

From: George Behr [GBehr@EnergyServicesGroup.net]

Sent: Friday, April 16, 2004 9:51 AM

To: Alston, Rick; Meghan McMillan (E-mail)

Cc: Andria Yelverton (E-mail); Annunciata Marino (E-mail); Barb Fatina (E-mail); Barbara Alexander (E-mail); Barbara Wise (E-mail); Bill Barkas (E-mail); Bill Newbold (E-mail); Blake Gross (E-mail); Brenda Shaw (E-mail); Carol Waterbury (E-mail); Christopher Burden (E-mail); Dale Davis (E-mail); Dan Jones (E-mail); Dan Rothfuss (E-mail); Derek Mauzy (E-mail); Dorman Davis (E-mail); Eric Wilen (E-mail); Gail Higashi (E-mail); George Behr; Jean Mason (E-mail); Jim Minneman (E-mail); Judy Ray (E-mail); Kathleen Yetman (E-mail); Ken Thiry (E-mail); Les Nishida (E-mail); Lisa Robert (E-mail); Marcy McCain (E-mail); Mary Edwards (E-mail); Michael Garrett (E-mail); Mike Coyle (E-mail); Patrick Eynon (E-mail); Rick Zollars (E-mail); Ruth Kiselewich (E-mail); Tan Adams (E-mail); Tom Kilgore (E-mail); Tom Ringenbach (E-mail); Tom Rone (E-mail); William J. Wolf (E-mail); Yvette Camp (E-mail)

Subject: SPT input for cons bill ready

Rick,

Here's my annotations for the Consolidated bill ready sample paper transaction. Summary:

- Remove #2: Cust info is kept by bill party
- #3 and 4: We have both bill party acct num and SDID. Most markets use either/or as unique ID. I suggest we change the name of that data element to reflect that (how about 'market account identifier')
- remove #6: Serv addr is maintained by bill party
- #7: Disco prev addr is only used in supplier cons bill ready. need that in notes somewhere
- Remove #8, 9, 22, 39, 40: Budg bill (BB) and payment plan (PP) are not explicitly supported in this transaction. Basically in bill ready, each party maintains that information in their systems. The non-billing party's charges should reflect net result of BB/PP, and my have a charge with that annotation. That's how it works today in all bill-ready markets. To change that would be very complex (we did consider changing in PA and aborted)
- Remove #25 thru 33: No balance, BB or PP info passed in Cons BR invoice
- Remove #41, 42, 43, 44: Late pay and Misc charges are simply another type of charge. no need for add'l data elements
- #47: Consider moving Taxes outside of charges, since they are usually calculated on sum of charges
- Remove #51: Bill party maintains 12 month history for mass market bill print. HU data is exchanged via usage transaction.

G

-----Original Message-----

From: Alston, Rick [mailto:RALston@odec.com]

Sent: Friday, April 16, 2004 7:20 AM

To: Meghan McMillan (E-mail)

Cc: Andria Yelverton (E-mail); Annunciata Marino (E-mail); Barb Fatina (E-mail); Barbara Alexander (E-mail); Barbara Wise (E-mail); Bill Barkas (E-mail); Bill Newbold (E-mail); Blake Gross (E-mail); Brenda Shaw (E-mail); Carol Waterbury (E-mail); Christopher Burden (E-mail); Dale Davis (E-mail); Dan Jones (E-mail); Dan Rothfuss (E-mail); Derek Mauzy (E-mail); Dorman Davis (E-mail); Eric Wilen (E-mail); Gail Higashi (E-mail); George Behr (E-mail); Jean Mason (E-mail); Jim Minneman (E-mail); Judy Ray (E-mail); Kathleen Yetman (E-mail); Ken Thiry (E-mail); Les Nishida (E-mail); Lisa Robert (E-mail); Marcy McCain (E-mail); Mary Edwards (E-mail); Michael Garrett (E-mail); Mike Coyle (E-mail); Patrick Eynon (E-mail); Rick Zollars (E-mail); Ruth Kiselewich (E-mail); Tan Adams (E-mail); Tom Kilgore (E-mail); Tom Ringenbach (E-mail); Tom Rone (E-mail); William J. Wolf (E-mail); Yvette Camp (E-mail)

Subject: Workpapers from 4/13/04 CPS conference call-delivery #1