



Vote: The motion to adopt the recommended standard passed unanimously.

V. R99033 – Operationally Available and Unsubscribed Capacity

Mr. Lander described the recommendation. Mr. Scheel moved the recommendation be adopted, which was seconded by Mr. Love. Mr. Lander corrected typographical mistakes – use of "recorded" rather than "reported", and both Mr. Scheel and Mr. Love agreed to the change. Mr. Scheel and Mr. Love also agreed to modify the recommendation to support the pipeline comments. The revised recommendation follows:

- (S86) 5.3.x Operationally Available Capacity (OAC) should be reported as the quantity (in standard units) remaining available to be scheduled at (or through) the identified point, segment or zone, in the indicated direction of flow. Operating Capacity (OPC) should be reported as the total capacity which could be scheduled at (or through) the identified point, segment or zone in the indicated direction of flow. The Total Scheduled Quantity (TSQ) should be reported as the net quantity scheduled at the point, segment or zone level in the indicated direction of flow. When reporting OAC, OPC and TSQ, the transportation service provider should indicate whether the individual reported capacity is at the point, segment or zone level.
- (S87) 5.3.x Operationally Available Capacity (OAC), Operating Capacity (OPC) and Total Scheduled Quantity (TSQ) are associated information and should be reported at the same level. Transportation Service Providers should report OAC, OPC and TSQ at, at least one of, point, segment or zone level.
- (S88) 5.3.y When reporting Operationally Available Capacity, Operating Capacity and Total Scheduled Quantity, the zone should be identified when the point or segment is associated with one zone.
- (S89) 5.3.z The Total Scheduled Quantity and the Operationally Available Capacity information should be updated by the Transportation Service Provider to reflect scheduling changes and be reported promptly following the scheduling deadline associated with the timely and evening nominations cycles.

After discussion, the vote taken was unanimous in favor.

VI. EDM - AS2 Update

Mr. Caldwell gave the EDM AS2 update. There are no business practice changes. Several work papers are available and can be downloaded from the GISB Home Page, including a business summary. The summary includes the reasons for the necessity of change to the AS2 protocol to benefit the industry, and support for a global standard to move EDI data sets on Internet.

VII. Information Requirements (IR) Backlog

Ms. McQuade described the activities and discussions at the November EC meeting and the December Board meeting that highlighted the backlog of work in the Information Requirements Subcommittee. Ms. McVicker noted that to address the backlog, we may need to have a parallel track of separate ad hoc group(s), which was endorsed by Ms. Munson. Concerns were raised that should a separate group or groups be set up to address some of the