

Subj: FW: Non Delivery Report  
Date: 96-12-30 09:48:52 EST  
From: ArthurL@kochind.com (Arthur, Lisa)  
To: gisb@aol.com (GISB Office)

Tried sending this Friday afternoon with no luck. Sending again.

-----  
From: System Administrator  
To: Arthur, Lisa  
Subject: Non Delivery Report  
Date: Saturday, December 28, 1996 9:47AM

Your Message

To: GISB Office  
Subject: Comments Due December 27  
Date: 1996-12-27 17:28

Was not delivered for the following reasons:

Delivery failed to SMTP:gisb@aol.com.  
Reason: 0 (transfer failed)  
diagnostic: 14 (number constraint violated).  
MSEXCH:IMC:kochind:routing:ICTMAIL01 3421 421 firewall1.kochind.com  
Sorry, unable to contact destination SMTP daemon..

Original message body follows...

KGPC supports and also has need for the modifications requested in the following:

R96010  
R96040  
R96051  
R96052  
R96053  
R96055  
R96057  
R96063.

With regards to R96054, we feel not only should service requester be added to the Request for Confirmation, but that perhaps it should be added as a Mandatory data element, rather than a Mutually Agreeable data element.

Consider, for example, that two different service requesters, were both receiving gas from the same upstream party and upstream contract. If the interconnecting pipelines did not mutually agree to support service requester contract as part of the confirmation process and the upstream pipe could not confirm the total volume of gas being delivered, then there is no way for the downstream pipeline to know how to allocate the available gas between the two shippers, other than to prorate.

Either service requester contract or service requester id needs to be a mandatory data element for the confirmation process to work. Following the logic that was used for up and downstream party identification (party id is mandatory and contract number is mutually agreeable), one

might think that the same should apply to Service Requester. In that case, Service Requester ID would be Mandatory and Service Requester Contract would be Business Conditional.

A detailed discussion of the confirmation process is scheduled for discussion at the Market Execution Meeting in January. We suggest that this discussion be allowed to take place before making any final decisions regarding the conditionality and/or definition of the service requester ID that is added to the request for confirmation transaction.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Arthur  
Koch Gateway Pipeline Company  
voice: 713-229-4639  
fax: 713-229-4990  
email: arthurl@kochind.com

----- Headers -----

From ArthurL@kochind.com Mon Dec 30 09:48:13 1996  
Return-Path: ArthurL@kochind.com  
Received: from kii.kochind.com (kii.kochind.com [206.97.246.2]) by emin03.mail.aol.com (8.6.12/8.6.12) with SMTP id JAA26810 for <gisb@aol.com>; Mon, 30 Dec 1996 09:48:12 -0500  
Received: by ictmail01.kochind.com with SMTP (Microsoft Exchange Server Internet Mail Connector Version 4.0.994.63) id <01BBF628.EBB67A70@ictmail01.kochind.com>; Mon, 30 Dec 1996 08:10:29 -0600  
Message-ID: <c=US%a=\_%p=kochind%l=Kochind/ROUTING/0007D641@ictmail01.kochind.com>  
From: "Arthur, Lisa" <ArthurL@kochind.com>  
To: GISB Office <gisb@aol.com>  
Subject: FW: Non Delivery Report  
Date: Mon, 30 Dec 1996 08:06:00 -0600  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Exchange Server Internet Mail Connector Version 4.0.994.63  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit