

**GISB Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
June 13 – 14, 2000
Boeing's Office; Seattle, Washington
FINAL MINUTES**

1. Jim Keisler opened the meeting and welcomed participants.
2. Jim K. provided housekeeping information.
3. Jim K. gave anti-trust guidelines.
4. The agenda was modified and adopted unanimously.
5. The review of the May 16 – 17, 2000 meeting minutes was deferred until the second day of the IR meeting.
6. Address Current Requests for Initiation or Enhancement of GISB Standards and items transferred from other GISB subcommittees – Discussion, Q&A and Sense of the Room for each item.

Tuesday June 13, 2000

• **R98061 – Colorado Interstate Gas**

Request: Allow No-Notice Transportation (NNT) shippers to request authorization for overrun deliveries under their NNT contracts by adding new data elements (see request for specifics) or through the addition of new nominations related data sets. Accommodate related response documents as needed.

Discussion: The Information Requirements Subcommittee (IR) sent an issue to the Business Practices Subcommittee (BPS) regarding this request. At their May 18, 2000 meeting, BPS recommended that request **R98061** having to do with a No-notice shipper's request for authorization of No-notice overrun deliveries be declined, as the practice does not require standardization at this time. As a result, there is no work to be done on this request by IR. A recommendation form will be forwarded to the Technical Subcommittee.

• **R98062 – Colorado Interstate Gas**

Request: Allow a Park and Loan shipper to submit a request for a specific Park/Loan deal by adding new data elements (see request for specifics) or through the addition of new nominations related data sets. Accommodate related response documents as needed.

Discussion: The IR Subcommittee sent an issue to BPS regarding this request. At their May 25, 2000, BPS recommended that request **R98062** having to do with a shipper's request for a specific Park/Loan deal be declined, as the practice does not require standardization at this time. As a result, there is no work to be done on this request by IR. A recommendation form will be forwarded to the Technical Subcommittee.

- **R96124 – NGPL**

Request: Modify the Shipper Imbalance to support Pathed Non-Threaded model type.

- **R97020 – Market Settlement Task Force**

Request: Modify the Shipper Imbalance to support all model types.

- **R98064 – Texas Eastern**

Request: Add four data elements (Delivery Zone Identifier, Direction of Flow, Receipt Zone Identifier, and Service Requester) to the Shipper Imbalance.

- **R98069 – Panhandle Eastern**

Request: Add four data elements (Contract Daily Tolerance, Quantity in Excess of Contract Daily Tolerance, Variance Percent, and Variance Quantity) to the Shipper Imbalance.

- **R99005 – Williams Gas Pipeline**

Request: Change the definitions of the data elements Operational Receipt Quantity and Operation Delivery Quantity, as they are currently the same.

Discussion: During the discussion of the above requests, the Technical Subcommittee raised the following questions/issues:

1. We will send back the Sample Paper for “Pathed Non-threaded – Threaded and Unthreaded” to IR for them to consider the layout of the Sub-sub-detail section in the example. It appears that the Upstream Identifier Code and Downstream Identifier Code should be in separate Sub-detail sections with the corresponding Receipt Location and Delivery Location instead of in the Sub-sub-detail section.
2. We do not have an exact list of the Code Value Descriptions for Imbalance Reporting Type, so we will send this back to IR and ask them to provide us with the descriptions.

Here is a partial layout for the **Sample Paper Transaction** for the Pathed Non-threaded – Threaded and Unthreaded Imbalance Reporting Type:

Sub-detail Section:

Receipt Location

Delivery Location

Sub-sub-detail Section:

Statement Basis

Beginning Flow Date/Time

Ending Flow Date/Time

Allocated Receipt Quantity

Allocated Delivery Quantity
Fuel Quantity

Upstream Identifier Code
Statement Basis
Beginning Flow Date/Time
Ending Flow Date/Time
Allocated Receipt Quantity

Downstream Identifier Code
Statement Basis
Beginning Flow Date/Time
Ending Flow Date/Time
Allocated Delivery Quantity

The Technical Subcommittee's suggestion is to move the Upstream Identifier Code and Downstream Identifier Code from the Sub-sub-detail section to the Sub-detail section. There was general consensus that the Technical Subcommittee's suggestion should not be implemented; however, further discussion was postponed until June 14, 2000, per the agenda.

- **R98040 – Columbia Gas Transmission**

Request: Develop a storage balance report.

- **R98050 – TransCapacity**

Request: Develop a storage park and loan balance information dataset. Add a code value to the data element Data Sets Requested to facilitate the request of this dataset.

Discussion: Requests **R98040** and **R98050** request a new data set, Storage Balance Report, which will be added to the Flowing Gas Implementation Guide.

An IR workpaper for the Storage Balance Report Draft Data Dictionary has been prepared, and will serve as a starting point for our discussion. This workpaper, dated May 17, 2000, was reviewed.

MOTION: In the IR workpaper for the Storage Balance Report Draft Data Dictionary, dated May 17, 2000, change the name of the IR workpaper from "Storage Balance Report (R98040) Draft Data Dictionary" to "Storage Balance Report (R98040/R98050) Draft Data Dictionary". In addition, change the EDI/FF Usage of the data element Location Proprietary Code from "???" to "SO", change the EDI/FF Usage of the data element Statement Basis from "???" to "SO", and change the EDI/FF Usage of the data element Transaction Type from "???" to "M".

Sense of the Room: 5 In favor 0 Opposed

Motion Passed.

A review of the revised IR workpaper for the Storage Balance Report (R98040/R98050) Draft Data Dictionary began.

A suggestion was made to change the name of the IR workpaper from “Storage Balance Report (R98040/R98050) Draft Data Dictionary” to “Storage Report (R98040/R98050) Draft Data Dictionary”. There was no objection to this suggestion.

A suggestion was made to change the name of the data element ‘Transaction Type’ to ‘Quantity Type’. After a lengthy discussion, it was decided that the data element should remain ‘Transaction Type’.

The EDI/FF Usage for each data element was examined. Some changes were made and these changes have been reflected in the Draft Data Dictionary.

The Abbreviation for each data element was examined. Some changes were made and these changes have been reflected in the Draft Data Dictionary.

An EBB Usage was assigned for each data element. These usages have been reflected in the Draft Data Dictionary.

At previous IR Meetings, the following hierarchy of Levels was identified:

- 1) Header
- 2) Service Requester Contract (SR K)
- 3) Flow Date
- 4) Location
- 5) Quantity

The Level for each data element was examined. As a result of this examination, the data element ‘Reporting Type’ was added to the Draft Data Dictionary. Additionally, the usages and conditions of the data elements ‘Quantity’, ‘Transaction Type’, ‘Associated contract’, and ‘Fuel Quantity’ were revised.

Meeting adjourned at 3:30 PM.

Wednesday June 14, 2000

The red-lined IR meeting minutes from May 16 – 17, 2000 were approved, as modified.

- **R98040 – Columbia Gas Transmission**

Request: Develop a storage balance report.

- **R98050 – TransCapacity**

Request: Develop a storage park and loan balance information dataset. Add a code value to the data element Data Sets Requested to facilitate the request of this dataset.

Discussion: Requests **R98040** and **R98050** request a new data set, Storage Balance Report, which will be added to the Flowing Gas Implementation Guide.

The next task is to create code value definitions for the data element Transaction Type. The code values for Transaction Type which exist within the GISB Nominations and Flowing Gas Related Standards will be used as a reference.

The example below was prepared in order to determine which code values should be utilized for injection and withdrawal overruns.

Storage Inventory Maximum = 10,000

Daily Injection Maximum = 1,000

Ending Storage Balance (end of previous month) = 7,000

Day	Injection	Balance	Daily Overrun	Inventory Overrun
1	1,000	8,000	0	0
2	2,000	10,000	1,000	0
3	1,000	11,000	0	1,000
4	2,000	13,000	1,000	3,000

The applicable existing GISB code values and their associated code value definitions for the data element Transaction Type were incorporated into the Draft Data Dictionary. In addition, code value definitions were assigned for the new Transaction Types which have been identified during previous IR meetings.

Participants were requested to review the draft Transaction Types for discussion at a subsequent IR meeting.

- **R96124 – NGPL**

Request: Modify the Shipper Imbalance to support Pathed Non-Threaded model type.

- **R97020 – Market Settlement Task Force**

Request: Modify the Shipper Imbalance to support all model types.

- **R98064 – Texas Eastern**

Request: Add four data elements (Delivery Zone Identifier, Direction of Flow, Receipt Zone Identifier, and Service Requester) to the Shipper Imbalance.

- **R98069 – Panhandle Eastern**

Request: Add four data elements (Contract Daily Tolerance, Quantity in Excess of Contract Daily Tolerance, Variance Percent, and Variance Quantity) to the Shipper Imbalance.

- **R99005 – Williams Gas Pipeline**

Request: Change the definitions of the data elements Operational Receipt Quantity and Operation Delivery Quantity, as they are currently the same.

Discussion: During the discussion of the above requests, the Technical Subcommittee raised the following questions/issues:

1. We will send back the Sample Paper for “Pathed Non-threaded – Threaded and Unthreaded” to IR for them to consider the layout of the Sub-sub-detail section in the example. It appears that the Upstream Identifier Code and Downstream Identifier Code should be in separate Sub-detail sections with the corresponding Receipt Location and Delivery Location instead of in the Sub-sub-detail section.
2. We do not have an exact list of the Code Value Descriptions for Imbalance Reporting Type, so we will send this back to IR and ask them to provide us with the descriptions.

Answer to Technical Subcommittee regarding Shipper Imbalance Question # 2:

MOTION: To adopt the following Code Value Descriptions and Code Value Definitions for the data element Imbalance Reporting Type in the Shipper Imbalance:

Code value descriptions for the Imbalance Reporting Type in the Shipper Imbalance:

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
Non-Pathed	[no definition necessary]	
Pathed	[no definition necessary]	
Pathed Non-Threaded - Threaded	[no definition necessary]	
Pathed Non-Threaded – Unthreaded	[no definition necessary]	

Sense of the Room: 8 In favor 0 Opposed

Motion Passed.

Answer to Technical Subcommittee regarding Shipper Imbalance Question # 1:

A suggestion was made to remove the references to Header, Detail, Sub-detail, and Sub-sub-detail from the 4 Sample Paper Transactions for the Shipper Imbalance.

Randy Young and Pete Whatley will review the Sample Paper Transaction for the Pathed Non-Threaded – Threaded and Unthreaded example. Further discussion of this Sample Paper Transaction will be deferred until their review has been completed.

- **EBB Internet Implementation Task Force Capacity Release data element review**

Discussion: During the discussion of the Capacity Release data elements, the Technical Subcommittee raised the following questions/issues:

1. Gas Transaction Point 1 Code needs to be split out into separate Common Code and Proprietary code data elements for all the datasets where it exists [5.4.1, 5.4.2, 5.4.3, 5.4.4, 5.4.7, 5.4.8, 5.4.13, 5.4.17, and 5.4.18]. (Note: the Technical Subcommittee should have listed 5.4.9 here, and not 5.4.8. Standard 5.4.8 is mentioned in the next question.)
2. In the Offer Upload Quick Response (5.4.8) and Bid Upload Quick Response (5.4.19), the Technical Subcommittee recommends that the Gas Transaction Point 1 Code and Gas Transaction Point 2 Code data elements be split out into separate Common Code and Proprietary code data elements. Even though these datasets are not used for EBB, there are two distinct data elements that can be sent to identify the location via EDI in response to an Offer Upload or Bid Upload. Since these data elements were split out in the Offer Upload and Bid Upload, and given distinct usages for EDI, these corresponding changes are needed for consistency. In addition, the data dictionary needs to have the ** footnote added.
3. In the Offer Upload (5.4.7), and Bid Upload (5.4.18), the data element “Detail Level Identifier” is marked as SO for EBB Usage. Technical believes this is incorrect and should be changed to “nu” for EBB Usage since this data element is used to tie the detail level to the corresponding errors in the Offer Upload Quick Response (5.4.8) or Bid Upload Quick Response (5.4.19), and the Offer Upload Quick Response and Bid Upload Quick Response are not used on the EBB.

Answer to Technical Subcommittee regarding Capacity Release Question # 3:

MOTION: In the Offer Upload (5.4.7) and Bid Upload (5.4.18), change the EBB Usage of the “Detail Level Identifier” from SO to MA.

Sense of the Room: 8 In favor 0 Opposed

Motion Passed.

Answer to Technical Subcommittee regarding Capacity Release Question # 1:

There was extensive discussion as to whether or not indented data elements should be created for Gas Transaction Point 1 Code.

MOTION: IR should proceed with completing the EBB/EDM and FF/EDM implementation for Capacity Release Data Dictionaries in response to the questions posed by the Technical Subcommittee.

Sense of the Room: 7 In favor 1 Opposed

Motion Passed.

The following transaction sets will be reviewed: Offer Download (5.4.1), Bid Download (5.4.2), Award Download (5.4.3), Replacement Capacity (5.4.4), Offer Upload (5.4.7), Offer Upload Notification (5.4.9), Operationally Available and Unsubscribed Capacity (5.4.13), Note/Special Instruction (5.4.17), and Bid Upload (5.4.18).

For the Offer Download (5.4.1), create indented data elements for the data element Gas Transaction Point 1 Code as follows:

Business Name (Abbreviation)	Definition	EBB Usage	EDI/FF Usage	Condition
Gas Transaction Point 1 Data	The code which uniquely corresponds to Gas Transaction Point 1.			
Gas Transaction Point 1 Code ** (GTP 1)		C	C	When this field uniquely identifies the segment, a proprietary segment may be used. Otherwise, the common code must be used.
Gas Transaction Point 1 Proprietary Code (GTP 1 Prop)		C	C	Mandatory when Gas Transaction Point 1 Code is not present.

Change the EBB Usage for the data element Gas Transaction Point 1 Description as follows:

Business Name (Abbreviation)	Definition	EBB Usage	EDI/FF Usage	Condition
Gas Transaction Point 1 Description (GTP 1 Desc)	The transportation service provider designated name, description or other identifier generally in use on the pipeline to identify this gas transaction point (not a standard).	M	SO	

Create indented data elements for the data element Gas Transaction Point 2 Code as follows:

Business Name (Abbreviation)	Definition	EBB Usage	EDI/FF Usage	Condition
Gas Transaction Point 2 Data	The code which uniquely corresponds to Gas Transaction Point 2.			
Gas Transaction Point 2 Code * ** (GTP 2)		C	C	Mandatory when the Segment Indicator indicates the segment is defined by two points.
Gas Transaction Point 2 Proprietary Code (GTP 2 Prop)		C	C	Mandatory when the Segment Indicator indicates the segment is defined by two points, and Gas Transaction Point 2 Code is not present.

Change the EBB Usage for the data element Gas Transaction Point 2 Description as follows:

Business Name (Abbreviation)	Definition	EBB Usage	EDI/FF Usage	Condition
Gas Transaction Point 2 Description (GTP 2 Desc)	The transportation service provider designated name, description or other identifier generally in use on the pipeline to identify this gas transaction point (not a standard).	C	SO	For EBB, mandatory when the Segment Indicator indicates the segment is defined by two points.

This concludes the changes that would be required for the Offer Download (5.4.1) transaction set.

It was observed that the Bid Download (5.4.2), Award Download (5.4.3), Replacement Capacity (5.4.4), and Operationally Available and Unsubscribed Capacity (5.4.13) transaction sets would probably require the same implementation as documented above.

However, for the Offer Upload (5.4.7), Offer Upload Notification (5.4.9), Note/Special Instruction (5.4.17), and Bid Upload (5.4.18) transaction sets, a different implementation will probably be required.

The IR Chairs will prepare a proposed implementation for the 8 transaction sets listed above. In addition, the IR Chairs will schedule a conference call prior to the next face-to-face to review the proposed implementation.

Answer to Technical Subcommittee regarding Capacity Release Question # 2:

The following transaction sets will be reviewed: Offer Upload Quick Response (5.4.8) and Bid Upload Quick Response (5.4.19).

The IR Chairs will prepare a proposed implementation for the 2 transaction sets listed above. This proposed implementation will be discussed at the aforementioned conference call.

The following requests listed on the agenda were not addressed:

- **R98081 – Williams Gas Pipeline**
- **R98082 – Williams Gas Pipeline**
- **R98084 – Williams Gas Pipeline**
- **R99006 – Williams Gas Pipeline**
- **R97088 – Transco**
- **R97094 – Transco**
- **C98003 – Enron Capital and Trade**
- **R98007 – Columbia Gas Transmission**
- **R98008 – Columbia Gas Transmission**
- **R99004 – Algonquin**
- **R99023 – Panhandle Eastern**
- **R99045 – Duke Energy**
- **R96121A – ANR Pipeline**

Meeting adjourned at 3:30 PM.

7. No other business was discussed.
8. The next meeting will be held on July 18 - 19, 2000 in Detroit, Michigan at ANR Pipeline.