

To: Business Practices Subcommittee Chairs  
From: Information Requirements Subcommittee Chairs  
Subject: Issue Regarding R98085  
Date: November 29, 1999

---

During the processing of R98085, the Information Requirements Subcommittee raised the following issue.

**EIITF Action:**

s76 Where a Transportation Service Provider populates the Upstream/Downstream Identifier via its EBB/EDM implementation based upon provision of an upstream or downstream contract identifier at pooling and logical points, an EDI nomination should be provided the same capability.

IR30 Instruct IR to change the usage codes of the Upstream Identifier Code\* and Downstream Identifier Code\* from Conditional (C) to Business Conditional (BC). IR should craft a revised Condition based upon s76.

**IR Issue:**

Was the intention to change the existing Usage and Condition of the Downstream Identifier Code and Upstream Identifier Code if the circumstance in s76 (GISB Standard No. 4.3.77) is not applicable (i.e., the point is not a logical or pooling point). That is, do the existing Usage and Condition no longer apply to physical points?

The current Condition is based upon both Model Type and whether the location is a receipt or a delivery. Therefore, the underlying usage is 'Mandatory'. Was the intent to change this underlying 'Mandatory' usage to 'Business Conditional'?