



TO: NAESB Interpretations Subcommittee, Posting for Interested Industry Participants
FROM: Meghan McMillan, NAESB Staff
RE: Final Minutes from the NAESB Interpretations Committee Conference Call – July 16, 2002
DATE: July 22, 2002

**North American Energy Standards Board
Interpretations Subcommittee
Conference Call
Tuesday, July 16, 2002
Final Minutes**

1. Administrative

Participants were welcomed and the antitrust guidelines were given on July 16, 2002 at 9:15am CST. Roll call was taken. Mr. Kardas asked if there was a need to discuss the minutes from the March 23, 2001 call. Ms. Davis asked for clarification about pages 8 and 9 to make sure they were in the correct place. It was determined that these pages were attachments to the minutes. The minutes from the March 23, 2001 meeting were adopted as written. The agenda for the July 16, 2002 meeting was adopted as written. The July 2, 2002 minutes were discussed. The following changes were made: it was noted that Roger Kates is with Shell Gas Transmission and Ms. McCain pointed out that there are two ‘T’s’ where there should not be two ‘T’s’ at the top of page two; instead of “TTSP”, the acronym should read “TSP.” The minutes from July 2, 2002 were adopted with these changes.

2. Review Draft Responses for Interpretation Requests

CO2001

Mr. Lander read the entire text of “First draft of response to Request for Interpretation CO2001.” Mr. Kardas stated that this draft is consistent with what the committee talked about and he agreed with the interpretation. It was suggested that the word ‘also’ be added to the fourth line of the restated request after the word ‘could’; the sentence to read “While a TSP could ‘also’ provide TTT services consistent with Standard 1.3.66...”. Ms. McCain asked if the word ‘yes’ at the beginning of the text of the interpretation was necessary. Mr. Lander stated this is typically how interpretations are written so that they are not taken out of the context of the request. Mr. Bray asked about the context of ‘support’ (i.e. “...the obligation of the TSP to accommodate TTT services arises in the context of ‘supporting’ services requested to be performed with respect to TTT...”). Mr. Lander responded it really means that they will accept transactions identifying and validating that they are the destination and they will initiate validation with the TSP as to the termination and initiation of TTT activity. Mr. Scheel wanted to clarify that if a TSP is not required to take on that role themselves and if they do not, then the TSP is required to support 3PADS. He asked if the TSP is not required to have this role and there are no 3PADS, then what happens? Mr. Lander responded then TTT does not happen on that TSP. Mr. Bray asked if the committee would go through each of texts and then have a final meeting next week to vote on them. Mr. Lander yes and informed the committee that these documents will then be circulated as final drafts.

CO2002

Mr. Lander read the text of “First draft of response to Request for Interpretation CO2002. He noted the need to add two standards under “Related Standards”; those being 1.3.64 and 1.2.66. Ms. Davis asked if there should be additional text after the word “yes” in Part 1 of the Text of Interpretation in order to clarify its context. Mr. Lander suggested this text: “Yes, the TTT related standards contemplate that TTT nominations will be made to the TTTSP, not the TSP. Where the



1100 Louisiana, Suite 3625, Houston, Texas 77002
Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: naesb@aol.com
Home Page: www.naesb.org

TSP has voluntarily assumed the role of a TTTSP with respect to locations on its system it would employ the nominations, quick response and scheduled quantities processes...” It was decided that the sentence beginning “Where the TSP has voluntarily assumed the role...” was not needed and Mr. Lander removed it. It was agreed to alter Part 1 of the Text of Interpretation as stated above.

CO2003

Mr. Lander read the entire text of “First draft of response to Request for Interpretation CO2003.” He stated that he did not include any related standards, but that the only one included here is 1.3.66. Ms. Davis suggested that they added “NAESB WGQ” in front of references to specific standards, and that when using an abbreviation for the first time, its complete name be spelled out as well. She said they should do this on both the request and the interpretation. Mr. Lander clarified everywhere an abbreviation is it should have its spelled out name preceding it the first time it occurs. Ms. Davis answered yes and suggested that it would be helpful to insert the word ‘part’ before 1, 2, and 3 under “Restated Request.” Mr. Lander stated that he would make the “NAESB WGQ” and abbreviation changes to the other two requests as well as CO2003.

No further changes were discussed.

3. Next meeting and agenda

The next meeting will be held on July 22, 2002 from 9:00am to 11:00am CST. The committee will be voting on the final drafts of these requests.

4. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned on July 16, 2002 at 9:55am CST.



1100 Louisiana, Suite 3625, Houston, Texas 77002
Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: naesb@aol.com
Home Page: www.naesb.org

Attendees

Mike Bray
Kathryn Burch
Chuck Cook
Shelley Corman
Dale Davis
Larry Gensen
Mark Gracey
Greg Lander
Marcy McCain
Prince McDougal
Mike Novak
Mark Scheel
Kim Van Pelt
Randy Young
(Forma with Substance???)

Shell Gas Transmission
Duke Energy
Shell Gas Transmission
Enron Transportation Services Company
Williams Gas Pipeline

Tennessee Gas Pipeline
Skipping Stone Inc.
Duke Energy - Algonquin
Southern Natural Gas
National Fuel Gas
Dynergy Inc.
CMS Panhandle Pipe Line Companies
Gulf South Pipeline Company, LP