

GISB Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
March 31, 1999
Enron's Offices -- Houston, TX
FINAL Minutes

1. The group was welcomed by Theresa Hess. Attendees introduced themselves.
2. Theresa provided housekeeping directions.
3. Theresa provided the antitrust guidelines.
4. Adoption of Agenda -- The agenda was modified to add R98039 after the EIITF Related item. The Technical Subcommittee returned this request to IR to address the Condition of the new data elements in the Scheduled Quantity and Scheduled Quantity for Operator.
5. Approval of Prior Meeting Minutes -- The minutes for the February 22 - 23 and March 15 - 16 meetings were approved with revisions.
6. Address Current Requests for Initiation or Enhancement of GISB Standards – Discussion, Q&A and Sense of the Room for each item.

• **Update on BPS' Response to IR Issues Related to R97111**

Issues 1, 2 and 4 are complete and do not require any additional work by IR. We need to review the Business Summary in the front of the Capacity Release Related Standards Book and the TIBP for the Offer Upload Final Disposition to make sure they are in sync with the response from BPS. Issue #3 will be added to the next IR agenda.

• **EIITF RELATED -- (see attached data dictionaries for details)**

* The posted workpaper 'Updates to IR-Approved Data Dictionaries for EIITF Consideration' was reviewed. Revisions were made to the corresponding data dictionaries.

* Abbreviations were developed for the Measured Volume Audit Statement. During the discussion, the following issue was raised: Does a proprietary code data element need to be added for the 'PI Data Ref. Number' or is it already covered by the existing 'Device Station Number' data element?

MOTION:

Should there be a 'PI Data Ref. Number Proprietary Code' data element in the Measured Volume Audit Statement?

Sense of the Room: 1 Yes; 7 No

* Usage of Tracking IDs was addressed. The following revisions were made:

- ◆ Delete the second to the last sentence in the definition of 'Nominator's Tracking ID'. This affects the Nomination, Nomination Quick Response and Scheduled Quantity.
- ◆ Delete the second to the last sentence in the definition of 'PDA Submitter's Tracking ID'. This affects the PDA and PDA Quick Response.
- ◆ Revise the Assumption for the Request for Confirmation to: "The EBB Usage of 'BC' is based upon the business practices of the entity named in the title bar."

This assumption is being revised in order to clarify the EBB Usage of 'BC'.

- ◆ Revise the Assumption for the Confirmation Response to: "The EBB Usage of 'BC' is based upon the business practices of the entity named in the title bar. The EBB Usage of 'SO' means that the data may be input at the option of the party entering the data."

This assumption is being revised in order to clarify the EBB Usages of 'BC' and 'SO'.

We don't need an assumption to cover the PDA Submitter's Tracking ID because the PDA is always input into the receiver's data base.

* The following revisions were made to the Condition of the noted data elements in the Nomination. See attached data dictionaries for complete Conditions.

- ◆ For Delivery Location, Delivery Location Name and Delivery Location Proprietary Code:

First bullet: Pathed model or Pathed Non-Threaded model - Threaded Segment is used.

Second bullet: Non-Pathed model or Pathed Non-Threaded model - Unthreaded Segment is used, and Receipt Location and Receipt Location Proprietary Code are not present.

- ◆ For Receipt Location, Receipt Location Name and Receipt Location Proprietary Code:

First bullet: Pathed model or Pathed Non-Threaded model - Threaded Segment is used.

Second bullet: Non-Pathed model or Pathed Non-Threaded model - Unthreaded Segment is used, and Delivery Location and Delivery Location Proprietary Code are not present.

* Abbreviations and Usages were addressed for the Invoicing Related data dictionaries.

IR decided that the data elements in the Invoicing Related data dictionaries do not need to be split out for codes and their associated descriptions because these data sets are not required to be posted on EBBs. We will follow this same convention for the data elements in the Nomination Quick Response, Confirmation Response Quick Response and PDA Quick Response.

These revisions, and any others that we make to the data dictionaries, will be brought to the attention of EIITF.

MOTION:

Adopt all modifications as defined in the attached Nomination, Flowing Gas and Invoicing related data dictionaries.

Sense of the Room: 8 In Favor; 0 Opposed

GISB Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
April 1, 1999
Enron's Offices -- Houston, TX
FINAL Minutes

• **R98039 – El Paso Natural Gas**

The Technical Subcommittee passed the following question back to IR: Should a Condition be added to the Nomination Subsequent Cycle Indicator in the Scheduled Quantity and the Confirmation Subsequent Cycle Indicator in the Scheduled Quantity for Operator to indicate that the data element does not need to be included in the document if the tracking ID is sent?

MOTION:

* Add the following Condition for Nomination Subsequent Cycle Indicator in the Scheduled Quantity: “This element is not needed when the Nominator’s Tracking ID is used.”

* Add the following Condition for Confirmation Subsequent Cycle Indicator in the Scheduled Quantity for Operator: “This element is not needed when the Confirmation Tracking Identifier is used.”

Sense of the Room: 8 In Favor; 0 Opposed

• **R96124 (NGPL) & R97020 (Market Settlement Task Force) --**

IR reviewed the workpaper provided by NGPL and Koch. First the Header data elements were reviewed for all model types and EBB. Then the Usages for the Pathed, Pathed Non-Threaded - Threaded Segment and Pathed Non-Threaded - Unthreaded Segment model types were reviewed. We stopped at the beginning of the sub-sub-detail level of the Pathed Non-Threaded - Unthreaded Segment. (See attached workpaper for details.)

Part of the proposed solution is to add the ‘Model Type’ data element. Discussed re-naming it to ‘Imbalance Type’ so it isn’t assumed that the same Model Type that was used in the Nomination will be used in the Shipper Imbalance. We will call it ‘Imbalance Type’ for now.

◆ **PATHED MODEL:**

* Downstream Contract Identifier – revise Condition to: “Mandatory when present and processed in the nomination.”

* Upstream Contract Identifier – revise Condition to: “Mandatory when present and processed in the nomination.”

◆ **PATHED NON-THREADED MODEL - UNTHREADED SEGMENT:**

* Downstream Contract Identifier – revise Condition to: “Mandatory when present and processed in the nomination.”

* Upstream Contract Identifier – revise Condition to: “Mandatory when present and processed in the nomination.”

◆ **ISSUES to BPS:**

- 1) Is the Adjustment Quantity data element needed? How is this data element used? Since the adjusted quantity is shown at the line item level, what goes in this data element?
- 2) If the Adjustment Quantity is used, does it reflect the difference or the net quantity? And the difference or net between which two quantities?
- 3) We need additional Adjustment Type code values to specify adjustments made to any of the quantities that are included in the statement.

IR will address the Usage of Adjustment Quantity, Adjustment Type and Adjustment Value after we get answers from BPS.

- ◆ The discussion of the Shipper Imbalance will be continued after the overview of R98041.

• **R98041 – Columbia**

This request addresses the ability to send a “Super-Nom”.

- ◆ This is only an overview of the Super-Nom request. IR will address the request at a subsequent meeting. Below are notes on Columbia’s Super-Nom business practices.

* For Columbia, there can be a unique transportation contract for each zone traversed. But, this is not always the case. They need a contract and bid rate for each zone.

* Columbia breaks up a Super-Nom into four separate nominations in their back-office. The Super-Nom is simply a data entry tool. Columbia treats them as individual nominations when scheduling and then groups them back together for the Scheduled Quantity. However, they noted that individual nominations are only bundled for the Scheduled Quantity when they were submitted to Columbia as a Super-Nom.

* The service level does not have to be the same for each zone. Service Requesters can combine firm and interruptible nominations onto one Super-Nom.

* The invoice stays at zone movement level. The data is not re-bundled as it is for the Scheduled Quantity. Columbia shows all of the data on a single invoice.

* Imbalance are held per pipeline; that is, the imbalance is held with the individual pipeline.

◆ Below are some questions IR raised that will need to be answered during the processing of this request:

* What is the effect on downstream data sets?

* Do we need to accommodate Super-Noms in EDI? This question will need to be answered by EIITF.

* Does the Super-Nom work for nominations that are not Pathed? IR should look at this as we address the request.

* How are ranks handled?

* GISB Principle 1.1.3 gives a definition of super-nomination. Columbia's request covers nominations across their pipelines only. It does not cover nominations on pipelines outside of the Columbia family, and therefore, do not cover nominations from wellhead to burnertip. GISB could come up with a new name for Columbia's type of nomination. This would need to be taken back to EIITF. The proposed standard s66 would need to be revised to state the correct name. If s66 is not revised, and we implement Super-Noms per Columbia's business practice noted above, then s66 will be in conflict with existing GISB Principle 1.1.3.

● **R96124 & R07020 (continued)**

◆ The following Conditions for Delivery Location, Delivery Location Proprietary Code, Receipt Location and Receipt Location Proprietary Code cover Pathed, and both segments of Pathed Non-Threaded. We may need to revise wording when we cover Non-Pathed.

* Delivery Location -- revise Condition to: "Mandatory when one of the following conditions is met:

- Pathed model or Pathed Non-Threaded model - Threaded Segment is used.
- Pathed Non-Threaded model - Unthreaded Segment is used, and Receipt Location and Receipt Location Proprietary Code are not present."

* Delivery Location Proprietary Code -- revise Condition to: "Mandatory when Delivery Location is not present and one of the following conditions is met:

- Pathed model or Pathed Non-Threaded model - Threaded Segment is used.
- Pathed Non-Threaded model - Unthreaded Segment is used, and Receipt Location and Receipt Location Proprietary Code are not present."

- * Receipt Location -- revise Condition to: “Mandatory when one of the following conditions is met:
 - Pathed model or Pathed Non-Threaded model - Threaded Segment is used.
 - Pathed Non-Threaded model - Unthreaded Segment is used, and Delivery Location and Delivery Location Proprietary Code are not present.”

- * Receipt Location Proprietary Code -- revise Condition to: “Mandatory when Receipt Location is not present and one of the following conditions is met:
 - Pathed model or Pathed Non-Threaded model - Threaded Segment is used.
 - Pathed Non-Threaded model - Unthreaded Segment is used, and Delivery Location and Delivery Location Proprietary Code are not present.”

- * Upstream Identifier Code -- revise Condition to: “Mandatory when Receipt Location or Receipt Location Proprietary Code is present.”

- * Downstream Identifier Code -- revise Condition to: “Mandatory when Delivery Location or Delivery Location Proprietary Code is present.”

- 8. Next Meeting Dates and Locations --
 - ◆ April 13 - 14 at Duke Energy’s offices in Houston
 - ◆ May 17 - 18 will be held at Boeing’s offices in Seattle, Washington
 - ◆ June 15 - 16 will be held at ANR’s offices in Detroit, Michigan