

GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD  
Interpretations Subcommittee Meeting -- Telephone Conference  
10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m., June 19, 1998

FINAL MINUTES

I. Introductory Items

Greg Lander welcomed the attendees, the agenda was adopted, and the antitrust advice was given. The minutes of May 8 were adopted without change.

II. Interpretations

C98003 **Request for Clarification:**

“Can an Invoice Statement “Beginning Transaction Date” and “Ending Transaction Date” be defaulted in each transaction to the first day of the month and the last day of the month without accurately reflecting the correct dates associated with the beginning and ending of a transaction?”

Discussion:

The above request and response were referred back to the Interpretations Subcommittee from the Executive Committee at its June 11, 1998, meeting. The members of the Interpretations Subcommittee and participants discussed the possibility of drafting a different response. In particular one that should account for the following different business cases:

Type of Allocations Performed by TSP	Type of Quantity Billed on in Invoice	Is it likely that Beginning and Ending Dates could be provided as in initial Interpretation Response
Daily	Scheduled	Yes
Monthly	Scheduled	Yes
Daily	Allocated	Yes
Monthly	Allocated	No

It was noted that even where there was a Yes in the third column, that it still depended on whether the TSP's invoicing system carried the dates over from the transaction or scheduling system. There was much discussion as to whether it would, or even should. As a result the following action was determined to be the recommended course of action.

Action:

The Interpretations Subcommittee recommends that request C98003 be transferred to the Business Practices Subcommittee as the issues underlying the business intent of the requester and those participating in the drafting of the clarification can not be adequately addressed without there being work on the standards and definitions in the flowing gas and invoice related areas.. The Interpretations Subcommittee requests that the Business Practice Subcommittee address the following three questions as part of addressing this request (C98003).

- 1) How do Service Requesters associate Invoice Quantities to their initiating and scheduled quantities?
- 2) How do Service Requesters know what kind of quantity (i.e., scheduled or allocated -- see GISB Standard 3.3.9) is represented in the Invoice?
- 3) How do Service Requesters identify quantities that may be at the same transport rate but occurred at different times and possibly different prices between their counter parties, when comparing their transactions to an invoice?

The Interpretations Subcommittee also asks that when the BPS is considering the above questions it is requested to take into account the desire of people to know the path they should take to get to their supporting documentation.

The motion passed with two votes in favor, no votes opposed. In addition, the Chairman was asked to ascertain, prior to the next scheduled IS Meeting, whether there was unanimity among the other members of the IS as to this course of action. If there were not unanimity, the issue would be addressed again prior to its referral to BPS.

### III. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 11:00 a.m. central. There being no active requests before the IS at this time, there will only be a meeting on July 3, if there is disagreement with the recommended course of action.

***[Chairman's Note: Bob Wallenhorst, Kristine Mespelli, Laverne Tilson, Paul Love, and Mary Jane McCartney were all contacted as of June 23, 1998 and there was unanimity as to this recommended course of action. A message was left for Mark Scheel which was not returned, as he is on vacation through June 30th, according to his voicemail. As this represents 7 of 8 current members of Interpretations (there being a LDC and a Producer opening), the Chairman has asked the GISB Office to formally send this request to the BPS for further work.]***

Attendees:

Name	Company	GISB Member
<b>Greg Lander</b>	<b>TransCapacity</b>	<b>Y</b>
<b>Shelley Corman</b>	<b>Enron - Northern Natural</b>	<b>Y</b>
Dale Davis	Williams Gas Pipelines	Y
Laura Moseley	Enron - Florida Gas	Y
George Mason	Texas Eastern	Y
Mike Culver	Algonquin Gas Transmission	N
Ray Black	Trunkline Gas	N
Davis Parker	Panhandle Eastern	Y
Donna Scott	Enron Administrative	Y
Theresa Hess	Enron - Transwestern	Y
Sandra Barnett	Mobile Bay	Y
Julie Unruh	Koch Gateway	Y

**Bold signifies named member of Interpretations Subcommittee**