

From: Alston, Rick

Sent: Friday, April 16, 2004 2:33 PM

To: 'George Behr'

Cc: Andria Yelverton (E-mail); Annunciata Marino (E-mail); Barb Fatina (E-mail); Barbara Alexander (E-mail); Barbara Wise (E-mail); Bill Barkas (E-mail); Bill Newbold (E-mail); Blake Gross (E-mail); Brenda Shaw (E-mail); Carol Waterbury (E-mail); Christopher Burden (E-mail); Dale Davis (E-mail); Dan Jones (E-mail); Dan Rothfuss (E-mail); Derek Mauzy (E-mail); Dorman Davis (E-mail); Eric Wilen (E-mail); Gail Higashi (E-mail); George Behr (E-mail); Jean Mason (E-mail); Jim Minneman (E-mail); Judy Ray (E-mail); Kathleen Yetman (E-mail); Ken Thiry (E-mail); Les Nishida (E-mail); Lisa Robert (E-mail); Marcy McCain (E-mail); Mary Edwards (E-mail); Michael Garrett (E-mail); Mike Coyle (E-mail); Patrick Eynon (E-mail); Rick Zollars (E-mail); Ruth Kiselewich (E-mail); Tan Adams (E-mail); Tom Kilgore (E-mail); Tom Ringenbach (E-mail); Tom Rone (E-mail); William J. Wolf (E-mail); Yvette Camp (E-mail)

Subject: RE: SPT input for cons rate ready

- #3 and 4: We have both bill party acct num and SDID. Most markets use either/or as unique ID. I suggest we change the name of that data element to reflect that (how about 'market account identifier'). OK (see Bill Ready answer)
- Usage: there will be two flavors of rate ready: one with usage, one without. for one without, we need to remove #40 thru 56. The SPT is a checklist of items to communicate to the Non-Billing Party, so I think it should stay. However, in the Data Dictionary, we would codify its usage as NU for the without usage column.
- Remove 61-77, as each of these types of charges (offpeak, peak, shoulder, late, misc, KWH, KVA, KW) become charges, each with its own charge line ite (#57, 58, 59, 60, 78, 79). In the Data Dictionary, we could list it as a code value on a new element such as Current Charge Type where all the SAC codes that codify the types of charges would reside. If we do that, then all charges would have a code value instead of its own descriptive data element. The SPT is a checklist of items to communicate to the Non-Billing Party, so I think it should stay.
- Meter # should be in 'usage' grouping. OK
- Remove #96. No need to send 12 mos usage to non-billing party (has via HU and enrollment, history of prev invoices. CPS will likely agree with you.
- #80: move Taxes outside of charges, since they are usually calculated on sum of charges. The SPT is a checklist of items to communicate to the Billing Party and it has no structure other than that of a listing, therefore, taxes are generically a Charge.

Tuesday?

Rick

-----Original Message-----

From: George Behr [mailto:GBehr@EnergyServicesGroup.net]

Sent: Friday, April 16, 2004 10:07 AM

To: Alston, Rick; 'Meghan McMillan (E-mail)'

Cc: 'Andria Yelverton (E-mail)'; 'Annunciata Marino (E-mail)'; 'Barb Fatina (E-mail)'; 'Barbara Alexander (E-mail)'; 'Barbara Wise (E-mail)'; 'Bill Barkas (E-mail)'; 'Bill Newbold (E-mail)'; 'Blake Gross (E-mail)'; 'Brenda Shaw (E-mail)'; 'Carol Waterbury (E-mail)'; 'Christopher Burden (E-mail)'; 'Dale Davis (E-mail)'; 'Dan Jones (E-mail)'; 'Dan Rothfuss (E-mail)'; 'Derek Mauzy (E-mail)'; 'Dorman Davis (E-mail)'; 'Eric Wilen (E-mail)'; 'Gail Higashi (E-mail)'; 'George Behr'; 'Jean Mason (E-mail)'; 'Jim Minneman (E-mail)'; 'Judy Ray (E-mail)'; 'Kathleen Yetman (E-mail)'; 'Ken Thiry (E-mail)'; 'Les Nishida (E-mail)'; 'Lisa Robert (E-mail)'; 'Marcy McCain (E-mail)'; 'Mary Edwards (E-mail)'; 'Michael Garrett (E-mail)'; 'Mike Coyle (E-mail)'; 'Patrick Eynon (E-mail)'; 'Rick Zollars (E-mail)'; 'Ruth Kiselewich (E-mail)'; 'Tan Adams (E-mail)'; 'Tom Kilgore (E-mail)'; 'Tom Ringenbach (E-mail)'; 'Tom Rone (E-mail)'; 'William J. Wolf (E-mail)'; 'Yvette Camp (E-mail)'

Subject: SPT input for cons rate ready

Rick,

Here's my notes for the Consolidated bill ready sample paper transaction:

- #3 and 4: We have both bill party acct num and SDID. Most markets use either/or as unique ID. I suggest we change the name of that data element to reflect that (how about 'market account identifier')
- Usage: there will be two flavors of rate ready: one with usage, one without. for one without, we need to remove #40 thru 56
- Remove 61-77, as each of these types of charges (offpeak, peak, shoulder, late, misc, KWH, KVA, KW) become charges, each with its own charge line ite (#57, 58, 59, 60, 78, 79).
- Meter # should be in 'usage' grouping
- Remove #96. No need to send 12 mos usage to non-billing party (has via HU and enrollment, history of prev invoices
- #80: move Taxes outside of charges, since they are usually calculated on sum of charges

G

-----Original Message-----

From: Alston, Rick [mailto:RALston@odec.com]

Sent: Friday, April 16, 2004 7:20 AM

To: Meghan McMillan (E-mail)

Cc: Andria Yelverton (E-mail); Annunciata Marino (E-mail); Barb Fatina (E-mail); Barbara Alexander (E-mail); Barbara Wise (E-mail); Bill Barkas (E-mail); Bill Newbold (E-mail); Blake Gross (E-mail); Brenda Shaw (E-mail); Carol Waterbury (E-mail); Christopher Burden (E-mail); Dale Davis (E-mail); Dan Jones (E-mail); Dan Rothfuss (E-mail); Derek Mauzy (E-mail); Dorman Davis (E-mail); Eric Wilen (E-mail); Gail Higashi (E-mail); George Behr (E-mail); Jean Mason (E-mail); Jim Minneman (E-mail); Judy Ray (E-mail); Kathleen Yetman (E-mail); Ken Thiry (E-mail); Les Nishida (E-mail); Lisa Robert (E-mail); Marcy McCain (E-mail); Mary Edwards (E-mail); Michael Garrett (E-mail); Mike Coyle (E-mail); Patrick Eynon (E-mail); Rick Zollars (E-mail); Ruth Kiselewich (E-mail); Tan Adams (E-mail); Tom Kilgore (E-mail); Tom Ringenbach (E-mail); Tom Rone (E-mail); William J. Wolf (E-mail); Yvette Camp (E-mail)

Subject: Workpapers from 4/13/04 CPS conference call-delivery #1