

**NAESB WGQ Information Requirements
R98041
Workpaper for September 10, 2002**

Excerpt from IR Meeting Minutes of 4/11/00:

Issue to be sent to the Business Practices Subcommittee regarding 'super-nominations' and Columbia's Request R98041:

In the processing of Request **R98041** from Columbia Gas Transmission, IR discussed the following items:

- 1) GISB Principle 1.1.3: "There is a need for an infrastructure that would support seamless nominations across transportation service providers. However, transportation service providers would not be required to accept super-nominations nor would a shipper be required to supply one. A super-nomination is a nomination that contains all the nominations describing the path from the wellhead to the burnertip."
- 2) EII Task Force proposed Standard **s66 (104)**: "The data elements should accommodate super-nominations. If a Transportation Service Provider chooses to support super-nominations, the GISB standard data sets should accommodate it."
- 3) EII Task Force Instruction **IR2**: "The request was transferred to Information Requirements Subcommittee for implementation."

As a result of the discussion of these items, IR requests a clarification of the intent of the term 'Super-Nomination'. Is a 'Super-Nomination' a nomination that contains:

- a) **all** of the nominations describing the path from the wellhead to the burnertip; **or**
- b) **all or some** of the nominations describing the path from the wellhead to the burnertip; **or**
- c) **all or some** of the nominations describing the path from the wellhead to the burnertip **within a single corporate family?**

MOTION: Send the issue documented above to the Business Practices Subcommittee.

Excerpt from BPS Meeting Minutes of 5/8/02:

R98041 Columbia Gas Discussion and Possible Vote

This request was originally sent from EII to IR with instructions for implementation. IR had questions about the instructions and sent a memo to BPS on April 26, 2000 (see memo posted on bottom of BPS web page). The questions asked were considered by BPS, but then forwarded to the Interpretations Subcommittee (IS) for interpretation of Standard 1.1.3 regarding super nominations. The Interpretations Subcommittee interpreted the standard and made a recommendation (see clarification request C00003) in interpretation 7.3.47. Request R98041 was then sent back to BPS for disposition. The request then "fell through the cracks".

The original issue and the IS interpretation were discussed and the following motion was made:

motion

In response to the Information Requirements (IR) Subcommittee's question, BPS instructs IR to refer to interpretation 7.3.47 contained in NAESB WGQ Version 1.5.

motion passes (balanced vote 7-0)

Interpretation 7.3.43 (Request C00003)

Interpretation Request:

"Please interpret the meaning and intent of what a 'super-nomination' is. Also, please clarify whether a Transportation Service Provider permitting (and not requiring) a shipper to nominate across pipelines in the same family is exceeding the NAESB WGQ standard"

NAESB WGQ Information Requirements
R98041
Workpaper for September 10, 2002

Interpretation:

The infrastructure exists within, and using, the NAESB WGQ standards for a Service Requester to move gas from wellhead to burner-tip. In particular, the last sentence of NAESB WGQ Standard 1.1.3 which states:

‘A super-nomination is a nomination that contains all the nominations describing the path from the wellhead to the burner-tip.’

should be interpreted to mean:

‘A super-nomination is a transmittal that contains all the line items describing the path from the receipt point to the delivery point.’

Given this interpretation of the last sentence of NAESB WGQ Standard 1.1.3, the infrastructure does exist for a Service Requester to send multiple Transportation Service Provider (TSP) nominations to a party receiving multiple TSP nominations for retransmission to the applicable TSPs

Lastly, a Transportation Service Provider (TSP) which permits (but does not require) a Service Requester to submit a nomination or nominations (line item or line items) which traverse multiple TSPs (including those TSPs in the same corporate family) is exceeding the GISB standard.”