

To: NAESB WGQ Business Practices Subcommittee Chairs

From: Pete Whatley and Dale Davis, Co-Chairs – NAESB WGQ Information Requirements Subcommittee

Subject: Issues Regarding R98081 And R98082 – Measurement Events/Alarms

Date: September 5, 2002

At its meeting on August 20 - 21, 2002, the Information Requirements (IR) subcommittee discussed requests **R98081 and R98082** from Williams. These request the development of a new mutually agreed upon data set to accommodate the sending of measurement events and alarms. During IR's discussion, it was determined to send the following questions to BPS and the following motions were made:

MOTION #1: Send the following question to BPS:

As a result of processing request R98081 and R98082, Information Requirements (IR) is developing the Measurement Events/Alarms dataset. As a part of this, IR is developing EDI/FF and EBB usages. Should there be data groupings and/or data element ordering for this new mutually agreeable dataset?

MOTION #2: Send the following question to BPS concerning R98081 & R98082:

In the processing of R98081 & R98082, Information Requirements (IR) is developing a mutually agreed upon dataset, Measurement Events/Alarms. IR has determined that the best implementation for requesting this dataset would be to utilize the Request for Information – NAESB WGQ Standard 2.4.7.

In R96009, BPS has proposed to delete NAESB WGQ Standards 2.3.36 through 2.3.39 and replace them with proposed NAESB WGQ Standards 2.3.z1, 2.3.z2 and 2.3.z3. These proposed standards set forth guidelines for the support of the Request for Information and timeframes for replying to such requests.

Should there be similar guidelines for Measurement Events/Alarms information?