

Subj: RE: DOE 1-28-02 Industry Meeting Resulting Matrix
Date: 1/31/02 11:17:16 AM Central Standard Time
From: JENasir@SWIDLAW.com (Nasir, Jamil)
To: Naesb1@aol.com ('Naesb1@aol.com ')
CC: joseph.rossignoli@us.ngrid.com ('joseph.rossignoli@us.ngrid.com')

Rae/Veronica: Sorry I didn't meet your deadline for comments on this. But here are a couple of comments on the Matrix (version 1/29) that I would request that you include in the next iteration.

Page 3, note 5, comment of Jamil Nasir: "A sixth segment is needed as described in the NAESB model, but this should also include "pure" TOs and ISOs, as well as RTOs."

Page 5, note 6, comment of Jamil Nasir: ". . . created to represent parties that do not have direct market interests."

Page 6, note 2, comment of Jamil Nasir: "VIUs should not vote in multiple segments unless a separate segment for RTOs, ISOs, and "pure" TOs is approved."

Page 16: The "Scope" category appears to be a subset of the "Funding" category. "Scope" should be a separate category.

Thanks a lot. You guys are doing a fabulous job.

Jamil Nasir