

**NAESB WGQ Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
December 10 - 11, 2002 - 8:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. (Eastern)
Brooklyn, NY – Keyspan**

FINAL MINUTES

1. **Introductions** – participants introduced themselves
2. **Housekeeping** – Dale Davis provided housekeeping information
3. **Anti-trust Guidelines** – Dale Davis read the anti-trust guidelines.
4. **Adoption of Agenda** – The agenda was adopted as modified to add as the first item to be addressed a question from the Technical Subcommittee regarding R99024.
5. **Approval of Prior Meeting Minutes and Attachments**
 - November 13-14, 2002 - the meeting minutes as modified and the attachment as posted were adopted as final.
6. **Address Current Requests for Initiation or Enhancement of NAESB Standards and items transferred from other NAESB subcommittees** -- Discussion, Q&A and balanced vote for each item. (The items will be addressed in the order listed until either all items have been addressed or we have run out of time.)
 - **R99024 – Tennessee Gas Pipeline**
 - Request:** Modify data elements in Capacity Release to be more consistent with the names of data elements in other data sets. Also adjust the presentation of the Location information so that it more closely matches conventions used in other GISB data sets.
 - Change the name of “Bidder Company Code” to “Bidder” and modify the definition accordingly.
 - Change the name of “Releaser Company Code” to “Releaser.”
 - Change the name of “Return Addressee Company Code” to “Return Addressee” and modify the definition accordingly
 - Change the name of “Gas Transaction Point 1 xxx” to “Location xxx” and modify the definition accordingly
 - Delete “Gas Transaction Point 2 xxx”.
 - Change the name and definition of “Segment Indicator” so that it more correctly represents the use of the data element, and define the code values that are in the X12 mapping as GISB code values.

IR Discussion:
The Technical Subcommittee discovered that in the NAESB WGQ Bid Upload Quick Response (5.4.19), for the data element “Location Purpose”, the corresponding code values were missing.

**NAESB WGQ Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
December 10 - 11, 2002 - 8:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. (Eastern)
Brooklyn, NY – Keyspan**

MOTION: Add the following code values to the NAESB WGQ Bid Upload Quick Response (5.4.19), for the data element "Location Purpose".

Location Purpose

<u>Code Value Description</u>	<u>Code Value Definition</u>	<u>Code Value</u>
<u>Delivery Location</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	
<u>Injection Point</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	
<u>Mainline</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	
<u>Pipeline Segment defined by 1 location (or first of 2 locations)</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	
<u>Pipeline Segment defined by 2 locations (or second of 2 locations)</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	
<u>Receipt Location</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	
<u>Storage Area</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	
<u>Withdrawal Point</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	

Vote				Balanced	Balanced	Balanced
	For	Against	Total	For	Against	Total
End						
Users	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
LDCs	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
Pipelines	7	0	7	2.00	0.00	2
Producers	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
Services	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
	7	0	7	2.00	0.00	2

Motion Passes

EIITF Capacity Release Requests

- **R99008 – CIG**

Request: New standard data sets, elements and/or code values are requested under the GISB Capacity Release Related Standards (5.4.X) to accomplish the reposting of an offer to release capacity subsequent to the close of an open season. It is believed that this function could be accomplished on a Mutually Agreeable basis via

**NAESB WGQ Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
December 10 - 11, 2002 - 8:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. (Eastern)
Brooklyn, NY – Keyspan**

EDI/EDM through the creation or modification of ANSI X.12 EDI data sets and/or code values.
(Relevant Minutes: EIITF 3/9/99 – IR Instruction 50; IR 9/10/02, 11/13,02)

IR50: Instruct Information Requirements Subcommittee to accommodate the mutually agreeable capacity release related business practice of reposting an offer to release capacity subsequent to the close of the bid season.

IR Discussion:

CIG explained their use of the requested indicator. This is a mutually agreed online function that CIG provides to its releasing shippers so they can indicate to the TSP that they want to have CIG automatically re-post the short-term offer if there are no valid bids. CIG will then automatically post a new offer during the next timely open season. Under these circumstances CIG will re-post the offer. The start and end dates will remain the same as the original offer until such time as the start date is no longer valid. At that time, the start date is recalculated but the end date remains the same as the original offer. CIG continually re-posts the offer until the end date is no longer valid. To change this re-posting option, the releasing shipper must withdraw the offer. Each time the offer is re-posted, it is assigned a new offer number. This information does not appear on any other data sets.

MOTION:

- Add the following data element at the header level:

Document Name and No.:

- Offer Upload

NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.7

Business Name (Abbreviation)	Definition	EBB Usage	EDI/FF Usage	Condition
<u>Re-post Indicator (Re-post)</u>	<u>In the event a valid bid has not been received, this indicates that the offer should be re-posted for the next appropriate cycle after the close of the bid period.</u>	<u>MA</u>	<u>MA</u>	

- Add the following code values to the code values dictionaries as indicated below.

Document Name and No.:

- Offer Upload

NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.7

**NAESB WGQ Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
December 10 - 11, 2002 - 8:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. (Eastern)
Brooklyn, NY – Keyspan**

Re-post Indicator

<u>Code Value</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Code Value Definition</u>	<u>Code Value</u>
Yes		[no definition necessary]	
No		[no definition necessary]	

Document Name and No.:

- Offer Upload Quick Response

NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.8

Validation Code (Heading)

<u>Code Value</u>	<u>Code Value Description</u>	<u>Code Value Definition</u>
<u>E</u>	<u>Invalid Re-post Indicator</u>	[no definition necessary]
<u>E</u>	<u>Missing Re-post Indicator</u>	[no definition necessary]
<u>W</u>	<u>Invalid Re-post Indicator</u>	[no definition necessary]
<u>W</u>	<u>Re-post Indicator not used</u>	[no definition necessary]

Vote				Balanced	Balanced	Balanced
	For	Against	Total	For	Against	Total
End						
Users	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
LDCs	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
Pipelines	7	0	7	2.00	0.00	2
Producers	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
Services	1	0	1	1.00	0.00	1
	8	0	8	3.00	0.00	3

Motion passes

EIITF General Requests

- **R98047 TransCapacity (Skipping Stone)**

Request: A new dataset, called "Supported Code Value Information," and the code value addition to the Data Sets Requested data element in the Upload of Request for Download dataset to support NAESB WGQ Standard. 4.3.53
(Relevant Minutes: EIITF 11/4/98 – IR Instruction 8)

**NAESB WGQ Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
December 10 - 11, 2002 - 8:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. (Eastern)
Brooklyn, NY – Keyspan**

The processing of this request was postponed until such time as the requester can be present.

- **R98049 TransCapacity (Skipping Stone)**

Request: A new dataset, called "Fuel Matrix Information," and the code value addition to the Data Sets Requested data element in the Upload of Request for Download dataset to support NAESB WGQ Standard. 1.3.30
(Relevant Minutes: EIITF 11/4/98 – IR Instruction 9)

The processing of this request was postponed until such time as the requester can be present.

Flowing Gas Related Requests

- **R98081 – Williams**

Request: Develop a means of requesting and sending RTU alarm data.
(Relevant Minutes: EIITF 12/2/98; IR 9/00, 10/01, 4/16/02, 8/20/02; BPS 10/9/02, IR 10/15/02)

And

- **R98082 – Williams**

Request: Develop a means of requesting and sending RTU event data.
(Relevant Minutes: EIITF 12/2/98; IR 9/00, 10/01, 4/16/02, 8/20/02; BPS 10/9/02, IR 10/15/02)

MOTION:

- Modify the proposed changes to the TIBP for the NAESB WGQ Request for Information (2.4.7) as follows to reflect in the list of data sets requested that the proposed NAESB WGQ Measurement Events / Alarms (2.4.z) is a mutually agreeable data set:
4. Measurement Events/Alarms - - - > either all device station numbers or meter ids or a single device station number or meter id. (one or more months). Note: This is a mutually agreeable data set.
- Add the following code values as indicated below:

Document Name and No.:

- **Response to Request for Information** **NAESB WGQ Standard 2.4.8**

Data Availability Code

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
<u>Data set not supported by the TSP</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	

**NAESB WGQ Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
December 10 - 11, 2002 - 8:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. (Eastern)
Brooklyn, NY – Keyspan**

Vote				Balanced	Balanced	Balanced
	For	Against	Total	For	Against	Total
End						
Users	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
LDCs	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
Pipelines	7	0	7	2.00	0.00	2
Producers	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
Services	1	0	1	1.00	0.00	1
	8	0	8	3.00	0.00	3

Motion Passes

Nominations Related Requests

- **1999 Annual Plan – Cross Contact Ranking**

Request: Change the condition of upstream rank and downstream rank in the Data Element Cross Reference Table to “NU” for the pathed and non-path models.

(Relevant Minutes: XKR 3/23/99; EC 11/11/99)

IR Discussion:

At the March 23, 1999 meeting of the Cross Contract Ranking Subcommittee, it was determined that the usage of upstream rank and downstream rank in the Nominations data set should be changed from “MA” to “nu” and in the minutes of that meeting it stated that an instruction would be sent to IR to make this change. At the EC meeting of 11/11/99, the EC confirmed that this issue should be transferred to IR.

MOTION:

- Modify the NAESB WGQ Standard 1.4.1 (Nominations) as follows:

**NAESB WGQ Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
December 10 - 11, 2002 - 8:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. (Eastern)
Brooklyn, NY – Keyspan**

Standard 1.4.1

Business Name (Abbreviation)	Definition	Data Group	EBB Pathed	EBB Non- Pathed	EBB PNT - "T"	EBB PNT - "U"	EDI / FF Usage	Condition
Downstream Rank (Priority) (Dn Rank)	This is the scheduling rank (priority) assigned to the quantities on the downstream side of the delivery location. If it is a physical location, it can be thought of as the downstream side of the flange. Priority 1 means the highest priority. Priorities 2, 3, etc. are in descending order of priority. Quantities assigned the same rank will be scheduled on a pro rata basis.	DelDG	<u>MAnu</u>	<u>MAnu</u>	nu	SO	C	Sender's option when the model used is 'Pathed Non-Threaded—Unthreaded Segment' and may be mutually agreed to for 'Pathed' or 'Non-Pathed' models.
Upstream Rank (Priority) (Up Rank)	This is the scheduling rank (priority) assigned to the quantities on the upstream side of the receipt location. It can be thought of as the upstream side of the flange. Priority 1 means the highest priority. Priorities 2, 3, etc. are in descending order of priority. Quantities assigned the same rank will be scheduled on a pro rata basis.	RecDG	<u>MAnu</u>	<u>MAnu</u>	nu	SO	C	Sender's option when the model used is 'Pathed Non-Threaded—Unthreaded Segment' and may be mutually agreed to for 'Pathed' or 'Non-Pathed' models.

- Modify the last sentence of the 7th paragraph of the Technical Implementation of Business Processes for the NAESB WGQ Standard 1.4.1 (Nominations) as follows:
In the unthreaded segment, the upstream and downstream ranks are sender's option and the receipt and delivery ranks are not used, while in the non-pathed model the receipt and delivery ranks are sender's option and the upstream and downstream ranks are mutually agreed upon not used.

**NAESB WGQ Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
December 10 - 11, 2002 - 8:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. (Eastern)
Brooklyn, NY – Keyspan**

Vote				Balanced	Balanced	Balanced
	For	Against	Total	For	Against	Total
End						
Users	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
LDCs	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
Pipelines	7	0	7	2.00	0.00	2
Producers	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
Services	1	0	1	1.00	0.00	1
	8	0	8	3.00	0.00	3

Motion Passes

7. Other Business

None

8. Next Meeting Dates and Location

January 14 & 15, 2003 – Salt Lake City, Utah – Williams Gas Pipeline West

February 18, 2003 (1-day meeting) – New Orleans, LA – location TBD

March 18 & 19, 2003 (tentative) – city and location TBD

9. Adjourn

Adjourned @ 11:45

**NAESB WGQ Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
December 10 - 11, 2002 - 8:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. (Eastern)
Brooklyn, NY – Keyspan**

Meeting Attendees and Voting Record

Attendee	Organization	11/10/02	11/11/02	NAESB Member?
<u>Pipelines:</u>				
Dale Davis	Williams Gas Pipeline	√	√	√
Christopher Burden	Williams Gas Pipeline	√ (nv)	√ (nv)	√
Randy Young	Gulf South Pipeline LP		√	√
Mark Gracey	Tennessee Gas Pipeline		√	√
Mike Stender	El Paso Natural Gas	√	√	√
Kathryn Burch	Duke Energy – Texas Eastern		√	√
Iris King	Dominion Transmission	√	√	√
Sally Wood	ANR	√		√
Bill Griffith	Colorado Interstate	√	√	√
Tom Gwilliam	Iroquois Gas Transmission		√	√
Kim Van Pelt	CMS - Panhandle	√	√	√
Mark Wilke	CMS - Trunkline		√	
<u>Services:</u>				
Greg Dodson	Dominion Resources Services	√	√	√
<u>Producers:</u>				
<u>End Users:</u>				
<u>LDCs:</u>				
<u>Associations:</u>				

Bold signifies a BPS Chair

nv – not voting