

Koch submits the following comments in response to the Proposed Standard Changes that were posted for the member comment period which ends on February 28<sup>th</sup>.

- R96075 Koch asks that the GISB Executive Committee clarify in their recommendation that the term "Offer" as used in the request, applies to the GISB Firm Transportation and Storage Offer Download dataset, not to any Offer transaction that might be uploaded via the UPPD dataset, which supports both offers and pre-arranged bids. This clarification is necessary to assure that the usage condition of SO is applicable only to the Firm Transportation and Storage Offer Download dataset not to the UPPD (the usage for the UPPD is MA).
- R96076 Koch supports and also has need for the new UPPD status code requested. The new code provides for accepting a prearranged deal, but allows leaving the status as "pending" until we can verify the deal with the prearranged party.
- R96088 Koch wants to re-emphasize that the Service Code requested for Park and Loan is still needed, despite the fact that transaction codes were approved last month for Park, Park Withdrawal, Loan, and Loan Withdrawal. The Service Code is needed in addition to the transaction types because it is Service Code, and not Transaction Type, that is used to describe the charges on the GISB Invoice document. Transaction Type is not currently included in the Invoice Data Dictionary.
- R96090 Koch asks that the GISB Executive Committee clarify in their recommendation that the term "Offer" as used in the request, applies to the GISB Firm Transportation and Storage Offer Download dataset, not to any Offer transaction that might be uploaded via the UPPD dataset, which supports both offers and pre-arranged bids. This clarification is necessary to assure that the usage condition of SO is applicable only to the Firm Transportation and Storage Offer Download dataset, not to the UPPD (the usage for the UPPD is MA).
- R97004 Since Koch will not be present at the EC meeting when the voting occurs to request a second vote, we request here, in advance, that if this request is not initially approved due to objections regarding the Fuel Volume Reduction (FVR) charge type code, that a second vote be taken so that the other codes requested can be approved without the FVR code.
- R97020 Koch supports the revisions to the Technical Implementation Guide for the Pathed Non-Threaded model that were submitted by NGPL. We feel that the addition of this data element, without the supporting detail proposed by NGPL, would not be appropriate. Both the new data element and the detail explaining how the new data element impacts the use of the dataset are needed.