

To: GISB Business Practices Subcommittee Chairs

From: Theresa Hess, Chair – GISB Information Requirements Subcommittee

Subject: Issue Regarding R98089

Date: November 2, 1999

During the processing of R98089, the Information Requirements Subcommittee raised several issues. Below is an excerpt from the October 12 – 13, 1999 IR meeting minutes.

• **R98089 – ANR Pipeline**

Request: Add ‘RD’ code value for the acknowledgment type in the BAK segment of the Confirmation Response.

EITF Action:

The request is moved to IR for their consideration.

IR Implementation:

MOTION:

Send the following issue to BPS:

- ◆ Currently the Confirmation Response does not provide for the transmittal of header level errors. IR recommends the following implementation:
- ◆ Add the following code value description for the Transaction Status Code in the Confirmation Response:

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
<i>The entire transaction was rejected due to header level errors.</i>	<i>[no definition necessary]</i>	<u>RD</u>

- ◆ Add the following data element to the header level of the Confirmation Response.

Business Name (Abbreviation)	Definition	Data Group	EBB Usage	EDI / FF Usage	Condition
<i>Validation Code (Val Cd)</i>	<i>Code that identifies errors/warnings.</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>nu</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>Required when Transaction Status Code indicates that header level errors exist.</i>

- ◆ Add the following code value descriptions for the Validation Code in the Confirmation Response:

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
<i>Invalid Confirming Party</i>	<i>[no definition necessary]</i>	
<i>Missing Confirming Party</i>	<i>[no definition necessary]</i>	
<i>Invalid Confirmation Requester</i>	<i>[no definition necessary]</i>	
<i>Missing Confirmation Requester</i>	<i>[no definition necessary]</i>	
<i>Missing Transaction Identifier</i>	<i>[no definition necessary]</i>	