

**From:** Barbara R. Alexander  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 01, 2004 1:42 PM  
**To:** NAESB REQ & RGQ Executive Committees  
**Subject:** EC meeting, June 2, TEIS Draft Information Request

I support the development of this TEIS Draft Information Request. I have the following comments:

1. You are asking for a separate response for each jurisdiction {statement after Q. 5}, so you don't need Q. 13.
2. Q. 10 asks if the entity's data exchange format complies with the mandated rules for the applicable jurisdiction. Is this necessary? I think we should assume that the entity is complying with the applicable jurisdiction. The question is whether the format in use is mandated or optional, so ask the question directly and combine it with Q. 11.
3. What is the purpose of Q. 14? You have asked for the entity to identify the data exchange formats it is using, whether they are mandatory or optional, what is left?
4. Re Q. 16, do you want an answer that reflects the type of customer they currently serve or the type of customer they are licensed to serve? [different responses to those options are likely]
5. Re Q. 17, you ask for number of "accounts", but most have information on number of "customers" or "meters".
6. Re Q. 18, do we know what "supported" means and will those answering the questions know?

Hope these comments are helpful.

Barbara R. Alexander  
Agent, Maine OPA