

## NAESB REQ TEIS

### Open Issues List

March 03, 2003

Issue#	Date Raised	Description	Status	Comments
001	2/12/2003	<p><b>Common Code Identifier (CCI):</b>                      The NAESB WGQ standards identified a 9 digit number for a CCI. Other groups have implemented standards that allow a larger number of characters in the CCI. Should the specification allow for a larger number of characters, and if so, how many? Is this issue one that is appropriate for the TEIS group to address?</p>	Open	Reference Principles/Standards 0.1.1 0.1.2 0.3.1  The solution also affects examples in the EDM Manual.  Jesse Cline to fact find
002	2/12/2003	<p><b>gisb-acknowledgement-receipt:</b> The format of the acknowledgement is proposed to include a time-zone. This format change requires the creation of a new "named" value.</p>	Open	Dick Brooks to fact find
003	2/12/2003	<p><b>transaction-set string length:</b>                      The character length was defined by the NAESB WGQ standards for abbreviating transaction sets. NAESB REQ has not yet identified transaction sets. Upon the creation of a standard naming convention for NAESB REQ transaction sets, the transaction-set string length will be determined.</p>	Hold	TBD
004	2/12/2003	<p><b>HTTP Head Method:</b> Awaiting NAESB WGQ interpretation on the use of HTTP HEAD method and the use of the POST method.</p>	Hold	See 03001. Pending the results of another subcommittee. Have not completed review of document to determine all of the areas impacted by the pending decision.
005	2/12/2003	<p><b>AS2:</b> NAESB Standards no longer included in AS2.</p>	Open	Dick Brooks to fact find

Issue#	Date Raised	Description	Status	Comments
006	2/12/2003	<b>Multi-part Post:</b> Update examples to include one with a multi-part post.	Open	TBD
007	2/12/2003	<b>Reference to Standard:</b> Ultimately, the NAESB REQ will adopt new standards and the numbers in the numbering scheme will change.	Hold	TBD
008	2/12/2003	<b>Trading Partner Agreement:</b> The NAESB REQ has not adopted a standard TPA.	Hold	SUIS is working on this issue. Issue combined with OPEN ISSUE 024  Jesse Cline to fact find
009	2/13/2003	<b>Appendices:</b> The letters corresponding to the Appendices may change based on the Appendices required in the REQ EDM Manual.	Hold	TBD
010	2/13/2003	<b>timestamp:</b> What is the effect of daylight savings time on the timestamp? Does the use of daylight savings time affect timestamps across time zones?	Open	A standard calculation to determine the delta between the client and server needs to be created.  Issue also includes the formatting of the timestamp in example code.  George Behr to fact find
011	2/13/2003	<b>PGP/OpenPGP:</b> What descriptive text is allowed to identify PGP keys? Should the descriptive text be limited in some way? The WGQ EDM manual originally read that a "human-readable" company name be returned, but in actuality, companies use DUNS numbers company names, and dates.	Open	Participants are asked to check into this issue with their respective companies. There was no preference for making or not making a standard during the 2/13 meeting.  Dick Brooks to fact find

Issue#	Date Raised	Description	Status	Comments
012	2/13/2003	<b>UserName/PWD use at Clearinghouse level:</b> A clearinghouse has the ability to represent many trading partners in a transaction. Clearinghouses are requesting a single username and password for website access. Guidelines indicate that each trading partner be assigned their own password at each website.	Open	Participants are asked to check into this issue with their respective companies. The concern is that a single username and password will provide access for multiple trading partners at a web site.  No additional information needed.
013	2/13/2003	<b>Testing:</b> How will the code examples to be published in the REQ EDM Manual be tested prior to publication?	Hold	All code samples are untested at this time.  Dick Brooks to fact find
014	2/13/2003	<b>Error Notification:</b> TEIS must develop its own error notification type to replace gisb-error-notification	Hold	There is an omission in the NAESB WGQ EDM manual. Report type "gisb-error-notification" is not specifically defined, but is used in the examples.  TBD
015	2/13/2003	<b>Checklist of Testing Steps:</b> A testing checklist is being developed by Debbie McKeever. This section, "Checklist of Testing Steps" was not reviewed in lieu of the work being done by Debbie on "Standardizing Market Testing"	Open	Question: Should testing be mandatory.  Jesse Cline to fact find
016	2/13/2003	<b>EEDM109:</b> What is the purpose of the error message? What does the "parameter string" reference.	Open	Check with WGQ EDM
017	2/13/2003	<b>EEDM701, EEDM702, EEDM703:</b> Recommendation to talk to WGQ EDM to determine the purpose of the error messages.	Open	Check with WGQ EDM
018	2/14/2003	<b>HTML:</b> In Appendix A, what is the minimum version of HTML that can be used for NAESB REQ standards.	Open	Check with WGQ EDM

<b>Issue#</b>	<b>Date Raised</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
019	3/3/2003	<b>XML:</b> XML has been agreed to as a valid payload option. The definitions need to be added to the manual.	Open	TBD
020	3/3/2003	<b>TCP Ports:</b> Participants are asked to review available ports within their own organizations to determine if there are any issues.	Open	
021	3/3/2003	<b>D&amp;B:</b> Should a separate link to D&B be added for REQ business entities on the NAESB site?	Open	Ask REQ EC to follow-up.
022	3/3/2003	<b>Party Roles:</b> The roles should be identified in another document (business process or glossary). A reference to this other document needs to be placed in the manual.	Open	TBD
023	3/3/2003	<b>ANSI/DISA:</b> Is NAESB going to retain a single membership in ANSI/DISA or will each of the quadrants have separate memberships?	Open	Ask REQ EC to follow-up.
024	3/3/2003	<b>Trading Partner:</b> Some exchange of technical information and/or legal document will need to occur.	Closed	Added to OPEN ISSUE 008
025	3/3/2003	<b>Datasets:</b> Corresponding datasets for Usages and Enrollments need to be created.	Open	Will be cleaned out next meeting.
026	3/4/2003	<b>REQ EDM Overview:</b> Role of REQ EDM in NAESB	Open	The location in the EDM manual of this introduction needs to be discussed.

<b>Issue#</b>	<b>Date Raised</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
027	3/4/2003	<b>Use of other EDM's.</b> Retail electric marketplaces such as DE, MD, NJ, NY, PA, and TX have used the EDM-WGQ with some extensions to support retail e-business since 1999. In 2002, the Ontario marketplace implemented the ebXML Messaging EDM standard. Several market participants of the MA marketplace have recommended use of the EDIINT AS2 UCC Profile EDM standard.	Open	
028	3/4/2003	<b>EDM-REQ Version Number:</b> What is the version number?	Open	
029	3/4/2003	<b>Exclusions from NAESB</b>	Open	
030	3/4/2003	<b>Use of REQ names:</b> EDM-REQ, REQ-EDM, REQ, NAESB, etc.	Open	
031	3/4/2003	<b>X.4.Z Standard for data sets:</b> What should it be? XML?	Open	
032	3/4/2003	<b>REQ Glossary:</b> Create a separate document. Include roles, 4.2.XX	Open	
033	3/4/2003	<b>Data/Audit Retention Period:</b> Section 4.3.4 is policy. Where should it be?	Open	
034	3/4/2003	<b>Error Code Lists/Downloads:</b> 4.3.53.	Open	Address with WGQ EDM
035	3/4/2003	Business Process committees need to address issues including: Premise (4.3.56)	Open	
036	4/15/2003	We do not have a defined document (similar to a TPA) specifically for Technical Departments to exchange technical information required to setup connectivity.	Open	