

FINAL ISSUES LIST FOR DISCUSSION WITH LARGER GROUP

8/5/97

CONCLUSIONS TO PRESENT TO LARGER GROUP:

- 1) All Standards modifications as seen in STANDARDS GROUP PROPOSAL (Draft 5), including recommended strikes and new language (noting those items tagged for larger group discussion).
- 2) Approach to new standards should be: Keep existing standards and modify, add or delete from them as necessary to portray the compromise model concepts. Do not delete current intraday standards en masse in favor of a giant new one. (Chair issues A & B)
- 3) TSP Bumping election proposal - TSP's make election in compliance filings. This concept should be explicitly covered in Standards language (see 1.3.2.i) (Chair issue G).

ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED BY LARGER GROUP:

- 1) "Impact to Capacity Release" - this is still unresolved regarding the timeline and other issues. (Chair issue C).
- 2) "Should all intraday's processed outside the timeline be done on a no-bump basis" - the issue here is whether or not such a decision would be considered a policy decision (of the kind we've been trying to avoid making) or a needed clarification similar to the constraints already imposed on bumping in the compromise model. (Chair issue D)
Regarding the non-grid bumping, we would like to see an attempt to capture the concept of not allowing non-grid bumps to affect the grid (by virtue of the lesser of rule). Although to be addressed by the CONFIRMATIONS group, we want to emphasize the need to address this concept
- 3) Date Range versus Rollover concept - decision between the two methods lies in the larger group, including a discussion of the merits. (Chair issue E).

Regarding the date range/rollover issue, we can propose the Date Range model, HOWEVER the Standards group did not come to a consensus on which approach to take.

This also becomes a systems issue; how is the nomination handled in each TSP.

Also, there is a need to not waffle back and forth on this issue, as it costs \$\$\$ each time.

The strikes at 1.3.5 and 1.3.13 were based on the assumption that "rollover" was resolved in favor of date range. If this is not true, these strikes must be reconsidered

Also, depending if date range is chosen over rollover, 1.3.13 can be seen to conflict with 1.3.5.

- 4) Batch Process handling; Simultaneous vs. Time Stamp - the issue here is whether or not a mandate either way would represent a policy decision similar to the one being avoided about bumping (it refers to character of service), or if it would a fundamental necessity to standardization. (Chair issue F)
ALSO; service versus processing concept should be fleshed out (Shelly Corman)