

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company
Comments due 1/31/97 on GISB Standards Enhancement Requests

REQUEST	DESCRIPTION	KGPC RECOMMENDATION
R96062	Modify the Nominations Transaction to add a new data element - role	Since the alternative recommended by the METF, use of Duns+4, seems inconsistent with recommendations of the Common Codes and FTTF committees, Koch recommends that this request be referred to the BPS for further review.
R96068	Modify the nominations and shipper imbalance transaction to add transaction type codes.	See attached comments
R96069	Modify the nomination quick response transaction to add a warning message	KGPC supports the recommendations of METF to add "other" validation code values. Validation Code is a Mandatory data element and thus cannot be left blank. Due to the lag time required to get a new code value
R96069 contd..		approved by GISB, it may be necessary to reject a nomination for a reason not supported by a current code value. We feel it would be better to respond in the interim period with "Other" than to respond with an inaccurate and/or misleading code.
R96070	Modify the nomination transaction to add an additional capacity type of primary firm	Duplicate of request R96067. Should not be voted on. R96067 was rejected by EC vote on January 9th.
R96071	Modify the nomination quick response transaction to add status of nomination	KGPC supports this request. Although this was a duplicate of R96097, it should still be voted on because R96097 was not included in the set of proposals sent out for member comment.
R96072	Modify the scheduled quantity transaction to add additional reduction reason codes	Duplicate of request R96081. Should not be voted on.
R96073	Modify the invoice transaction to add service codes	KGPC supports the recommendations of MSTF. Since Service Code is a mandatory data element on the invoice, the Service Provider does not have the option of leaving the field blank while waiting for GISB to approve a new Service Code value.
R96073 contd..		Due to amount of lead time required to get new codes approved by GISB, we agree that a Service Code value of "Other" may be needed.
R96080	Modify the measurement information and allocation statement transactions to add contact information at the detail level	KGPC supports the MSTF recommendation to reject this request. This is a mapping issue. By sending more header records, one should be able to achieve the same results without changing the X12 mapping.
R96080 contd..		The current mapping is not as straight forward as the proposed fix, but we feel we're to close to implementation to change the mapping now.
R96092	Modify the allocation statement data dictionary so that Beginning Flow Date/Time and Ending Flow Date/Time are split into four elements	KGPC supports this technical correction which enhances the understandability/usability of the implementation guides

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company
Comments due 1/31/97 on GISB Standards Enhancement Requests

REQUEST	DESCRIPTION	KGPC RECOMMENDATION
R96103	Modify Invoice dataset, Beginning Flow Date/Time and Ending Flow Date/Time to be Beginning Production Period and Ending Production Period	KGPC supports this technical correction which enhances the understandability/usability of the implementation guides
R96104	Modify definition of Limit Value in Pre-determined Allocation data dictionary	Support - This is a BC field. Having more flexibility can only help long term. Just because a pipeline agrees to support this BC data element, should not mean that they support the use of the data element for all allocation methods?
R96105	Add additional error codes and warning codes to Pre-determined Allocation Quick Response	KGPC Supports the MSTF recommendation to approve the request. We have also identified the need for some of the requested quick response code values, particularly the code for the response "No PDA information is necessary".
R96108	Add error codes and warning codes to Nomination Quick Response	KGPC Supports the METF recommendation to approve the request. We have also identified the need for some of the requested quick response code values.
R96112	Identify and assign adjustment reason codes for prior period adjustments on the Measurement Information Statement	In the MSTF meeting, the requester acknowledged that these codes were intended for the Measurement Volume Audit Statement (R96005), not the Measurement Information Statement. The codes are not appropriate for the Measurement Information Statement.