

NAESB REQ TEIS

Open Issues List

March 03, 2003

| Issue# | Date Raised | Description | Status | Comments |
|--------|-------------|--|--------|---|
| 001 | 2/12/2003 | <p>Common Code Identifier (CCI): The NAESB WGQ standards identified a 9 digit number for a CCI. Other groups have implemented standards that allow a larger number of characters in the CCI. Should the specification allow for a larger number of characters, and if so, how many? Is this issue one that is appropriate for the TEIS group to address?</p> | Open | Reference Principles/Standards 0.1.1 0.1.2 0.3.1 The solution also affects examples in the EDM Manual. Jesse Cline to fact find |
| 002 | 2/12/2003 | <p>gisb-acknowledgement-receipt: The format of the acknowledgement is proposed to include a time-zone. This format change requires the creation of a new "named" value.</p> | Open | Dick Brooks to fact find |
| 003 | 2/12/2003 | <p>transaction-set string length: The character length was defined by the NAESB WGQ standards for abbreviating transaction sets. NAESB REQ has not yet identified transaction sets. Upon the creation of a standard naming convention for NAESB REQ transaction sets, the transaction-set string length will be determined.</p> | Hold | TBD |
| 004 | 2/12/2003 | <p>HTTP Head Method: Awaiting NAESB WGQ interpretation on the use of HTTP HEAD method and the use of the POST method.</p> | Hold | See 03001. Pending the results of another subcommittee. Have not completed review of document to determine all of the areas impacted by the pending decision. |
| 005 | 2/12/2003 | <p>AS2: NAESB Standards no longer included in AS2.</p> | Open | Dick Brooks to fact find |

| Issue# | Date Raised | Description | Status | Comments |
|--------|-------------|--|--------|--|
| 006 | 2/12/2003 | Multi-part Post: Update examples to include one with a multi-part post. | Open | TBD |
| 007 | 2/12/2003 | Reference to Standard: Ultimately, the NAESB REQ will adopt new standards and the numbers in the numbering scheme will change. | Hold | TBD |
| 008 | 2/12/2003 | Trading Partner Agreement: The NAESB REQ has not adopted a standard TPA. | Hold | SUIS is working on this issue. Issue combined with OPEN ISSUE 024 Jesse Cline to fact find |
| 009 | 2/13/2003 | Appendices: The letters corresponding to the Appendices may change based on the Appendices required in the REQ EDM Manual. | Hold | TBD |
| 010 | 2/13/2003 | timestamp: What is the effect of daylight savings time on the timestamp? Does the use of daylight savings time affect timestamps across time zones? | Open | A standard calculation to determine the delta between the client and server needs to be created. Issue also includes the formatting of the timestamp in example code. George Behr to fact find |
| 011 | 2/13/2003 | PGP/OpenPGP: What descriptive text is allowed to identify PGP keys? Should the descriptive text be limited in some way? The WGQ EDM manual originally read that a "human-readable" company name be returned, but in actuality, companies use DUNS numbers company names, and dates. | Open | Participants are asked to check into this issue with their respective companies. There was no preference for making or not making a standard during the 2/13 meeting. Dick Brooks to fact find |

| Issue# | Date Raised | Description | Status | Comments |
|--------|-------------|---|--------|---|
| 012 | 2/13/2003 | UserName/PWD use at Clearinghouse level: A clearinghouse has the ability to represent many trading partners in a transaction. Clearinghouses are requesting a single username and password for website access. Guidelines indicate that each trading partner be assigned their own password at each website. | Open | Participants are asked to check into this issue with their respective companies. The concern is that a single username and password will provide access for multiple trading partners at a web site. No additional information needed. |
| 013 | 2/13/2003 | Testing: How will the code examples to be published in the REQ EDM Manual be tested prior to publication? | Hold | All code samples are untested at this time. Dick Brooks to fact find |
| 014 | 2/13/2003 | Error Notification: TEIS must develop its own error notification type to replace gisb-error-notification | Hold | There is an omission in the NAESB WGQ EDM manual. Report type "gisb-error-notification" is not specifically defined, but is used in the examples. TBD |
| 015 | 2/13/2003 | Checklist of Testing Steps: A testing checklist is being developed by Debbie McKeever. This section, "Checklist of Testing Steps" was not reviewed in lieu of the work being done by Debbie on "Standardizing Market Testing" | Open | Question: Should testing be mandatory. Jesse Cline to fact find |
| 016 | 2/13/2003 | EEDM109: What is the purpose of the error message? What does the "parameter string" reference. | Open | Check with WGQ EDM |
| 017 | 2/13/2003 | EEDM701, EEDM702, EEDM703: Recommendation to talk to WGQ EDM to determine the purpose of the error messages. | Open | Check with WGQ EDM |
| 018 | 2/14/2003 | HTML: In Appendix A, what is the minimum version of HTML that can be used for NAESB REQ standards. | Open | Check with WGQ EDM |

| Issue# | Date Raised | Description | Status | Comments |
|---------------|--------------------|---|---------------|--|
| 019 | 3/3/2003 | XML: XML has been agreed to as a valid payload option. The definitions need to be added to the manual. | Open | TBD |
| 020 | 3/3/2003 | TCP Ports: Participants are asked to review available ports within their own organizations to determine if there are any issues. | Open | |
| 021 | 3/3/2003 | D&B: Should a separate link to D&B be added for REQ business entities on the NAESB site? | Open | Ask REQ EC to follow-up. |
| 022 | 3/3/2003 | Party Roles: The roles should be identified in another document (business process or glossary). A reference to this other document needs to be placed in the manual. | Open | TBD |
| 023 | 3/3/2003 | ANSI/DISA: Is NAESB going to retain a single membership in ANSI/DISA or will each of the quadrants have separate memberships? | Open | Ask REQ EC to follow-up. |
| 024 | 3/3/2003 | Trading Partner: Some exchange of technical information and/or legal document will need to occur. | Closed | Added to OPEN ISSUE 008 |
| 025 | 3/3/2003 | Datasets: Corresponding datasets for Usages and Enrollments need to be created. | Open | Will be cleaned out next meeting. |
| 026 | 3/4/2003 | REQ EDM Overview: Role of REQ EDM in NAESB | Open | The location in the EDM manual of this introduction needs to be discussed. |

| Issue# | Date Raised | Description | Status | Comments |
|---------------|--------------------|--|---------------|----------------------|
| 027 | 3/4/2003 | Use of other EDM's. Retail electric marketplaces such as DE, MD, NJ, NY, PA, and TX have used the EDM-WGQ with some extensions to support retail e-business since 1999. In 2002, the Ontario marketplace implemented the ebXML Messaging EDM standard. Several market participants of the MA marketplace have recommended use of the EDIINT AS2 UCC Profile EDM standard. | Open | |
| 028 | 3/4/2003 | EDM-REQ Version Number: What is the version number? | Open | |
| 029 | 3/4/2003 | Exclusions from NAESB | Open | |
| 030 | 3/4/2003 | Use of REQ names: EDM-REQ, REQ-EDM, REQ, NAESB, etc. | Open | |
| 031 | 3/4/2003 | X.4.Z Standard for data sets: What should it be? XML? | Open | |
| 032 | 3/4/2003 | REQ Glossary: Create a separate document. Include roles, 4.2.XX | Open | |
| 033 | 3/4/2003 | Data/Audit Retention Period: Section 4.3.4 is policy. Where should it be? | Open | |
| 034 | 3/4/2003 | Error Code Lists/Downloads: 4.3.53. | Open | Address with WGQ EDM |
| 035 | 3/4/2003 | Business Process committees need to address issues including: Premise (4.3.56) | Open | |
| | | | | |