

**GISB Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
March 28 – 29, 2000
Williams Gas Pipeline; Houston, TX
FINAL MINUTES**

1. Jim Keisler opened the meeting and welcomed participants.
2. Jim K. provided housekeeping information.
3. Jim K. gave anti-trust guidelines.
4. ANR Pipeline withdrew Request **R98033** on March 23, 2000. Williams (Transco) withdrew Request **R98059** on March 23, 2000. Therefore, these requests were removed from the agenda. The agenda was further modified and adopted unanimously.
5. The February meeting minutes were adopted as modified.
6. Address Current Requests for Initiation or Enhancement of GISB Standards and items transferred from other GISB subcommittees – Discussion, Q&A and Sense of the Room for each item.

Tuesday March 28, 2000

• **EIITF Related**

Develop EBB usages and create “indented” data elements for the Capacity Release data sets. The data sets will be addressed in the order that they appear in the Capacity Release Related Standards manual.

Discussion: The Information Requirements Subcommittee (IR) requires one final review of the revised Capacity Release Data Dictionaries. This review includes reviewing the workpaper entitled Data Element Cross Reference – Capacity Release. Additionally, this review should insure that the issue to be sent to the Business Practices Subcommittee (BPS) regarding the data element Bidder Affiliate Indicator has been correctly worded.

IR Implementation

No additional changes were identified to the revised Capacity Release Data Dictionaries.

No additional changes were identified to the workpaper entitled Data Element Cross Reference – Capacity Release.

However, Jim Keisler stated that the following paragraph, within the BPS Issue, should be modified.

In the Offer Upload and the Bid Upload, the data element Bidder Affiliate Indicator is Business Conditional. In order to make this EBB implementation consistent with the EDI/FF implementation, if the TSP requires the submission of the bidder affiliate information and the bidder is not affiliated with either the TSP or the Releasing Shipper, then the data element Bidder Affiliate Indicator is not populated with a code value. The data element Bidder Affiliate Indicator is also used within the Offer Download, Bid Download, Award Download, and Offer Upload Notification data sets.

Revised Issue to Business Practices Subcommittee regarding the data element Bidder Affiliate Indicator: During IR’s development of the EBB usages for the Capacity Release data sets, it was determined that the data element Bidder Affiliate Indicator could not be implemented on an EBB since no GISB code values are available.

The current EDI/FF implementation cannot be effectively mirrored in an EBB implementation. It provides one ANSI code value to describe four bidder affiliate possibilities. Those possibilities are specified by the location within X12 of that ANSI code. The single existing ANSI code value can not specify the four possibilities in an EBB Implementation.

Therefore, IR recommends the addition of the following table to the Code Values Dictionary, for EBB only, for the data element Bidder Affiliate Indicator:

<u>Code Value Description</u>	<u>Code Value Definition</u>	(Suggested) <u>Code Value</u>
Bidder is affiliated with the TSP	[no definition necessary]	TSP
Bidder is affiliated with the Releasing Shipper	[no definition necessary]	RS
Bidder is affiliated with the TSP and the Releasing Shipper	[no definition necessary]	Both
Bidder is affiliated with neither the TSP nor the Releasing Shipper	[no definition necessary]	None

In the Offer Upload and the Bid Upload, the data element Bidder Affiliate Indicator is Business Conditional. If the TSP requires the submission of the bidder affiliate information, then the data element Bidder Affiliate Indicator should be populated on the EBB with one of the four code values from the preceding table. The data element Bidder Affiliate indicator is also used within the Offer Download, Bid Download, Award Download, and Offer Upload Notification data sets.

The addition of this table to the Code Values Dictionary does not in any way affect the EDI/FF implementation associated with this data element.

Please affirm IR's proposed EBB implementation for the data element Bidder Affiliate Indicator.

MOTION: Approve the revised Capacity Release Data Dictionaries, approve the workpaper entitled Data Element Cross Reference – Capacity Release, and send the issue, as modified, regarding the data element Bidder Affiliate Indicator to the Business Practices Subcommittee.

Sense of the Room: 6 In favor 0 Opposed

Motion Passed

- **R96007 – Transcapacity**

Request: Develop a transaction set to request allocation information.

- **R96008 – Transcapacity**

Request: Develop a transaction set to request shipper imbalance information.

Discussion: This request was sent to the Technical Subcommittee. However, the Technical Subcommittee Chairs have returned 3 recommendations regarding the request.

Recommendation 1: In the Request for Information and the Response to Request for Information, the data element “Request ID” should be mandatory.

Response to Recommendation 1: IR agrees that the data element “Request ID” should have a usage of mandatory in the both the Request for Information and the Response to Request for Information.

Recommendation 2: In the Response to Request for Information, the following data elements should be deleted: “Allocation Transaction Type Code”, “Data Sets Requested”, “Information Requested Begin Date”, “Information Requested End Date”, “Statement Recipient ID”, and “Service Requester”.

Response to Recommendation 2: IR agrees that the above 6 data elements can be deleted from the Response to Request for Information.

Recommendation 3: In the Request for Information and the Response to Request for Information, change the name of “Requester Company Code” to “Requester” to be in line with the way other “entity” data elements are named.

Response to Recommendation 3: IR agrees that the data element which represents the requester of the information will be named “Requester” in both the Request for Information and the Response to Request for Information.

IR Implementation

In the Request for Information, the usage of the data element “Request ID” will be changed to mandatory. Additionally, the name of the data element “Requester Company Code” will be changed to “Requester”.

In the Response to Request for Information, the usage of the data element “Request ID” will be changed to mandatory. In addition, the data elements “Allocation Transaction Type Code”, “Data Sets Requested”, “Information Requested Begin Date”, “Information Requested End Date”, “Statement Recipient ID”, and “Service Requester” will be deleted. Finally, the name of the data element “Requester Company Code” will be changed to “Requester”.

Modifications to the Technical Implementation of Business Processes for the Request for Information and the Response to Request for Information were required.

Modifications to the Sample Paper Transactions for the Request for Information and the Response to Request for Information were required.

It was noted that the standards proposed by the Business Practices Subcommittee regarding these data sets indicate that these data sets apply only to EDI and FF when necessary, and not to the EBB. Therefore, EBB Usages, Data Groupings, and Data Ordering are not required for these data sets.

MOTION: Approve the modified Data Dictionaries for the Request for Information and the Response to Request for Information, and the associated TIBPs and Sample Paper Transactions.

Sense of the Room: 8 In favor 0 Opposed

Motion Passed

- **Imbalance Statement**

R96124 – NGPL

Request: Modify the Shipper Imbalance to support Pathed Non-Threaded model type.

R97020 – Market Settlement Task Response

Request: Modify the Shipper Imbalance to support all model types.

R98064 – Texas Eastern

Request: Add four data elements (Delivery Zone Identifier, Direction of Flow, Receipt Zone Identifier, and Service Requester) to the Shipper Imbalance.

R98069 – Panhandle Eastern

Request: Add four data elements (Contract Daily Tolerance, Quantity in Excess of Contract Daily Tolerance, Variance Percent, and Variance Quantity).

Discussion: Deferred until the first item tomorrow morning.

- **R98031/R98035B – Tennessee/ANR Pipeline**

Request: Develop a Confirmation by Exception Report.

Discussion: On February 24, 2000 the Business Practices Subcommittee declined this request. As a result, IR requires no further action.

- **R98085 – Enron Capital and Trade**

Request: Revise the Nomination to accommodate the sending of the Upstream / Downstream Contract Identifier without sending Upstream / Downstream Identifier Code at pooling and logical points.

Discussion: On February 24, 2000, the Business Practices Subcommittee recommended that Standard 4.3.77 be deleted. As a result, IR requires no further action.

- **R98013 – Columbia Gas**

Request: Provide a consistent way to send Static Pressure and Static Pressure Range in the Measured Volume Audit Statement. Provide a consistent way to send Differential Pressure and Maximum Differential Pressure in the Measured Volume Audit Statement.

Discussion: IR recommended to the Business Practices Subcommittee that in the Measured Volume Audit Statement, the Static Pressure Indicator be deleted and a new data element Unit of Measure be added. On December 16, 1999, BPS voted to adopt IR's recommendation.

IR Implementation

MOTION:

Delete the data element Static Pressure Indicator from the Measured Volume Audit Statement.

Add the following data element to the Measured Volume Audit Statement:

<u>Business Name</u>	<u>Definition</u>	<u>EDI/FF Usage</u>	<u>Condition</u>
Unit of Measure (U/Meas)	Specifies the unit or basis for measurement for the corresponding measurement value.	M	

Add the following code value descriptions for the data element Unit of Measure in the Measured Volume Audit Statement:

<u>Code Value</u> <u>Description</u>	<u>Code Value Definition</u>	<u>Code Value</u>	<u>Applicable</u> <u>Data Elements</u>
Inches of water	[no definition necessary]		4,5
Kilopascal	[no definition necessary]		1,2,3,4,5
PSI	[no definition necessary]		4,5
PSIA	[no definition necessary]		1,2,3
PSIG	[no definition necessary]		1,2,3

There are 5 data elements for which Unit of Measure code values are applicable:

- (1) Minimum Static Pressure Range
- (2) Maximum Static Pressure Range
- (3) Static Pressure
- (4) Maximum Differential Pressure
- (5) Differential Pressure.

No modifications were necessary to the TIBP; however, some modifications were necessary to the Sample Paper Transaction. Under Physical Device Information, for the data element Maximum Differential Pressure change the qualifier from PSIA to PSI. Under Flowing Gas Information, delete the data element Static Pressure Indicator, and for the data element Differential Pressure change the qualifier from PSIA to PSI.

The Measured Volume Audit Statement has been previously determined to be unsuitable for presentation on an EBB. Therefore, EBB Usages, Data Groupings, and Data Ordering are not applicable.

Sense of the Room: 8 In favor 0 Opposed

Motion Passed.

Meeting adjourned at 4:30 PM.

Wednesday March 29, 2000

- **Imbalance Statement**

R96124 – NGPL

Request: Modify the Shipper Imbalance to support Pathed Non-Threaded model type.

R97020 – Market Settlement Task Response

Request: Modify the Shipper Imbalance to support all model types.

R98064 – Texas Eastern

Request: Add four data elements (Delivery Zone Identifier, Direction of Flow, Receipt Zone Identifier, and Service Requester) to the Shipper Imbalance.

R98069 – Panhandle Eastern

Request: Add four data elements (Contract Daily Tolerance, Quantity in Excess of Contract Daily Tolerance, Variance Percent, and Variance Quantity).

Discussion: These requests were submitted to modify the Shipper Imbalance to support all model types. A review of the IR minutes from July 12, 1999 was conducted in order for the meeting participants to re-acquaint themselves with this revised Shipper Imbalance.

IR Implementation

The following items were discussed based on the July 12, 1999 IR minutes:

- 1) The data elements Delivery Zone and Receipt Zone appeared in the revised Data Dictionary, but did not appear in the Data Element Cross Reference to ASC X12 (X-Ref). Therefore, these data elements were added to the X-Ref at the sub-detail level.

Delivery Zone usages by model type ----> Pathed - SO, Non-Pathed - SO, Pathed Non-Threaded Threaded Segment – SO, and Pathed Non-Threaded Unthreaded Segment – SO

Receipt Zone usages by model type ----> Pathed - SO, Non-Pathed - SO, Pathed Non-Threaded Threaded Segment – SO, and Pathed Non-Threaded Unthreaded Segment – SO

- 2) The data element Service Requester ID appeared in the revised Data Dictionary, but did not appear in the X-Ref. Therefore, this data element was added to the X-Ref at the detail level.

Service Requester ID usage by model type - Pathed - M, Non-Pathed - M, Pathed Non-Threaded Threaded Segment – M, and Pathed Non-Threaded Unthreaded Segment – M

- 3) The data elements Scheduling Tolerance Delivery Quantity and Scheduling Tolerance

Receipt Quantity appeared in the revised Data Dictionary, but did not appear in the X-Ref. Therefore, these data elements were added to the X-Ref at the sub-sub-detail level.

Scheduling Tolerance Delivery Quantity usages by model type ----> Pathed - SO, Non-Pathed - SO, Pathed Non-Threaded Threaded Segment – SO, and Pathed Non-Threaded Unthreaded Segment – SO

Scheduling Tolerance Receipt Quantity usages by model type ----> Pathed - SO, Non-Pathed - SO, Pathed Non-Threaded Threaded Segment – SO, and Pathed Non-Threaded Unthreaded Segment – SO

In the Business Practices Subcommittee meeting on February 3, 2000, a motion was passed which eliminated the Adjustment Value data element from the Shipper Imbalance dataset. Therefore, the Adjustment Value data element has been removed from the revised Shipper Imbalance Data Dictionary and the X-Ref.

IR sent a number of questions to the Business Practices Subcommittee regarding this revised Shipper Imbalance. These questions were answered by BPS at their January 13, 2000 meeting.

Question 1: Is the Adjustment Quantity data element needed? How is the data element used? Since the adjusted quantity is shown at the line item level, what goes in this data element?

Answer 1: The Adjustment Quantity is not needed at the line item level. The rest of the question is moot.

Question 2: If the Adjustment Quantity is used, does it reflect the difference or the net quantity? And, the difference or net between which two quantities?

Answer 2: This question is moot given the answer to question 1.

Question 3: Additional Adjustment Type Code values are needed to specify adjustments made to any of the quantities that are included in the statement?

Answer 3: the IR Subcommittee can develop Additional Adjustment Type Code values.

There was consensus that BPS did not direct IR to delete the data elements Adjustment Quantity and Adjustment Type. Additionally, there was consensus that those data elements should reside at a higher level, i.e. at the same level as the Service Requester Contract. Therefore, the data elements Adjustment Quantity and Adjustment Type are moved to the detail level in the X-Ref. It is now necessary to assign usages for these data elements for each model type.

Adjustment Quantity usages by model type ----> Pathed - C, Non-Pathed - C, Pathed Non-Threaded Threaded Segment – C, and Pathed Non-Threaded Unthreaded Segment – C

The condition remains “For imbalance – based upon Adjustment Type”.

Adjustment Type usages by model type ----> Pathed - C, Non-Pathed - C, Pathed Non-Threaded Threaded Segment – C, and Pathed Non-Threaded Unthreaded Segment – C

The condition remains “For imbalance – (e.g. trades, transfers, cashouts, storage, payback, PTR, fuel, makeup, penalty fuel, etc.) based upon adjustment of imbalance quantity. When this condition is met, for EBB, at least one of Adjustment Type or Adjustment Type Name is required”.

Note: the preceding usages for the data elements Adjustment Quantity and Adjustment Type are also applicable to the EBB.

The next question, then: are the current code values for the data element Adjustment Type still appropriate?

Current Code Values for the data element Adjustment Type:

- Actual quantity correction
- Allocated Quantity correction
- Allocation detail correction
- Allocation Method correction
- Fuel Quantity correction
- Scheduled Quantity correction

The existing code values remain appropriate. However, the following additional code values are also required:

<u>Code Value Description</u>	<u>Code Value Definition</u>	<u>Code Value</u>
Supplemental Quantity correction	[no definition necessary]	
Cashout	Imbalance quantity adjustment due to cashout	
Imbalance Trade	Imbalance quantity adjustment due to imbalance trading	
Transfer	Imbalance quantity adjustment due to an imbalance transfer	

Research was performed by Dale Davis regarding Standard 2.3.34. Dale D.’s analysis indicates that the data elements Delivery Zone, Receipt Zone, Scheduling Tolerance Delivery Quantity, Scheduling Tolerance Receipt Quantity, Service Requester ID, and Service Requester name have been properly ordered, per Standard 2.3.34.

Since the data element Adjustment Value has been deleted, it will be removed from the Transaction Specific Data Group. The data elements Adjustment Quantity and

Adjustment Type should be moved from the Transaction Specific Data Group to the Flowing Gas Data Group, immediately after the data element Ending Imbalance Value.

Issue to be sent to the Business Practices Subcommittee: The definition of the ‘Zone Identifier’ data element in the Shipper Imbalance should be revised to correspond to the definitions of the two new data elements ‘Delivery Zone’ and ‘Receipt Zone’. IR’s recommended definition is: “The transportation service provider’s identifier for the geographic zone in which the imbalance is reported”. The usage of the data element will remain ‘Sender’s Option’.

MOTION: Approve the modifications to the Shipper Imbalance Data Dictionary, Sample Paper Transaction, Technical Implementation of Business Process, and Data Element Cross Reference Workpaper, approve the modifications to Standard 2.3.34, and send the issue documented above to the Business Practices Subcommittee.

Sense of the Room: 5 In favor 0 Opposed

Motion Passed

- **R97011 – PanEnergy Corp.**

Request: Move the Bidder Company Code to the same level as the Bid Number in the Offer Upload Final Disposition.

Discussion: The Business Practices Subcommittee discussed this request at their December 16, 1999 meeting. The following instructions were sent to IR:

The Offer Upload Final Disposition should accommodate the communication of the identity of winner bidder(s) to the Releasing Shipper in one communication.

Modify the condition of Replacement Shipper Contract Number in the Offer Upload Final Disposition such that the winning bidder’s contract number be communicated to that winning bidder. This is not intended to conflict with the ability of a TSP to send the same Offer Upload Final Disposition document to all winning bidders.

IR Implementation

In order to accommodate the sending to the Releasing Shipper all winning bidder(s), the data element Bidder Company Code should be moved from the header level to the detail level, i.e. the same level at which the Bid Number occurs. This would allow the looping of multiple winning bidder(s). The usage of the data element Bidder Company Code remains “mandatory”.

A review of the code values for the data element Disposition Response Code was performed. An additional discussion ensued as to whether or not the Disposition Response Code should be moved from the header level to the detail level.

It was decided that a new code value should be added as follows:

<u>Code Value Description</u>	<u>Code Value Definition</u>	<u>Code Value</u>
Offer awarded to prearranged bidder and subsequent bidder(s)	[no definition necessary]	

No changes to the Technical Implementation of Business Process or the Sample Paper transaction are required.

MOTION: Modify the Offer Upload Final Disposition data set as documented above.

Sense of the Room: 5 In favor 0 Opposed

Motion Passed.

- **R99050 – Williams Gas Pipeline**

Request: Submitted on behalf of the ANSI Compliance Team. Modify the definition for the data element ‘Tax Identification Code’.

Discussion: During the processing of this request at February’s IR meeting, some confusion developed as to whether the Tax Identification Code should be a “code assigned by a government to the Remit to Party” or a “code assigned by a government to the Payee”. The data element Tax Identification Code is utilized in the Transportation / Sales Invoice and the Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice.

IR Implementation

The definition for the data element Tax Identification Code should be a “Code assigned by a government to the Payee”.

MOTION: Modify the definition of the data element Tax Identification Code as documented above. The data element Tax Identification Code is utilized in the Transportation / Sales Invoice and the Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice.

Sense of the Room: 6 In favor 0 Opposed

Motion Passed.

- **R98041 – Columbia Gas Transmission**

Request: Add data elements to the Nomination to accommodate a “Super-Nomination”.

Discussion: This request was not addressed.

- **R98061 – Colorado Interstate Gas**

Request: Allow No-Notice Transportation (NNT) shippers to request authorization for overrun deliveries under their NNT contracts by adding new data elements (see request for specifics) or through the addition of new nominations related data sets. Accommodate related response documents as needed.

Discussion: This request was not addressed.

- **R98062 – Colorado Interstate Gas**

Request: Allow a Park and Loan shipper to submit a request for a specific Park/Loan deal by adding new data elements (see request for specifics) or through the addition of new nominations related data sets. Accommodate related response documents as needed.

Discussion: This request was not addressed.

Meeting adjourned at 5:00 PM.

7. No other business was discussed.

8. The next meeting will be held on April 11 – 12, 2000 in Juno Beach, Florida. Florida Power & Light will be the host; their office is at 700 Universe Boulevard.