

**GISB Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting  
July 12, 1999  
MGM Grand Hotel – Las Vegas  
FINAL Minutes**

1. Theresa Hess welcomed the group and attendees introduced themselves.
2. Theresa Hess provided housekeeping directions.
3. Anti-trust guidelines were provided by Theresa Hess.
4. The agenda was adopted with two modifications:
  - 1) Approval of the minutes for the June 15 –16 meeting is postponed until Tuesday morning, July 13.
  - 2) Discussion of R97124 will begin no earlier than noon today.
5. Approval of June 15 – 16 minutes will be addressed Tuesday, July 13.
6. Address Current Requests for Initiation or Enhancement of GISB Standards and items transferred from other GISB subcommittees -- Discussion, Q&A and Sense of the Room for each item. (The items will be addressed in the order listed until either all items have been addressed or we have run out of time.)

- **Shipper Imbalance et al**

- ◆ The Data Element Cross Reference to ASC X12 workpaper was revised to update the information for the Export Declaration data element. (see attachment)
- ◆ **R98064 – Texas Eastern**  
**Request:** Add four data elements (Delivery Zone Identifier, Direction of Flow, Receipt Zone Identifier and Service Requester) to the Shipper Imbalance.

**EIITF Action:**

“Instruct IR to accommodate the ability to send Delivery Zone Identifier, Direction of Flow and Receipt Zone Identifier as Sender’s Option (SO); and Service Requester as Mandatory (M) in the Shipper Imbalance (2.4.4) data set.”

**IR Implementation:**

The requester (Marcy McCain) withdrew the ‘Direction of Flow’ portion of the request.

This request includes the addition of ‘Delivery Zone Identifier’ and ‘Receipt Zone Identifier’. Do we need to keep the existing ‘Zone Identifier’ data element if these two are added? All three are needed in order to accommodate the different Imbalance Reporting Types.

**MOTION:**

- ◆ Revise the Shipper Imbalance Data Dictionary (see attachment).
- ◆ Add ‘Delivery Zone’ and ‘Receipt Zone’ to the Shipper Imbalance data set at the same level as the ‘Receipt Location’ and ‘Delivery Location’ data elements (see attached data dictionary). These two data elements currently exist in the Invoice. Modify GISB Standard No. 2.3.34 by placing ‘Delivery Zone’ in the Delivery Data Group, after ‘Delivery Location’ and by placing ‘Receipt Zone’ in the Receipt Data Group, after ‘Receipt Location’.

The usages for the Data Element Cross Reference to ASC X12 workpaper are:

<b>Business Name</b>	<b><u>P</u></b>	<b><u>N</u></b>	<b><u>T</u></b>	<b><u>U</u></b>
<u>Delivery Zone</u>	<u>SO</u>	<u>SO</u>	<u>SO</u>	<u>SO</u>
<u>Receipt Zone</u>	<u>SO</u>	<u>SO</u>	<u>SO</u>	<u>SO</u>

- ◆ The definition of the ‘Zone Identifier’ data element in the Shipper Imbalance should be revised to correspond to the definitions of the two new data elements ‘Delivery Zone’ and ‘Receipt Zone’. IR’s recommended definition is: “The transporter’s transportation service provider’s identifier for the geographic zone identification in which the imbalance is reported.” This will be forwarded to the appropriate GISB subcommittee (to be determined by the Chairs of IR) for resolution. The usage will remain as ‘Sender’s Option’.
- ◆ Add ‘Service Requester ID’ to the Shipper Imbalance data set at the same level as the ‘Service Requester Contract’ data element (see attached data dictionary). Modify GISB Standard No. 2.3.34 by placing ‘Service Requester ID’ in the Contracts Data Group, before ‘Service Requester Contract’.

The usages for the Data Element Cross Reference to ASC X12 workpaper are:

<b>Business Name</b>	<b><u>P</u></b>	<b><u>N</u></b>	<b><u>T</u></b>	<b><u>U</u></b>
<u>Service Requester Data</u>				
<u>Service Requester ID*</u> (Svc Req)	<u>M</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>M</u>
<u>Service Requester Name</u> (Svc Req Name)	<u>nu</u>	<u>nu</u>	<u>nu</u>	<u>nu</u>

◆ **R98069 – Panhandle Eastern**

**Request:** Add four data elements (Contract Daily Tolerance, Quantity in Excess of Daily Contract Tolerance, Variance Percent and Variance Quantity) to the Shipper Imbalance.

**EIITF Action:**

“Instruct IR to accommodate the ability to send Contract Daily Tolerance in the Shipper Imbalance (2.4.4) data set as Sender’s Option (SO).”

**MOTION:**

- ◆ Add ‘Scheduling Tolerance Delivery Quantity’ and ‘Scheduling Tolerance Receipt Quantity’ to the Shipper Imbalance data set at the same level as the ‘Allocated Delivery Quantity’, ‘Allocated Receipt Quantity’, ‘Scheduled Receipt Quantity’ and ‘Scheduled Delivery Quantity’ data elements (see attached data dictionary). Modify GISB Standard No. 2.3.34 by placing ‘Scheduling Tolerance Delivery Quantity’ in the Delivery Data Group, after ‘Allocated Delivery Quantity’ and by placing ‘Scheduling Tolerance Receipt Quantity’ in the Receipt Data Group, after Allocated Receipt Quantity.

<b>Business Name</b>		<b><u>P</u></b>	<b><u>N</u></b>	<b><u>T</u></b>	<b><u>U</u></b>
<u>Scheduling Tolerance Delivery Quantity</u>		<u>SO</u>	<u>SO</u>	<u>SO</u>	<u>SO</u>
<u>Scheidungling Tolerance Receipt Quantity</u>		<u>SO</u>	<u>SO</u>	<u>SO</u>	<u>SO</u>

- ◆ **R96124 -- NGPL**  
**Request:** Modify Shipper Imbalance to support Pathed Non-Threaded model type.
- ◆ **R97020 – Market Settlement Task Force**  
**Request:** Modify Shipper Imbalance for use with all nomination models.
- ◆ Modify the TIBP to properly reflect changes made to the Shipper Imbalance based on modification changes made to accommodate the different reporting types. Delete the data element list in the TIBP as it is duplicative of the data dictionary.

**Sense of the Room:**            9 In Favor;            1 Opposed

**GISB Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting**  
**July 13, 1999**  
**MGM Grand Hotel – Las Vegas**

- Theresa welcomed the group and reminded everyone that the anti-trust guidelines from yesterday carried over to today.
- The first item of the day was adoption of the minutes from the June 15-16, 1999 IR Meeting. The draft minutes were reviewed and were adopted as final without modification or objection.
- **Shipper Imbalance *et al* (continued)**  
Based on yesterday's discussion, Jim Keisler proposed additional language for the TIBP for the Shipper Imbalance.

There was discussion as to whether the pathed non-threaded – unthreaded IRT would ever be used without the pathed non-threaded – threaded IRT. The answer was no, although the pathed non-threaded – threaded IRT can be used without the pathed non-threaded – unthreaded IRT.

There was discussion on whether the non-pathed and pathed non-threaded – unthreaded IRTs were the same based on the description. It was noted that the pathed non-threaded - unthreaded IRT is used in conjunction with the pathed non-threaded - threaded IRT, but that the pathed non-threaded - threaded IRT can be used on its own.

Based on the discussion, the following language was proposed:

“The **imbalance reporting type** (IRT) identifies the type of imbalance reporting structure being used.

The pathed IRT is used to communicate imbalances that are reported using upstream, receipt, delivery and downstream information.

The non-pathed IRT is used to communicate imbalances that are reported using either upstream and receipt information, or delivery and downstream information.

The pathed non-threaded - threaded IRT is used to communicate imbalances that are reported using receipt and delivery information (but not upstream or downstream information). The pathed non-threaded - threaded IRT may be used independently from, or in conjunction with, the pathed non-threaded - unthreaded IRT.

The pathed non-threaded - unthreaded IRT is used only in conjunction with the pathed non-threaded - threaded IRT. Together these two IRTs are used to communicate imbalances that are reported using upstream, receipt, delivery and downstream information.”

The first paragraph of the existing TIBP stays as is. The five paragraphs above are then inserted into the TIBP. The data element list in the existing TIBP is deleted in its entirety.

Discussion then turned to the sample paper transactions. The current sample paper transaction for the Shipper Imbalance was modified and will be used for the pathed IRT. Sample paper transactions were developed for the non-pathed, pathed non-threaded – threaded and pathed non-threaded – unthreaded IRTs.

The revision to GISB Standard No. 2.3.34, in R98069 above, was changed to reflect the ordering of ‘Service Requester ID’ *after* ‘Service Requester Contract’ in both the paper sample and the change to standard 2.3.34.

**MOTION:**

Adopt the revisions to the Shipper Imbalance data dictionary, TIBP and associated sample paper transactions as detailed above.

**Sense of the Room:**                7 In Favor;                0 Opposed

• **R97124 -- TransCapacity**

**Request:** Add ‘Contract Level Tracking ID’ to the Nomination and Nomination Quick Response.

**IR Implementation:**

This request was previously processed and sent to the EC. The EC sent the request back to IR for further work. Part of the recommendation involved deletion of the following data elements from the Nomination Quick Response:

- Beginning Date
- Beginning Time
- Ending Date
- Ending Time
- Service Requester Contract

There was discussion as to whether this number has to be unique or whether the sender can use the same number multiple times. Technically, it does not have to be unique; however, it was noted that the number does have to be unique to be useful to the receiver.

Some pipelines send back the assigned identifier for the nomination loop that had the error. However, not everyone uses this implementation for the quick response and, according to Jim Buccigross, this is why they requested this data element.

**MOTION:**

Modify the previous recommendation for R97124 to remove the part which recommends deletion of the data elements Beginning Date, Beginning Time, Ending Date, Ending Time and Service

Requester Contract from the Nomination Quick Response. There will be no changes to the remainder of the recommendation.

**Sense of the Room:**            6 In Favor; 3 Opposed

8.     Next Meeting Dates and Locations

- ◆ August 17 - 18, 1999 in Houston. Exact location to be determined.