

**GISB Information Requirements Subcommittee Meeting
April 11 – 12, 2000
Florida Power’s Office; Juno Beach, FL
FINAL MINUTES**

1. Jim Keisler opened the meeting and welcomed participants.
2. Jim K. provided housekeeping information.
3. Jim K. gave anti-trust guidelines.
4. The agenda was modified and adopted unanimously.
5. The March 28 – 29, 2000 meeting minutes were modified and adopted as final.
6. Address Current Requests for Initiation or Enhancement of GISB Standards and items transferred from other GISB subcommittees – Discussion, Q&A and Sense of the Room for each item.

Tuesday April 11, 2000

• **R98041 – Columbia Gas Transmission**

Request: Add data elements to the Nomination to accommodate a ‘super-nomination’.

Discussion: The discussion began with a review of the minutes from the Information Requirements (IR) meeting from March 31 – April 1, 1999.

At that meeting, the following issues were identified:

- 1) What is the effect on downstream data sets?
- 2) Do we need to accommodate ‘super-nominations’ in EDI?
- 3) Does the ‘super-nomination’ work for nominations that are not Pathed?
- 4) How are ranks handled?
- 5) GISB Principle 1.1.3 gives a definition of ‘super-nomination’. Columbia’s request covers nominations across their pipelines only. It does not cover nominations on pipelines outside of the Columbia family, and therefore, does not cover nominations from wellhead to burnertip. GISB could come up with a new name for Columbia’s type of nomination. This would need to be taken back to the EII Task Force. The proposed Standard **s66** would need to be revised to state the correct name. If **s66** is not revised, and we implement ‘super-nominations’ per Columbia’s business practice noted above, then **s66** will be in conflict with existing GISB Principle 1.1.3.

Chuck Stodola briefly described an illustration, which was previously prepared by Columbia Gulf Transmission regarding their ‘super-nominations’. In addition, Chuck S.

indicated that a draft Data Dictionary was prepared for the three proposed data elements which are necessary to accomplish Columbia's implementation.

Columbia's request proposed that the following three data elements be added to the Nomination:

<u>Business Name</u>	<u>Definition</u>	<u>EDI/FF Usage</u>	<u>Condition</u>
Movement 1 Service Requester Contract (Mvmt 1 SR K)	For a super-nom, this is the contract under which service is being requested for the first movement (segment and/or zone).	MA	
Movement 1 Bid Transportation Rate (Mvmt 1 Bid Trans Rate)	For a super-nom, this field reflects the rate under which the shipper is requesting service for the first movement (segment and/or zone).	MA	
Movement 1 Delivery Location (Mvmt 1 Del Loc)	For a super-nom, the location where the quantity will be scheduled for delivery by the Transportation Service Provider for the first movement (segment and/or zone).	MA	

There was some discussion that an additional data element might need to be added to the Nomination:

<u>Business Name</u>	<u>Definition</u>	<u>EDI/FF Usage</u>	<u>Condition</u>
Movement 1 Transportation Service Provider (Mvmt 1 TSP)	For a super-nom, identifies the party providing the requested service for the first movement (segment and/or zone).	MA	

Considerable discussion occurred regarding the instructions which IR received from the EII Task Force. Is IR's task to accommodate a 'super-nomination' as defined in GISB Principle 1.1.3, or is IR's task to accommodate Columbia's implementation of the movement of gas across multiple known delivery points and receipt points within Columbia's family of pipelines?

A 'super-nomination' as defined in GISB Principle 1.1.3 is "a nomination that contains all the nominations describing the path from the wellhead to the burner tip". This definition allows a 'super-nomination' to cross multiple pipelines, which are unrelated, i.e. the pipelines are not affiliated. Columbia does not need the Nomination dataset to accommodate 'super-nominations' as defined in GISB principle 1.1.3, but rather to accommodate something like a 'corporate-nomination'. Therefore, it was decided that an issue needs to be sent back to the Business Practices Subcommittee (BPS).

Issue to be sent to the Business Practices Subcommittee regarding ‘super-nominations’ and Columbia’s Request R98041:

In the processing of Request **R98041** from Columbia Gas Transmission, IR discussed the following items:

- 1) GISB Principle 1.1.3: “There is a need for an infrastructure that would support seamless nominations across transportation service providers. However, transportation service providers would not be required to accept super-nominations nor would a shipper be required to supply one. A super-nomination is a nomination that contains all the nominations describing the path from the wellhead to the burnertip.”
- 2) EII Task Force proposed Standard **s66 (104)**: “The data elements should accommodate super-nominations. If a Transportation Service Provider chooses to support super-nominations, the GISB standard data sets should accommodate it.”
- 3) EII Task Force Instruction **IR2**: “The request was transferred to Information Requirements Subcommittee for implementation.”

As a result of the discussion of these items, IR requests a clarification of the intent of the term ‘Super-Nomination’. Is a ‘Super-Nomination’ a nomination that contains:

- a) **all** of the nominations describing the path from the wellhead to the burnertip; **or**
- b) **all or some** of the nominations describing the path from the wellhead to the burnertip; **or**
- c) **all or some** of the nominations describing the path from the wellhead to the burnertip **within a single corporate family?**

MOTION: Send the issue documented above to the Business Practices Subcommittee.

Sense of the Room: 9 In favor 0 Opposed

Motion Passed.

• **R98040 – Columbia Gas Transmission**

Request: Develop a storage balance report.

• **R98050 – TransCapacity**

Request: Develop a storage park and loan balance information dataset. Add a code value to the data element Data Sets Requested to facilitate the request of this dataset.

Discussion: Request **R98040** requests a new data set, Storage Balance Report, to be added to the Flowing Gas Implementation Guide. A discussion of this request occurred at the EII Task Force meeting on January 20, 1999. As a result of that discussion, Instruction **IR36** was crafted as follows:

Instruct Information Requirements Subcommittee to accommodate a flowing gas related business practice to allow the reporting of storage data, where such