



# North American Energy Standards Board

1301 Fannin, Suite 2350, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: [naesb@aol.com](mailto:naesb@aol.com)

Home Page: [www.naesb.org](http://www.naesb.org)

---

**via email and posting**

**TO:** NAESB WGQ Interpretations Subcommittee Members Absent From the 4-22-03 Conference Call: Sheri Heslington, Paul Keeler, Shelley Corman, Tina Burnett, Diane McVicker, Steve Sullivan

**cc:** Jim Buccigross, Keith Sappenfield, Sylvia Munson, Mike Novak, Paul Love

**FROM:** Rae McQuade, Executive Director

**RE:** Request for Notational Vote

**DATE:** April 23, 2003

---

Dear Interpretations Subcommittee Members Absent From the 4-22-03 Conference Call:

Today, the Interpretations Subcommittee met and voted on a recommended clarification for C03001, submitted by 8760, Inc. Four members were present and all voted affirmatively on the recommendation. Please indicate your vote on this recommendation by Friday, April 25, so that if it passes, it can be sent out for industry comment and considered by the WGQ Executive Committee during its June meeting. Your vote can be recorded by faxing this ballot, or by replying via email to the NAESB office. Best Regards, Rae

## **C03001 Request from 8760, Inc. Regarding the NAESB EDM Implementation Manual:**

The question is whether individual implementations are free to use the http HEAD command, prior to using the POST command to deliver the NAESB payload. When implementing a NAESB EDM solution, the standard clearly relies on the http protocol spec for details of how to implement the protocol. It is also clear that the http POST command should be used, and not the GET command.

### **Proposed Draft Language:**

The use of the HTTP HEAD command in NAESB EDM is an option, and as such its implementation between trading partners is solely on a "mutually agreed to" basis, i.e. the Requester is free to propose the use of the HEAD command to its trading partners, but the Requester cannot insist upon its use. Moreover, the Requester must still provide for transmission and receipt, via the standards, to those trading partners that do not consent to the use of the HEAD command. If the Requester seeks the use of the HEAD command as an explicit requirement of NAESB, EDM, they are directed to submit a Request for Standard to NAESB.

### **Ballot:**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

I vote in favor of the above proposed draft language for C03001

I oppose the above proposed draft language for C03001