

NOTES

Standards conference call  
9/26/97

ATTENDEES:

Chuck Beaver	TransEnergy
Diane McVickers	Salt River Project
Ken Shubert	TransCanada
Jim Buccigross	TransCapacity
Dale Davis	Williams Interstate Natural Gas System
Randy Young	Koch Gateway
Becky Cantrell	Enron
Tom Ehinger	Amoco
Mark Gracey	Tennessee
Terry Fitch	Transco
Betty Barnum	PEPL
Marian Hundt	Burlington Resources
Kelly Daly	Arizona Public Service
Richard Ishikawa	Socal
Mike Schissler	NGPL
Joyce Phillips	TETCO
Sandra Barnett	Mobile Bay
Donna Scott	Enron

GENERAL:

CONCEPT I.d

Names issues to guide the larger group in this decision:

- 1) Sequential labels are bad because of the inability to add interim sessions later
- 2) Time effective labels are bad because of the time zone/coastal issues
- 3) LATE is an issue because of certain pipeline tariff's.

PRESENT BACK TO LARGER GROUP

CONCEPT I.e group says include in standards

CONCEPT I.f wait until go through intent analysis, see what comes out of it

CONCEPT I.g consensus of group is to NOT craft a standard for this

CONCEPT II.i discussion about whether or not explicit will work in the real world for 6pm with confirmations occurring at 9pm (fairly late in the evening), as well as impact to shippers (Dianne McVickers - SRP). Discussion led group to think about adding language that said "explicit unless otherwise mutually agreed", where agreements to Confirmation by Exception are considered exceeding the standard. This then changes the intent as decided by the larger group, and invalidated the concept of explicit being used to encourage communication. It was decided to TOSS BACK TO LARGER GROUP for further and final discussion.

CONCEPT II.g add "or 9am"

CONCEPT II.h strike altogether

CONCEPT II.j add consideration for case where if bump and bump is to occur at 5pm (copy language from V.g at end). ADD LANGUAGE

CONCEPT IV.g, V.f Debate occurs on the merits here, so toss back also for RE-DISCUSSION in LARGER GROUP.

CONCEPT IV.h, V.g add “but do not match”

CONCEPT VI.a Group decided not to include this in standards, as it is included in P.2 in concept.

CONCEPT VI.c include as at least a principle.

LANGUAGE:

1.2.7 is seen to be redundant now in light of 1.2.4 (which neatly names 6pm as an intraday nom for rollover considerations) – RECOMMEND STRIKE 1.2.7, unanimous by group (one abstention). The naming of cycles which this used to do is now covered in proposed standards 1.3.2.ii,iii,iv, so there’s not much functionality left for 1.2.7.