



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925, HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, EMAIL - gisb@aol.com
HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

May 1, 1998

TO: Interpretations Subcommittee (Paul Love, Shelley Corman, Greg Lander, Mark Scheel, Kristine Mespelli, Bob Wallenhorst, Laverne Tillson, one vacancy from the producer segment, two vacancies for the LDC segment)
Posting on the GISB Home Page

FROM: Rae McQuade/Greg Lander

RE: INTERPRETATION SUBCOMMITTEE CONFERENCE CALL -- May 8, 1998

Please plan to participate on an Interpretation Subcommittee conference call scheduled for:

Date: Friday, May 8, 1998
Time: 10:00 A.M. (central time)
Conference #: Please contact the GISB office for the conference number.
Passcode: GISB

The agenda for Friday, May 8 is as follows:

1. Welcome, Antitrust Advice, Adoption of Agenda,
Adoption of Meeting Minutes of May 1.
2. Interpretations with Work Papers:
 - C98005 With regard to GISB Standard 1.3.22ii, Version 1.3, for start of Day should the previously scheduled quantity be the previous Start of Day scheduled quantity or the last previous scheduled Intra-Day quantity?
 - C98006 Current Standard No. 1.3.9 states in part, "Intra-day nominations should include an effective date and time." Intraday Standard No. 1.3.e states in part, "Where Transportation Service Providers support the processing of beginning effective time . . . ". Are these two standards in conflict in so much as in 1.3.9 Beginning Time is sender's option, but 1.3.e [GISB Standard No. 1.3.43, Version 1.3] says that the TSPs may or may not support Beginning Time? How can a data element be Sender's Option and Business Conditional at the same time?
 - "C98007" To be submitted by Mr. Lander and Mr. Scheel regarding the status of GISB Standard No. 7.3.4
Current Standard Nos. 1.3.10 and 1.3.12, which were deleted as part of the intraday nominations package, both have a written interpretation concerning them, Interpretation No. 7.3.4. IR's issue regards the status of this interpretation vis-à-vis the Standards Manuals. Should it be removed from the Standards Book? Labeled as superseded? Still applicable to the other standards it affects? Etc.
3. New Requests for Interpretation
4. Agenda for next meeting
5. Adjourn