

NOTES FOR STANDARDS CONFERENCE CALL

Thursday 7/31/97

ATTENDEES:

Janice Devers	Algonquin	
John Coppens	Trunkline	
Julie Dennis	Koch Gateway	
Catherine Calpotura	PG&E	
Mark Sheel	NGC Natural Gas Clearinghouse	
Mike Wells	PEPL	
Rick Ishikawa	Socal Gas	
Mark Gracey	Tennessee	
Jean Ford	KN Energy	jean_ford@kne.com
Donna Scott	ECT	
Joel Greene	SRP	

GENERAL:

with respect to issues, we should only put language to those issues that we have consensus on. If we have suggestions for resolution on outstanding issues, we can suggest these items but

Issues list:

A) and B)

How to approach new standards, trash existing or keep and change old ones:

Janice - change existing

John Coppens - change existing, but don't add too many

Julie Dennis - change existing, minimize adding

Catherine - change existing

Mark - change existing

Mike - change as existing

Rick - change existing, allows opportunity for more flexibility as implement

Mark Gracey - thought what we did would be considered as a package, regardless of whether it affects several standards or just one. Change existing

Jean Ford - change existing

Donna Scott - change existing

Joel Greene - change existing

C) Impact to Capacity release: issue to be discussed in larger group with respect to timeline. Needs to be resolved in larger group first before we craft language.

D) Should all intradays processed outside the timeline be processed on a no-bump basis: no, handled by individual TSP tariff (concept I.a). Our model does not contemplate further restrictions/instructions outside those shown on the compromise model. Covered by the concept "GISB takes no position....".

E) Rollover issue: larger group still needs to decide on the general approach to this issue, but we can propose our date range solution to the larger group. The date range idea creates administrative complexity for the TSP regarding the nomination processing.

F) Batch process: Simultaneous vs time stamp

Those batching pipelines present think this issue is out of scope of GISB (similar to bumping policies), and is handled in the TSP tariff's. The larger group needs to discuss this.

There is also the thought that uniform handling of this process would be the logical pursuit of a standards group.

How does continuous interface with batch? Possibilities:

- hold grid-bound noms until sync time; still process on-system gas continuously

G) TSP Bumping election proposal: TSP's makes elections in compliance filings.

This is a vital component of the compromise model and should be included explicitly in the standards.
(see discussion below)

STANDARDS LANGUAGE DISCUSSION:

Bumping opportunities:

We are having difficulty clearly representing three issues:

- 1) GISB takes no position on whether a pipeline bumps or not
- 2) Pipeline's must choose at least one of the intraday bumping cycles, in accordance with 3) below
- 3) When the pipelines bump shall be determined by TSP and its customer's and, as necessary, through regulatory filings.

Asked for comments and suggestions on language we can put in the Standards for these concepts from the group.

A version will be inserted (as a suggestion) under 1.3.2 on DRAFT 4 and distributed to the group.

GENERAL:

Verify when group product is due to larger group for review prior to August 12th meeting. Could be as early as August 5th.

Next Conference call is August 5th, Tuesday 1-3pm. If product not due until August 8th, there will also be a conference call on August 7th.