Mobile Bay
14.. Randy Young	Koch
15.. Marian Hundt	Burlington Resources
16.. Jay Story	PG&E
17.. Laura Mosely	Florida Gas
18.. Joyce Phillips	TETCO
19.. Donna Scott	NNG

GENERAL:

Review of the Definition group's work product ("Draft 2" dated 9/24/97) – determine whether or not the underlying concept being covered in each item is to be included in the attempt to craft Standards:

- A) definition of "Confirmation Process":
include in Standards
- B) definition of "Confirmation Requester":
include in Standards
- C) definition of "Confirming Party":
include in Standards
- D) definition of "Confirmation Process":
include in Standards, but move to front of list before A so that subsequent definitions do not have to include parentheticals.
- E) Concept - Default for confirmations is Explicit and definition
Strike first sentence of current language to remove reference to Explicit default. Keep concept of defining Explicit Confirmation.
E1) remove
- F) Concept – outline of when confirmations are Exception and definition
Strike first sentence of current language to remove reference when to use Exception.
Keep concept of defining "Exception Confirmation".

Regarding the “one-hour” confirmation limit, the larger group has not come to a consensus on this issue, and needs to before Standards can be written. TOSS BACK TO LARGER GROUP. Group consensus reflects that the concept of a “take-exception to confirmation time interval” to be included.

- G) Delete from consideration from this committee (being handled under BPS)
- H) Stricken previously
- I) Stricken previously
- J) Contains redundant info – strike
- K) Contains redundant info – strike
- L) Delete from consideration from this committee (being handled under BPS)
- M) Delete from consideration from this committee (being handled under BPS)
- N) Delete from consideration from this committee (being handled under BPS)
- O) Delete from consideration from this committee (being handled under BPS)
- P) Redundant to existing to workpapers (concept I.e)
- Q) definition of “Standing Nom” (nomination not yet confirmed, which is NOT to say “not confirmed” in the sense of being rejected in the confirmation process – i.e. nom from 1-31 is standing for the 2nd –31st if today is the 1st.):

Include in standards = Y

Don't include in standards = N

1..	Chuck Beaver	Y	TransEnergy Management	Services
2..	Joel Greene	Y	Salt River Project	End User
3..	Terry Fitch	N	Transco	Pipeline
4..	Kelly Daly	Y	Arizona Public Service Company	End User
5..	Bob Wallenhorst	Y	Exxon	Producer
6..	Betty Barnum	N	PEPL	Pipeline
7..	Becky Cantrell	Y	ECT	Services
8..	Jim Buccigross	Y	Transcapacity	Services
9..	Greg Lander	Y	National Registry	Services
10..	Tom Ehinger	Y	Amoco	Producer
11..	Rick Ishikawa	N	Socal	LDC
12..	Theresa Hess	N	Transwestern	Pipeline
13..	Sandra Barnett	N	Mobile Bay	Pipeline
14..	Randy Young	N	Koch	Pipeline
15..	Marian Hundt	Y	Burlington Resources	Producer
16..	Jay Story	N	PGT	Pipeline
17..	Laura Mosely	N	Florida Gas	Pipeline
18..	Joyce Phillips	N	TETCO	Pipeline
19..	Donna Scott	N	NNG	Pipeline

TOTAL:

Y= 9

N = 10 (therefore do not include in Standards)

Segment:	End User	2 total	Y=2	N=0
	Pipeline	9 total	Y=0	N=9

Producer	3 total	Y=3	N=0
LDC	1 total	Y=0	N=1
Services	4 total	Y=4	N=0

A) definitons of:

R1) “unmatched nomination” changed to “no corresponding nomination” (meaning confirmation has been sought yet there is no corresponding nomination from the other side, with results pulled from the confirmation matrix):

Include in standards = Y

Don't include in standards = N

1..	Chuck Beaver	Y	TransEnergy Management	Services
2..	Joel Greene	Y	Salt River Project	End User
3..	Terry Fitch	A	Transco	Pipeline
4..	Kelly Daly	Y	Arizona Public Service Company	End User
5..	Bob Wallenhorst	Y	Exxon	Producer
6..	Betty Barnum	N	PEPL	Pipeline
7..	Becky Cantrell	A	ECT	Services
8..	Jim Buccigross	Y	Transcapacity	Services
9..	Greg Lander	Y	National Registry	Services
10..	Tom Ehinger	Y	Amoco	Producer
11..	Rick Ishikawa	N	Socal	LDC
12..	Theresa Hess	N	Transwestern	Pipeline
13..	Sandra Barnett	N	Mobile Bay	Pipeline
14..	Randy Young	N	Koch	Pipeline
15..	Marian Hundt	Y	Burlington Resources	Producer
16..	Jay Story	N	PGT	Pipeline
17..	Laura Mosely	N	Florida Gas	Pipeline
18..	Joyce Phillips	N	TETCO	Pipeline
19..	Donna Scott	N	NNG	Pipeline

TOTAL:

A=1

Y= 8

N = 9 (therefore do not include in Standards)

R2) “confirmed quantity” (accepted and confirmed nomination)

Include in standards = Y

Don't include in standards = N

1..	Chuck Beaver	Y	TransEnergy Management	Services
2..	Joel Greene	Y	Salt River Project	End User
3..	Terry Fitch	N	Transco	Pipeline
4..	Kelly Daly	Y	Arizona Public Service Company	End User
5..	Bob Wallenhorst	Y	Exxon	Producer
6..	Betty Barnum	N	PEPL	Pipeline
7..	Becky Cantrell	Y	ECT	Services
8..	Jim Buccigross	Y	Transcapacity	Services
9..	Greg Lander	Y	National Registry	Services
10..	Tom Ehinger	Y	Amoco	Producer
11..	Rick Ishikawa	N	Socal	LDC
12..	Theresa Hess	N	Transwestern	Pipeline
13..	Sandra Barnett	N	Mobile Bay	Pipeline
14..	Randy Young	N	Koch	Pipeline
15..	Marian Hundt	Y	Burlington Resources	Producer
16..	Jay Story	N	PGT	Pipeline
17..	Laura Mosely	N	Florida Gas	Pipeline
18..	Joyce Phillips	N	TETCO	Pipeline
19..	Donna Scott	N	NNG	Pipeline

TOTAL:

Y= 9

N = 10 (therefore do not include in Standards)

R3) “refused quantity”

Include in standards = Y

Don’t include in standards = N

GROUP CONCEDED THAT VOTES ON R3 THROUGH V WOULD BE IDENTICAL TO PREVIOUS VOTES:

Y= 9

N = 10 (therefore do not include in Standards)

A) definition of “Unfilled Quantity”:

Include in standards = Y

Don’t include in standards = N

GROUP CONCEDED THAT VOTES ON R3 THROUGH V WOULD BE IDENTICAL TO PREVIOUS VOTES:

Y= 9

N = 10 (therefore do not include in Standards)

B) definition of “Cut Quantity”:

Include in standards = Y

Don’t include in standards = N

GROUP CONCEDED THAT VOTES ON R3 THROUGH V WOULD BE IDENTICAL TO PREVIOUS VOTES:

Y= 9

N = 10 (therefore do not include in Standards)

C) definition of “Bumped Quantity”:

GROUP CONCEDED THAT VOTES ON R3 THROUGH V WOULD BE IDENTICAL TO PREVIOUS VOTES:

Y= 9

N = 10 (therefore do not include in Standards)

D) definition of “Shed Quantity”:

GROUP CONCEDED THAT VOTES ON R3 THROUGH V WOULD BE IDENTICAL TO PREVIOUS VOTES:

Y= 9

N = 10 (therefore do not include in Standards)

E) definition of “Batch”:

GROUP CONCEDED THAT VOTES ON R3 THROUGH V WOULD BE IDENTICAL TO PREVIOUS VOTES:

Y= 9

N = 10 (therefore do not include in Standards)

F) definition of “Continuous and Contiguous”:

GROUP CONCEDED THAT VOTES ON R3 THROUGH V WOULD BE IDENTICAL TO PREVIOUS VOTES:

Y= 9

N = 10 (therefore do not include in Standards)

NOTE: MINORITY PARTY (Greg) INDICATED INTENT TO RE-PROPOSE ITEMS Q through V TO LARGER GROUP.

G) Struck by author

SUMMARY

Proposals from Definition's group workpapers to include in Standards Draft Proposal:

- A) definition of "Confirmation Process"
- B) definition of "Confirmation Requester"
- C) definition of "Confirming Party"
- D) definition of "Confirmation Process"
- E) definition of "Explicit Confirmation"
- F) definition of "Confirmation by Exception".

Include the concept of defining a time limit to the "take-exception" process.

Remaining items through G through Y will not be included in the Standards Draft Proposal. (Z through the end of the list pending discussion in the next standards call).