



**EDISON ELECTRIC
INSTITUTE**

Standardization Issues in Wholesale Electricity

**Framework for Discussion
DOE / Washington
December 7, 2001**

**Dave Dworzak
Manager, Transmission Policy
Edison Electric Institute**

Background, Ancient History

- n FERC OASIS Phase II ANOPR
- n Formation of Electronic Scheduling Collaborative
- n Northeast ISO-MOU catalogues seams issues
- n NERC develops Organization Standards Manual
- n RTO filings perceived by some as "short on specifics"

Context

n GISB

- Retail UBP effort approaches GISB
- GISB Strawman “stirs the pot”
- Board approves expanded charter

n ESC

- Goal: Industry consensus on reservation and scheduling under OASIS Phase II
- October 5 report to FERC
- Draft business practices nearing “prime time”

Context (2)

n FERC

- Chairman Wood, Commissioner Massey, Commissioner Brownell endorse GISB expansion
- Commissioners' active questioning at RTO Week
- Continuing debate over RTO functions

n NERC

- Organization Standards Manual developed, approved
- October 16 Board resolutions approve "one stop shop"
- Wholesale Electric Standards Model (WESM) announced in November

Next Steps / Timetable

- n FERC RM01-12, announces generic initiative on market design, tariff reform “very soon”
 - What should be standardized?
 - How should standards be developed?
- n NERC WESM proposal open for comment, for Board approval February 19
- n ESC meets January 16-17

Why standards?

- n Support broad public policy goal to provide market discipline to capture efficiency gains in wholesale electricity
- n Encourage efficient investment and use decisions with stable market design structures
- n Provide price discovery/transparency
- n Reduce barriers to entry
- n Reduce transactions costs

“What” are Wholesale Electric Standards?

- n ESC report identifies 31
 - Transmission procurement (8)
 - Scheduling (18)
 - One-stop shop (2)
 - Loss accounting (1)
 - Miscellaneous (2)
- n NERC Standards Transition identifies initial themes
 - Line/equipment ratings
 - Planning/assessments
 - Emergency planning/operations/restoration
 - Load/resource balancing

ESC: Eight Business Practices Require FERC Policy Calls

- n Congestion management
- n Nature of transmission service
- n Loop flows
- n Grandfathering
- n Energy imbalance markets
- n Ancillary services
- n Energy losses
- n Nonjurisdictional entities

“What” Issues at Dallas

- n Unanimous agreement with ESC list of 31 business practices
- n Acknowledgement at Dallas that list is starting point; flexible
- n No discussion of reliability, physical, performance standards

“How” Issues at Dallas

- n One-stop shop for commercial, reliability
- n Sectors, governance, voting, funding
- n Connection/coordination with FERC, RTOs
- n Standards enforcement
- n NERC role, functions
- n Legacy/transition issues
- n Familiarity/comfort with GISB, unknown quantity
- n Need for broad industry consensus, support

One-stop shop

- n 1. Can reliability and business practice standards be developed separately without harming either the grid or the market?
- n 2. What standards apply to basic reliability, to market interface, and basic commercial?
- n 2. Can/should market design, structure, and product mixes “cover” essential reliability objectives?
- n 3. Can/should retail and wholesale standards be considered separately?
- n 4. Can/should standards be developed by regional reliability councils, RTOs, or states?
- n 5. Do all of the four quadrants of the proposed GISB model need to be filled?

Sectors, governance, voting, funding

- n 1. Does “balance” require the use of “sectors”?
- n 2. If so, how should the sectors be defined, monitored and enforced?
- n 3. What procedures are essential for a fair voting process?
- n 4. How should votes be taken?
- n 5. Do all sectors have equal “votes”?
- n 6. Should “super-majorities” be required?
- n 7. What are the pros and cons of “independent” and “sector” Boards?
- n 8. How does utilizing one or the other affect the standard setting process?

Coordination with FERC, RTOs

- n 1. Are there market design elements that must be determined before standards are developed?
- n 2. Should standards be filed with and reviewed by FERC?
- n 3. Should standards be placed into tariffs to provide a basis for enforcement?
- n 4. What is the nature of the relationship between a standards-setting organization and FERC?
- n 5. Can/should regional standards be accommodated?
- n 6. If so, what criteria might be considered?

Standards enforcement

1. What entity enforces standards?

FERC?

RTO?

NERC; the standards-setting entity?

National?

Regional?

States?



NERC Role, Functions

- n **1. What are the pros and cons of a professional staff relative to an all “volunteer” standards development process?**

- n **2. Can/should existing NERC functions be considered in designing a standards-setting process?**
 - Planning assessments
 - Emergency response
 - Line/equipment ratings
 - Information forum with non-jurisdictional entities

Legacy / transition issues

- n 1. Who pays how much for existing hardware/software and rules/regulations that standardized practices could supercede?
- n 2. Can/should a transition process be defined as a bridge from “present” to “future” state?

Need for broad industry consensus

- n 1. How best can industry groups develop a standards-setting process?
- n 2. How important is ANSI certification?
- n 3. Do the standards themselves need to be ANSI-certified or is certification of the standards development process alone sufficient?
- n 4. If “reformulated” NERC populates GISB electric wholesale, does GISB risk decertification?