

## Comments on Market Participant Interactions Draft 1.1 for Discussion at January 13, 2004 Meeting

Section	Cmnt #	Comment	Commenter	Recommendation	Working Draft Status for 1/13/04	Jan 13, 2003 Results	Type	Issue
A-1.1..	1	Comment: <i>We prefer Detroit Edison's revisions to this section.</i>	Cinergy		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	
A-1.1..	1	Replace all four overview paragraphs with new scope statement.	Detroit Edison		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	
A-1.1..	1	Comment: <i>Overview needs to be re-written to include specifically what will be included in the document. This should be first in document to lay out exactly what is included. (May need to be written after document is completed when it is decided what is included in document.)</i>	Southern Company		<i>No change in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	
A-1.2..	2	Capitalize "Market Participants" wherever it appears..	Southern Company		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		1) Grammar/ Punctuation	
A-1.2..	3	Why is definition of Governing Documents here?	Southern Company		<i>No change in red-line</i>		0) Definition	
A-1.2..	4	Capitalize "Governing Documents" wherever it appears	Southern Company		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		1) Grammar/ Punctuation	
A-1.3..	5	Delete "The participants recognize that", so sentence begins with "Documents and performance standards..."	Southern Company		<i>No change in red-line</i>		2) Wording	
A-2.2..	6	Change ", but also describe" to ",but also a description of". In 2nd Principle	Southern Company	Leave as is.	<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		2) Wording	
A-2.3..	2	Delete third Principle. Also, Comment: <i>Doesn't the first Principle adequately cover this topic?</i>	Cinergy	Discuss	<i>No change in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	
A-2.5..	7	Re-word fifth principle to read: "A Supplier Certification Process, as defined in the Governing Documents, is necessary to ensure that new entrants to a market are qualified to perform their roles."	Southern Company	Discuss. Leave as is. Proposed change is too specific for a Principle.	<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		2) Wording	
A-2.5..	3	Replace "certification" with "registration in 5th Principle.	Cinergy	Discuss	<i>No change in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	Need to discuss what is intended by certification vs. registration. Are they the same? Do we need both?
A-3.0..	8	Comment: <i>Are these (definitions) consistent with Glossary Subcommittee definitions?</i>	Southern Company	Mkt Participant def modified to match Glossary Sub Def	<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		0) Definition	
A-3.0..	4	Comment: <i>Do we need these definitions? Don't we have a spearate document showing all REQ and RGQ approved definitions? Whenever definitions change, all documents will have to be revised that contain the definitions, if we have a defintions section in all documents we prepare.</i>	Cinergy	Leave the defintions here--it's our current process.	<i>No change in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	
A-3.4..	9	Noted that several definitions need to be added to Glossary Subcommittee's Glossary.	Southern Company	No action needed in document	<i>No change in red-line</i>		0) Definition	
A-3.6..	2	Capitalize "Regulatory Documents" in Governing Documents definition.	Detroit Edison		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		1) Grammar/ Punctuation	

## Comments on Market Participant Interactions Draft 1.1 for Discussion at January 13, 2004 Meeting

Section	Cmnt #	Comment	Commenter	Recommendation	Working Draft Status for 1/13/04	Jan 13, 2003 Results	Type	Issue
A-3.7..	3	Market Participant definition doesn't match Glossary Subcommittee definition of: "A party engaged in the process of providing competitive retail energy to end-use customers including but not limited to the Distribution Company, the Supplier, the Registration Agent, the settlement agent, and the meter reading entity."	Detroit Edison	Change to Glossary Subcommittee Definition		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>	0) Definition	
A-3.10..	10	Delete "a pair of" from the definition of Market Participants, so 2nd sentence reads: "These include the Distribution Company-Supplier Service Agreement and any other agreements executed between market participants..."	Southern Company	Discuss		<i>No change in red-line</i>	2) Wording	
A-3.10..	11	Delete definition of Regulatory documents.	Southern Company	Discuss		<i>No change in red-line</i>	3) Content/ Meaning	
A-3.1.1.	12	Asked what "xx", "xxd", and "y" mean.	Southern Company	Placeholders for overall business practices. If none, we can renumber other sections		<i>No change in red-line</i>		
B-3.7.0.	9	Change heading to "Supplier Registration" also a comment: <i>Applicable Regulatory Authorities "Certify" and Distribution Companies "Register"</i> .	Cinergy	Discuss		<i>No change in red-line</i>	3) Content/ Meaning	Registration
B-3.2.1.1	13	Change wording to "Governing Documents should include, but are not limited to:" (then the bullets)	Southern Company	Discuss--hierarchy		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>	3) Content/ Meaning	Do we want to state the hierarchy between types of Governing Documents
B-3.2.1.1	14	2nd bullet delete parenthetical statement and change to read: "Contractual agreements between parties"	Southern Company	Discuss		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>	2) Wording	
B-3.2.1.2	15	Replace 3.2.1.2 with "All Governing Documents should be consistent and congruent with one another."	Southern Company	Discuss--hierarchy		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>	3) Content/ Meaning	Do we want to state the hierarchy between types of Governing Documents
B-3.2.1.2	4	Change "Operation Manuals" to "Distribution Company Operational Manuals"	Detroit Edison			<i>Change shown in red-line</i>	3) Content/ Meaning	
B-3.2.1.3	16	Replace "needed interactions between" with "required activities among", so it reads: The Governing Documents should be comprehensive in scope, covering all required activities among the parties."	Southern Company	Discuss		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>	2) Wording	
B-3.2.1.3	17	Add "and: to 2nd to last item in bulleted list.	Southern Company	Make the change. Included in DE's proposed re-ordering		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>	1) Grammar/ Punctuation	
B-3.2.1.3	5	Delete "(to Supplier)", since fees could go either way	Detroit Edison			<i>Change shown in red-line</i>	2) Wording	
B-3.2.1.3	6	Re-order bulleted list to have "Additional items" come last	Detroit Edison			<i>Change shown in red-line</i>	1) Grammar/ Punctuation	

## Comments on Market Participant Interactions Draft 1.1 for Discussion at January 13, 2004 Meeting

Section	Cmnt #	Comment	Commenter	Recommendation	Working Draft Status for 1/13/04	Jan 13, 2003 Results	Type	Issue
B-3.2.1.3	5	Add "Registration requirements" to the list of items covered in the Governing Documents.	Cinergy	Discuss--need to have thorough "Registraton" discussion.	<i>No change in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	Registration
B-3.2.1.3	6	Move "and" to second to last item in list.	Cinergy	Make the change	<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		1) Grammar/ Punctuation	
B-3.2.1.5	18	Comment: <i>Where is the rationale for this?</i>	Southern Company	Discuss	<i>No change in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	
B-3.2.1.5	7	Capitalize "Governing Document"	Detroit Edison		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		1) Grammar/ Punctuation	
B-3.3.1.1	19	Change "depend on" to "utilize" so 3.3.1.1 reads: "Market Participants will utilize Regulatory Documents..."	Southern Company	Make the change	<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		2) Wording	
B-3.3.1.1	20	3rd Bullet change wording to ..."available billing option, available metering option..."	Southern Company	Make a similar change	<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		2) Wording	
B-3.3.1.1	21	Comment: <i>If mention creditworthiness, point to approved MBP.</i>	Southern Company	Discuss	<i>No change in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	
B-3.3.1.1	8	Make "load profiling" lower case	Detroit Edison		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		1) Grammar/ Punctuation	
B-3.3.1.2	9	Delete 3.3.1.2--it refers to contractual agreements--it doesn't belong in this section. Move it to 3.4.1.6. Also create similar statement for Dist Co Operatinal Manuals as MBP 3.5.1.3.	Detroit Edison		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	
B-3.4.1.0	22	Comment: <i>Needs to be rewritten to include when and how these contracts would take place.</i>	Southern Company	Discuss	<i>No change in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	
B-3.4.1.2	23	Change "at" to "a" so it reads: "At a minimum, the Distribution Company and the Supplier should execute a Distribution..."	Southern Company	Make the change	<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		1) Grammar/ Punctuation	
B-3.4.1.4	24	Change "At a minimum" to "If applicable" so it reads: "If applicable, Market Participants should also execute."	Southern Company	Make the change	<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	
B-3.4.1.4	7	Add "if applicable" and "also" so 3.4.1.4 reads: "If applicable, Market Participants should also execute:"	Cinergy	Make the change	<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		2) Wording	
B-3.5.1.2	25	Get rid of "be" in the bulleted items, since it's in the introductory statement. (also in 3.6.1.3)	Southern Company	Make the change	<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		1) Grammar/ Punctuation	
B-3.5.1.2	26	Comment on 3rd Bullet point: <i>What does this mean? Needs to be clarified and more specific on what you are collaborating (on) (Also 3.6.1.3 3rd bullet point)</i>	Southern Company	Discuss	<i>No change in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	What does collaboratively developed mean?
B-3.6.1.2	8	Delete MBP 3.6.1.2. Also a comment: <i>Monitoring this performance can lead to preparing reports that no one will read. If performance doesn't meet the standard, can't this be handled through the regulatory complaint process or dispute resolution process? This statement can create unnecessary expense. Can it be eliminated?</i>	Cinergy	Discuss	<i>No change in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	Performance standards
B-3.6.1.4	27	Comment: <i>Remedies need to be addressed. Parenthetical need to be addressed as well. References should be made to Billing and Payments MBP's.</i>	Southern Company	Discuss	<i>No change in red-line</i>		3) Content/ Meaning	Performance standards

## Comments on Market Participant Interactions Draft 1.1 for Discussion at January 13, 2004 Meeting

Section	Cmnt #	Comment	Commenter	Recommendation	Working Draft Status for 1/13/04	Jan 13, 2003 Results	Type	Issue
B-3.6.1.4	10	Capitalize "Rejected" since it is first word in list of sub-bulleted items	Detroit Edison		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		1) Grammar/Punctuation	
B-3.6.1.4	11	Capitalize "Meter" since it is first word in list of sub-bulleted items	Detroit Edison		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		1) Grammar/Punctuation	
B-3.6.1.4	12	Capitalize "Consolidated Bills", since it is a defined term.	Detroit Edison		<i>Change shown in red-line</i>		1) Grammar/Punctuation	
B-3.7.1.1	10	Re-word 3.7.1.1 to read: "Distribution Companies should have a process to register suppliers or other Market Participants in order for them to operate within that Distribution Company's service area."	Cinergy	Discuss--this raises a different concept than was intended	<i>No change in red-line</i>			Registration
B-3.7.1.2	11	Change "certification" to "registration".	Cinergy	Discuss--this raises a different concept than was intended	<i>No change in red-line</i>			Registration
B-3.7.1.3	12	Change "Certification" to "Registration".	Cinergy	Discuss--this raises a different concept than was intended	<i>No change in red-line</i>			Registration
B-3.7.1.4	13	Change "certification" to "registration".	Cinergy	Discuss--this raises a different concept than was intended	<i>No change in red-line</i>			Registration
B-3.7.1.4	14	Add a third bullet point: "Meeting the Distribution Company's credit and collateral requirements."	Cinergy	Discuss--this raises a different concept than was intended	<i>No change in red-line</i>			Registration