



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

October 12, 1998

**TO:** GISB Members, Posting on the Web Page for Interested Industry Participants  
**FROM:** Rae McQuade, Executive Director  
**RE:** Final EBB Internet Implementation Task Force Meeting Minutes - October 1 & 2, 1998

## OCTOBER 1, 1998

### **I. Administrative**

The meeting opened at 8:30 a.m. Mr. Caldwell opened the meeting and welcomed the attendees. Mr. Buccigross gave the antitrust advice. Each attendee introduced himself or herself. The agenda was adopted with the removal of agenda item 2 -- open issues from September 17. While the task force may be considering requests that have not yet completed the scope determination process with the expectation that scope process will conclude successfully, all attempts will be made to ensure that scope is determined prior to the meeting in which the item is addressed. An item will be added to discuss the recently published FERC Order No. 5871 and also the difference in time lines. Another item was added to discuss the "Pipeco" examples, which were shown in a different display resolution rather than the recommended 800 x 600 resolution. The CIG item was removed as a formal submission of the requests has not yet been received. An update of the status on the PI/Dwights will be covered during the second day of the meeting. The agenda item for proposed standard 4.3.A/L will be determined on October 16. The minutes of September 17 and 18 were adopted with changes submitted prior to the meeting.

These minutes will reflect the decisions made. For a full accounting of the discussion, the transcripts can be ordered from Ms. Copeland of Ak/Ret Reporting at (512) 882-9037. Items which are noted for future assignments are noted in underlined italicized text.

### **II. Order No. 587-I**

Mr. Caldwell noted that in the FERC Order No. 587-I, beginning on page no. 33, the EBB Internet Implementation Task Force (EII) activities were discussed -- specifically the adoption of the EII timelines. The order did not address EII timelines for contracts. Mr. Caldwell gave an update of the EII discussion with the Board of Directors on September 30. The FERC requests a quarterly report from GISB and interested parties. While GISB already provides a quarterly report, Mr. Bray suggested that the status on EII be removed from that quarterly report and presented as a separate quarterly report. If the timelines are to be revised as a result of additional information, they should be reported in the December quarterly report.

### **III. Resolution**

The following recommendations were made:

- Recommend to FTTF that they review the recommendation of 800 x 600 display resolution.
- Recommend to the originators of the "Pipeco" example should redo do their examples in 800 x 600 display resolution. It was noted that the screen prints may be at higher resolutions but the development was at the recommended 800 by 600 display resolution.

After discussion both recommendations were dropped. The decision to support 800 by 600 display resolution was made to support use by laptops. Because the screens were developed at 800 by 600 display resolution but screen printed at a higher resolution, the recommendation was withdrawn.



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

---

## IV. ANR Request

The relevant work papers for the discussion are request no. R98034, screen print examples in work papers 1 and 2 from ANR and work paper 3 which addresses the process for entity address and contact maintenance. After a review of the work papers by the submitter, the question was raised on further information needed before the request could be transferred to the Information Requirements Subcommittee, and that the priority may not be as high as the activities currently defined for nominations.

The motion was made that: "Request No R98034 should be recommended to the Executive Committee as a low priority item and potential staffing for this item is a consideration. If no staffing is forthcoming, it should be deferred until such staffing is available." No second was made. A subsequent motion was made and seconded: "Request No R98034 is recognized as a low priority nominations activity and will be addressed after all existing nominations related data sets." Ms. Scott offered to work with Ms. LeCureaux to develop standards language for consideration. The motion carried unanimously.

## V. Trademark, Copyright and Other Legal Considerations

This item will be deferred until the October 2 meeting.

## VI. Additional Header , Detail and Nominations Related Data Ordering in the Content Area

Ms. Tupper reviewed the Duke Energy supplied work paper dated September 28. The work paper and proposed standards were prepared by Columbia Gulf, Duke Energy, Enron, Koch, NGPL, Tennessee Gas Pipeline and Williams Gas Pipelines. The proposed standards should apply to all model types. The following standards were considered when developing the proposed standards:

- 4.1.20 Display space for content on Web sites should be maximized.
- 4.1.21 On the Web sites, the use of scrolling, especially left to right, should be minimized.
- 4.3.24 The Transportation Service Provider's Informational Postings Web Site should include the name, nickname, or name abbreviation of the Transportation Service Provider so that it will appear first in the browser title bar. Content Area documents should have a similar name when printed.
- 34.3 Information that is constant for the displayed content section may be placed in the page header.
- 34.5 Data elements that have default values may be placed last to minimize scrolling.
- 34.9 Navigation for input data lookups, if provided, should be placed near the field being looked up. Navigation for informational lookups, if provided, should be included in the header.
- 4.2.8 "Content Area" is the term used to describe the area containing the active data pertaining to the link selected in the Navigational Area. Where the Content Area is displayed simultaneously with the Navigational Area of the browser display, the Content Area should appear directly to the right of the Navigational Area.
- 54 "Header" is the term used to describe the area at the top of the Content Area of the browser display.
- 55 "Detail" is the term used to describe the area directly below the Header in the Content Area of the browser display.
- 58 On Customer Activities Web pages, information display fields can be displayed with related data.

The following standards were considered for vote:



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

60 "Form" is the term used to describe the portion of the Content Area of the nominations browser display on Customer Activities Web sites used for single transaction entry as well as, optionally, data selection. The Form should be either in the upper portion of the nominations Content Area or alternatively a single page linked to the Matrix.

61 "Matrix" is the term used to describe the portion of the Content Area of the nominations browser display on the Customer Activities Web sites used to display selected nominations and/or nominations entered on the Form and, optionally, for data entry. The Matrix should be either the lower portion of the nominations Content Area (that area below the Form) or, alternatively, a single page linked to the Form.

NOTE: This may replace previously approved standard nos. 54 and 55 but that will not be considered until a later meeting. These definitions should apply to all models -- pathed, pathed non-threaded and non-pathed.

VOTE: The motion for proposed standard nos. 60 and 61 as recommended definitions carried unanimously. (1.2.x)

62. On Customer Activities Web sites, nominations data entry should be available on the Form and the Transportation Service Provider may also provide for nominations data entry on the Matrix.

VOTE: The motion for proposed standard no. 62 as a recommended standard carried through the following vote (1.3.x):

<b>Segment</b>	<b>For</b>	<b>Balanced For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Balanced Against</b>
End User	0	0	0	0
LDCs	1	1	0	0
Services	6	1.2	4	0.8
Producers	2	2	0	0
Pipelines	12	1.6	3	0.4
<b>TOTAL</b>	21	<b>5.8</b>	7	<b>1.2</b>

63. The 'Nomination Data Dictionary - Usage for All Model Types' document is modified as follows:

- Transportation Service Provider Data - for the pathed and non-pathed models, change the data group from Contracts (CDG) to Business Entity (BEDG)
- Service Requester Data - for the pathed and non-pathed models, change the data group from Contracts (CDG) to Business Entity (BEDG)
- On the key at the end of the document on Business Entity Data Group delete the words '(Pathed Non-threaded only)'

NOTE: This is an amendment to proposed standard 59 renumbered as I1.

VOTE: The motion for proposed standard no. 63 as an amendment to the task force adopted recommended standard no. 59 (renumbered I1) carried unanimously (1.4.x).



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
 PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
 HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

- 64 On the nominations entry screen, data should be organized in logical groupings as defined in the Data Dictionary. The initial data element should be:

<u>DATA GROUP</u>	<u>INITIAL ELEMENT</u>
• Business Entity	Transportation Service Provider
• Contracts	Service Requester Contract
• Date	Beginning Date
• Location	Receipt Location
• Receipt	Receipt Location
• Delivery	Delivery Location
• Transaction Specific	Transaction Type

VOTE: The motion for proposed standard no. 64 as a standard carried through the following vote (1.4.x).

<u>Segment</u>	<u>For</u>	<u>Balanced For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Balanced Against</u>
End User	0	0	0	0
LDCs	1	1	0	0
Services	6	1.71	1	0.29
Producers	1	1	1	1
Pipelines	19	2	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>5.71</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.29</b>

65. In the Form area of the nominations entry screen, the data groups should appear in the following order: Business Entity, Contract, Date, Receipt, Delivery and Transaction Specific.

VOTE: The motion for proposed standard no. 65 as a standard carried through a unanimous vote (1.4.x).

## VII. Adjourn

The first day of the meeting adjourned at 2:35 p.m.

## OCTOBER 2, 1998

### I. Administrative

The meeting opened at 8:30 a.m. Mr. Caldwell opened the meeting and welcomed the attendees. Mr. Buccigross gave the antitrust advice. Each attendee introduced himself or herself. These minutes will reflect the decisions made. For a full accounting of the discussion, the transcripts can be ordered from Ms. Copeland of Ak/Ret Reporting at (512) 882-9037. Items which are noted for future assignments are noted in under-lined italicized text.

### II. Other Items

Regarding the discussion on October 1 regarding resolution, Ms. Tupper noted that she had screen prints at the 800 x 600 display resolution for interested parties to review. Ms. McQuade gave an update on the common location codes previously supported by PI/Dwights. The DRN Numbers reside now in the GISB office. The Board, on September 30, approved sending out an RFP and assigned Board members to work with Carl Caldwell, Jerry Hahn and Mike Bray. The selection of an assignor should



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

occur shortly. PI/Dwights was thanked for their support of our industry. They granted the intellectual property rights of the DRN data to GISB. For the D&B efforts, GISB continues to work with them regarding intellectual property rights, and now it has centered on financial benefits accruing to D&B to offset their costs. A status letter regarding current efforts and expectations as a result of negotiations with D&B will be sent to the FERC, as many pipelines have waivers which end on October 30. Mr. Bray, Mr. Hahn and Ms. McQuade will meet with D&B on October 7 in GISB offices. Ms. Corcoran provided changes to the implementation guides approved by the task force regarding trade marks. The changes provided by Ms. Corcoran will be made and published in the EII standards list.

### III. Additional Header , Detail and Nominations Related Data Ordering in the Content Area

Ms. Tupper continued her review of the Duke supplied work paper dated September 28. The work paper and proposed standards were prepared by Columbia Gulf, Duke Energy, Enron, Koch, NGPL, Tennessee Gas Pipeline and Williams Gas Pipelines. The proposed standards should apply to all model types. The following standards were considered by the task force:

66. The Transportation Service Provider's Customer Activities Web Site should include the name, nickname, or name abbreviation of the Parent Company and/or Transportation Service Provider so that it will appear first in the browser title bar.

VOTE: The motion for proposed standard no. 66 as a standard carried through a unanimous vote as a replacement to the previously adopted recommended standard 32.

67. "Content Area" is the term used to describe the area containing the active data pertaining to the link selected in the Navigational Area.

ACTION: Withdrawn and will be considered on October 16.

68. Columns and data fields that would contain data not supported by the Transportation Service Provider should be eliminated on display and/or entry, and left empty on download.

[REPLACEMENT TO GISB STANDARD NO. 4.3.34]

VOTE: The motion for proposed standard no. 68 as a standard carried through a unanimous vote (4.3.34)

69. When the nominations Form and the nominations Matrix for the Customer Activities Web site are separate Web pages, a subset of the Form may be included by the Transportation Service Provider in the upper Content Area of the Matrix page.

VOTE: The motion for proposed standard no. 69 as a standard carried through a unanimous vote. (1.3.x)

70. In the Nomination Data Dictionary Usage For All Model Types, remove the location group and all references to it.

NOTE: The table will be corrected -- modifications to I1.

VOTE: The motion for proposed standard no. 70 as a modification to I1 carried through a unanimous vote. (1.4.x)

This concludes the effort on the work paper provided by Duke Energy, Columbia Gulf, Enron Gas Pipelines, Tennessee Gas Pipeline, NGPL, Koch, and Williams Gas Pipelines and submitted on September 28. Further off-line work is needed for process labels. The following standards were raised from the floor.

71. The Nominations data area of the Customer Activities Web sites should include a Form and a Matrix. The Form and the Matrix may be combined into one if no left and right scrolling is required to enter a nomination.

VOTE: The vote on proposed standard no. 71 was deferred to October 16. *It will be placed as the first agenda item after the administrative items are addressed.*



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

72. Fields in the groups on the "Form" in the Customer Activities Web sites should appear in the following order:

Business Entity Group:

1. Transportation Service Provider
2. Service Requester

Contracts Group:

1. Service Requester Contract
2. Model type

Date Group:

1. Beginning Date
2. Beginning Time
3. Ending Date
4. Ending Time

Receipt Group:

1. Receipt Location
2. Receipt Quantity
3. Receipt Rank (Priority)
4. Upstream Identifier Code
5. Upstream Rank (Priority)
6. Upstream Contract Identifier
7. Upstream PAcKage ID

Delivery Group:

1. Delivery Location
2. Delivered Quantity
3. Delivery Rank (Priority)
4. Downstream Identifier Code
5. Downstream Rank (Priority)
6. Downstream Contract Identifier
7. Downstream PAcKage ID

Nomination Specific Group:

1. Transaction Type
2. Time Stamp
3. Nominator's Tracking ID
4. Package ID
5. Bid Transportation Rate
6. Associated Contract
7. Capacity Type Indicator
8. Deal Type
9. Service Provider's Activity Code



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
 PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
 HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

Data elements in the process of standardization within GISB should be italicized and follow the above ordered data elements.

VOTE: The vote on proposed standard no. 72 was deferred to October 16. It will be placed as the first agenda item to be considered with proposed standard no. 71, once the administrative items are addressed.

## IV. Requests

R98026 Received from Duke Energy

Duke Energy Corporation pipelines (Algonquin Gas Transmission Company, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation and Trunkline Gas Company) request the addition of the attached data elements to the Nomination (1.4.1).

The addition of these data elements to the EDI transaction set is required so that users of the Duke Energy pipelines' Internet Web site will have access to the same information as users of EDI transactions. The Duke Energy pipelines are in the process of creating their interactive Web site and request that this be processed as expeditiously as possible in order to facilitate electronic commerce via the Internet. These data elements, although requested by the Duke Energy pipelines, could be applicable across the pipeline segment.

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Bid Up Indicator Code	Indicates whether the service requester is willing to bid up its transportation rate in order to obtain a higher scheduling priority.	MA	

MOTION: Motion was made that the above modified request be forwarded to the Information Requirements Subcommittee for completion. A single code and code value would be defined by the Information Requirements Subcommittee to address maximum rate. If the bid up indicator code is mutually agreed and sent in the nomination, the bid transportation rate would be returned in the scheduled quantity if the higher rate is used in the scheduling process.

VOTE: The motion passed through the following vote:

<u>Segment</u>	<u>For</u>	<u>Balanced For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Balanced Against</u>
End User	0	0	0	0
LDCs	0	0	0	0
Services	3	1.2	2	0.8
Producers	1	1	0	0
Pipelines	19	2	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.8</b>

R98027 Received from Duke Energy

Duke Energy Corporation pipelines (Algonquin Gas Transmission Company, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation and Trunkline Gas Company) request the addition of the attached code values to the list of valid code values for the Transaction Type data element in the Nomination (1.4.1), Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5), Imbalance (2.4.4) and Transportation/Sales Invoice (3.4.1).



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
 PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
 HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

The addition of these transaction types will enable Texas Eastern to continue to support the existing No-Notice service provisions in Rate Schedules CDS and SCT of its tariff. This service was included in these Rate Schedules as a result of the Order 636 settlement with our customers and became available on June 1, 1993 to replicate the customers' bundled city gate sales standby service. Since these rate schedules provide for both firm and No-Notice service, a customer must have a way to identify which service he is using.

The No-Notice Pre-Injection transaction type is used to identify those quantities for which the customer has been approved to inject quantities into the pipeline system in anticipation of an increase in demand and for which he will receive a credit against the Reservation component of his invoice.

The three transaction types for No-Notice Balancing are needed to enable the customer to identify whether a prior month or a current month No-Notice imbalance is being resolved, since the rate schedules provide for different timing and methods of imbalance resolution, depending on when the imbalance was created.

The Duke Energy pipelines are in the process of creating their interactive Web site and request that this be processed as expeditiously as possible in order to facilitate electronic commerce via the Internet. These data elements, although requested by the Duke Energy pipelines, could be applicable across the pipeline segment.

#### Nomination (1.4.1)

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
No-Notice Service	Identifies a request to initiate no-notice service.
No-Notice Pre-Injection	Identifies a request to schedule additional receipts into the pipeline in anticipation of an increase in demand.
No-Notice Balancing	Used to differentiate between nominations placed to correct current month no-notice imbalances and nominations placed to correct other types of current month imbalances.
No-Notice Due Transportation Service Provider Balancing	Identifies quantities nominated to resolve prior month no-notice imbalances owed to the Transportation Service Provider.
No-Notice Due Service Requester Balancing	Identifies quantities nominated to resolve prior month no-notice imbalances owed to the Service Requester.

#### Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5)

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
No-Notice Service	Identifies a request to initiate no-notice service.
No-Notice Pre-Injection	Identifies a request to schedule additional receipts into the pipeline in anticipation of an increase in demand.
No-Notice Balancing	Used to differentiate between nominations placed to correct current month no-notice imbalances and nominations placed to correct other types of current month imbalances.
No-Notice Due Transportation Service Provider Balancing	Identifies quantities nominated to resolve prior month no-notice imbalances owed to the Transportation Service Provider.



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
 PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
 HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

No-Notice Due Service Requester Balancing	Identifies quantities nominated to resolve prior month no-notice imbalances owed to the Service Requester.
---	--

### Imbalance (2.4.4)

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
No-Notice Service	Identifies a request to initiate no-notice service.
No-Notice Pre-Injection	Identifies a request to schedule additional receipts into the pipeline in anticipation of an increase in demand.
No-Notice Balancing	Used to differentiate between nominations placed to correct current month no-notice imbalances and nominations placed to correct other types of current month imbalances.
No-Notice Due Transportation Service Provider Balancing	Identifies quantities nominated to resolve prior month no-notice imbalances owed to the Transportation Service Provider.
No-Notice Due Service Requester Balancing	Identifies quantities nominated to resolve prior month no-notice imbalances owed to the Service Requester.

### Transportation/Sales Invoice (3.4.1)

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
No-Notice Service	Identifies a request to initiate no-notice service.
No-Notice Pre-Injection	Identifies a request to schedule additional receipts into the pipeline in anticipation of an increase in demand.
No-Notice Balancing	Used to differentiate between nominations placed to correct current month no-notice imbalances and nominations placed to correct other types of current month imbalances.
No-Notice Due Transportation Service Provider Balancing	Identifies quantities nominated to resolve prior month no-notice imbalances owed to the Transportation Service Provider.
No-Notice Due Service Requester Balancing	Identifies quantities nominated to resolve prior month no-notice imbalances owed to the Service Requester.

MOTION: *Motion was made that the above request be forwarded to the Information Requirements Subcommittee for completion.*

VOTE: The motion passed through a unanimous vote.

R98028 Received from Duke Energy

Duke Energy Corporation pipelines (Algonquin Gas Transmission Company, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation and Trunkline Gas Company) request the addition of the attached code values to the list of value code values for the Transaction Type data element in the Nomination (1.4.1), Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5), Imbalance (2.4.4) and Transportation/Sales Invoice (3.4.1).

The addition of these transaction types will enable the Duke Energy pipelines to continue to support the existing Suspense Gas provision in the General Terms and Conditions of its tariff. These transaction types will allow the Duke Energy pipelines to monitor the



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
 PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
 HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

Service Requester's actions related to the Suspense Gas claim and to apply the tariff provisions correctly, beginning with Nominations and ending with Invoicing.

The Duke Energy pipelines are in the process of creating their interactive Web site and request that this be processed as expeditiously as possible in order to facilitate electronic commerce via the Internet. These data elements, although requested by the Duke Energy pipelines, could be applicable across the pipeline segment.

### Nomination (1.4.1)

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Suspense Gas Claim	Identifies the suspense gas quantities claimed by a Service Requester pursuant to the terms of a Transportation Service Provider's tariff. Suspense gas is defined as quantities which enter a TSP's system without a nomination.
Delivery of Claimed Suspense Gas	Identifies the quantities nominated by a Service Requester to transport and deliver the suspense gas quantities claimed by the Service Requester.

### Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5)

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Suspense Gas Claim	Identifies the suspense gas quantities claimed by a Service Requester pursuant to the terms of a Transportation Service Provider's tariff. Suspense gas is defined as quantities which enter a TSP's system without a nomination.
Delivery of Claimed Suspense Gas	Identifies the quantities nominated by a Service Requester to transport and deliver the suspense gas quantities claimed by the Service Requester.

### Imbalance (2.4.4)

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Suspense Gas Claim	Identifies the suspense gas quantities claimed by a Service Requester pursuant to the terms of a Transportation Service Provider's tariff. Suspense gas is defined as quantities which enter a TSP's system without a nomination.
Delivery of Claimed Suspense Gas	Identifies the quantities nominated by a Service Requester to transport and deliver the suspense gas quantities claimed by the Service Requester.

### Transportation/Sales Invoice (3.4.1).

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Suspense Gas Claim	Identifies the suspense gas quantities claimed by a Service Requester pursuant to the terms of a Transportation Service Provider's tariff. Suspense gas is defined as quantities which enter a TSP's system without a nomination.



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

Delivery of Claimed Suspense Gas	Identifies the quantities nominated by a Service Requester to transport and deliver the suspense gas quantities claimed by the Service Requester.
----------------------------------	---

MOTION: Motion was made that the above request be forwarded to the Information Requirements Subcommittee for completion.

VOTE: The motion passed through a unanimous vote.

R98032 Submitted by ANR

For Nomination (GISB Standard No. 1.4.1), Add data elements for Market Balance Service nominations: MBS Storage Quantity, MBS Injection Quantity, MBS Withdrawal Quantity, and Nomination business key ID (NomID) - created by TSP to use in lieu of the business keys. One unique Nom ID for each unique business key. Modify the datasets to accommodate multiple occurrences of Associated Contracts for each MBS nomination.

The request accommodates ANR's GISB survey item #9 for Market Balance Service Nominations. ANR's survey item No. 9 for Market Balance Service Nominations:

Nominations: Modify EDI all nomination related datasets for EDI/EDM and EBB/EDM to allow the SR or agent to request a Market Balance Service nomination.

ACTION: Deferred until the next meeting when it will be presented with examples and definitions prepared by ANR.

R98033 Submitted by ANR

(Split during the meeting into two parts -- R98033A and R98033B, please refer to request for examples provided).

R98033A Request for Pooling Quantity:

To provide the Service Requester (i.e. pooler) with the ability to request pooling information for any specified time period. The request will allow the pooler to obtain the Scheduled Quantity for Poolers Documents (see below) with pooling information a.) for past periods, b.) for future periods or, c.) for retransmissions of current periods. This proposed standard uses the R96009B Workpaper as its basis.

In addition to the data elements used in R96009B, ANR requests that a new data element be added for contract. With the data elements requested in R96009B and the new contract data element, the pooler will be able to request one or all pooling contracts for a pooling location. The Request for Pooling Quantity transaction set should be implemented at the same time as the following changes to the Scheduled Quantity for Operator (Pooler) changes.

R98033B Scheduled Quantity for Poolers:

The transaction dataset requirements are based on the Scheduled Quantity for Operators (G865SQOP) transaction dataset.

1.) The data elements required for the pooling information are currently in G865SQOP, except the following:

- Receipt Rank
- Delivery Rank

2.) It is thought that the current G865SQOP dataset could be used for this purpose by adding a Pooling code value for BCA13 Purchase Order Type Code.

To accommodate ANR's survey item No. 14 for Pool Market Summary, the Request for Scheduled Quantity for Operator (R96009B) and the Scheduled Quantity For Operator Transaction Sets may be used.



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

---

## ANR's survey item No. 14 for Pool Market Summary:

The Pool Market Balance Summary: SR (pooler) needs to request a list of Pool Market information by pooling contract (includes the following criteria: by specific date and flow time, pooling contract, headstation location). The response by TSP includes the daily supply, pool market and longhaul volumes nominated for the pool. This data will allow SR (pooler) to determine pooling variances and balance pools prior to nomination. Supply detail includes upstream Contract ID, nominated volumes and ranks. Market detail includes longhaul Contract ID, nominated volume and ranks.

Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement: Provides for determination of out of balance pools prior to start of nomination cycle.

NOTE: Related to Request No. R96009B and should address both Request Nos. R98033A and R6009B jointly. BPS chairs will be informed to determine their support for such action.

MOTION: The request was split into two parts: A and B. Part A is to be forwarded to the Business Practices Subcommittee to be addressed with Request No. R96009B. Part B is to be forwarded to the Information Requirements Subcommittee for completion. Information Requirements Subcommittee is asked to address the request through either an existing or new data set. It is expected that the usage for receipt rank and delivery rank would be Mutually Agreeable.

VOTE: The motion passed through a unanimous vote.

R98035 Submitted by ANR

- 1.) Add data element for "pre-limit quantity" to nomination/confirmation related transaction datasets. The prelimit quantity allows the LDC's and Operators to set pre-approved quantities to use for confirming quantities for specific locations or contracts.
- 2.) Implement a mechanism to request a list of active pre-approved, pre-limit quantities by SR, Operator, LDC or Producer. The response to this request should include the contract or location and the pre-limit quantities data elements. The SR is able to obtain the pre-limit quantities used to confirm his own contracts.
- 3.) Implement a mechanism to allow LDC or Operator to request pre-limit quantities by for each contract or location. The response to this request should include an acknowledgment that the quantity was approved by the TSP.

To accommodate ANR's GISB survey items #17, #23, # 24, #38 related to pre-limit quantities.

## ANR's survey item No. 17 for Producer list of Pre-limit Quantities :

Producer to request list of market noms by location when the upstream entity is the producer doing the inquire. Response by TSP includes market contract ranking, prelimits, projected and scheduled quantities where producer is the upstream entity.

## ANR's survey item No. 24 for LDC and Operator list of Active Pre-approved Pre-limit Quantities :

LDC or Operator can request a list of active, pre-approved pre-limits quantity for each contract or location for confirmations. Response by TSP includes all active prelimits forthat requester.

## ANR's survey item No. 38 for Service Requester list of Active Pre-approved Pre-limit Quantities :



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

---

Service Requester can request a list of active, pre-approved pre-limits quantity used for confirming the SR's contracts. Response by TSP includes all active pre-limits for that requester.

ANR's survey item No. 23 for LDC and Operator to Request Pre-limit Quantities :

LDC or Operator can request a pre-limit quantity for each contract or location to be used for confirmations. TSP must respond to LDC or Operator to acknowledge approval of the requested pre-limit quantity.

Provides for the ability to set and list prelimit quantities used in the confirmation process. This could reduce the efforts required and processing time needed to complete the nomination cycle.

MOTION: After discussion, *the request was deferred for action at the next meeting.* ANR was requested to prepare examples to be reviewed at the next meeting.

VOTE: The motion passed through a unanimous vote.

R98036 Submitted by ANR.

- 1.) Implement a mechanism to allow Producer to request ranking of market contracts by location where the upstream entity is the Producer. The response to this request should include an acknowledgment that the ranking was approved by the TSP.

To accommodate ANR's GISB survey item #16 related to Producer Rankings.

ANR's survey item No. 16 for Producer Rankings :

Allow Producer to request ranking of market contracts by location where the upstream entity is the Producer. The ranks are used to determine which market gets cut first in the event the operator makes cuts at the producer (upstream entity) level. The response to this request should include an acknowledgment that the ranking was approved by the TSP.

Provides for the ability for the Producer to indicate which quantities are preferred to cut first.

ACTION: *This request will be addressed at the next meeting.* Due to schedules of the sponsor, it was unable to be introduced.

R98038 Submitted by Koch Gateway

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company requests that an ANSI ASC X12 document be created to allow the Transportation Service Provider to send out a "Lesser of Rule" Confirmation Warning transaction prior to the end of the confirmation process. This information would also be displayed on the Transportation Service Provider's EBB/EDM for a given scheduling period for a particular Service Requester.

The "Lesser of Rule" Confirmation Warning document would be used by the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) to inform the Service Requesters of the TSP's intent to utilize this method of confirmation for a specified scheduling period when the confirmation quantity does not exist, the meter does not have an authorized Confirmation by Exception Agreement between the TSP and the Service Requester or the nomination quantity is greater than the previously scheduled quantity. This communication would occur prior to the confirmation deadline for a scheduling cycle. The Service Requester may then send in an unsolicited Confirmation Response document as a response document to this notification. The use of this document would be on a Sender's Option basis as a service being provided by the TSP to its Service Requesters.

This electronic warning would provide notice to Service Requesters of the intent of the Transportation Service Provider to utilize the "Lesser of Rule" during the confirmation



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
 PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
 HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

process. This notification will then allow the Service Requesters to better evaluate their need to send in an unsolicited Confirmation Response document with the quantity to be scheduled or contact the TSP if they do not agree on the quantity specified in the notification document.

The "Lesser of Rule" Confirmation Warning is a customer service document that would be provided by the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) when applicable. This request is to create an electronic format for the document. The cost to implement would vary upon the number of TSPs utilizing this process.

The "Lesser of Rule" Confirmation Warning transaction, sent from the transportation service provider to the service requester, informs the service requester the nomination received for a location has not been confirmed and the "lesser of rule" will be applied during the subsequent confirmation process between the nomination and the previously scheduled quantity for that nomination line item.

"Lesser of Rule" confirmation quantities are grouped by location, service requester contract and effective date (beginning date, beginning time, ending date, ending time). Within these grouping, there may be one or more line items of nomination quantities. Only those nominations received that were not confirmed, the meter does not have an authorized Confirmation by Exception Agreement between the TSP and the Service Requester or the nomination quantity is greater than the previously scheduled quantity will appear on this document. This is an exception only process to be sent to the service requester prior to scheduling of nominations for a particular cycle.

The "Lesser of Rule" Confirmation Warning transaction includes a statement date/time which informs the receiver of the transaction of the date and time that the "Lesser of Rule" Confirmation Warning transaction was generated. This allows the receiver to determine the most current transaction in those instances where he receives more than one Confirmation Warning transaction for the same nomination line item.

The quantity is always expressed as a daily (gas day) quantity, even for intraday nominations.

## Draft Data Dictionary "Lesser of Rule" Confirmation Warning Standard 1.4.x

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Beginning Date	This is the date that the transaction is to be initiated. It includes the century.	M	
Beginning Time	This is the time that a transaction is to be initiated.	M	If the Beginning Time is not sent, the time defaults to the beginning of the gas day.
Confirming Party*	The party confirming the nominated quantities at a point. This does not represent a third party 'agent' (which would be identified in the ISA).	M	
Contractual Flow Indicator	Indicates the logical direction of flow at a point from the confirmation requester originator's perspective. May be different than physical flow.	M	



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
 PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
 HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

Delivery Rank (Priority)	This is the scheduling rank (priority) assigned to the quantities on the upstream side of the flange at the delivery location. Priority 1 means the highest priority. Priorities 2,3, etc. are in descending order of priority. Quantities assigned the same rank will be scheduled on a pro rata basis.	MA	
Downstream Contract Identifier	This field identified the contract of the party who is receiving the quantities from the service requester.	SO	
Downstream Identifier Code*	This field identifies the party who is receiving the quantities from the service requester.	C	Required if 'Delivery' is specified in Contractual Flow Indicator
Downstream Package ID	Service Requester assigned identifier which corresponds to the Package ID on the downstream Transportation Service Provider.	MA	
Ending Date	This is the last date that the transaction is to finish. It includes the century.	M	
Ending Time	This is the time at which the transaction is to finish. If the Ending Time is not sent, the time defaults to the end of the gas day.	M	
Location*	The location where the quantity will be scheduled by the transportation service provider.	M	
Package ID	Service Requester assigned identifier used to differentiate between discrete business transactions.	C	Mandatory when present and processed in the nomination.
Quantity	The amount expressed is a quantity per gas day in standard units.	M	
Receipt Rank (Priority)	This is the scheduling rank (priority) assigned to the quantities on the upstream side of the flange at the delivery location. Priority 1 means the highest priority. Priorities 2,3, etc. are in descending order of priority. Quantities assigned the same rank will be scheduled on a pro rata basis.	MA	
Service Requester*	Identifies the party requesting the service, or their agent.	M	



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
 PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
 HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

Service Requester Contract	This is the contract under which service is being requested.	M	
Statement Date/Time	Date and Time Statement was produced.	M	
Transportation Service Provider*	Identifies the party providing the requested service.	M	
Upstream Contract Identifier	This field identifies the contract of the party who is supplying the quantities to the service requester.	SO	
Upstream Identifier Code*	This field identifies the party who is supplying the quantities to the service requester.	C	Required if 'Receipt' is specified in Contractual Flow Indicator.
Upstream Package ID	Service Requester assigned identifier which corresponds to the Package ID on the upstream Transportation Service Provider.	MA	

\* Indicates Common Code

**ACTION:** After review during the meeting, it was determined that this request will be reviewed at the next meeting.

**R98039** Submitted by El Paso Natural Gas

Add a 'Move to Cycle' data item to both nomination and confirmation transactions which will enable customers to automatically renominate or reconfirm the quantity on a transaction in subsequent cycles that was reduced during processing in the previous cycle.

For example, on the Timely Nomination a customer nominates 5,000 dth but during processing, this transaction is reduced to zero. Currently, in order to renominate the 5,000 on the subsequent cycle, the customer must resubmit the transaction as an intraday transaction. The new data item will enable the customer to indicate as part of the first nomination or confirmation (by entering a Y(Yes) in the Move to Next Cycle Field) that he or she desires any reductions to the transaction to be renominated or reconfirmed in the subsequent cycle. If all the suppliers and markets also indicated they wanted their reductions to be renominated in the subsequent cycle, all transactions would be present for the next cycle, relieving customers of having to resend their intraday transactions.

**Note:** This is an optional (mutually agreeable) feature. It provides customers a choice: 1.) to try to renominate or reconfirm the same transaction again or 2.) seek alternative supplies or markets on a later cycle.

Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standard, and required communication protocols):

The intent of moving the reduced volumes to the next cycle is to maintain the nominated or confirmed quantity as equal to the customer's original nominated or confirmed quantity prior to processing. This means that all quantities reduced in



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

processing are moved to the next cycle. Any adds or updates should not be moved to the next cycle.

The nominated or confirmed quantity for a new cycle would therefore be equal to the scheduled quantity from the close of the previous cycle plus any quantities reduced during processing in the previous cycle. The new quantity after the move would be considered as a nominated or confirmed quantity for that cycle, not a scheduled quantity, since no balancing processes have yet been run against it.

If an upstream or downstream operator submits a Y(Yes) or an N(No) in the Move to Cycle Field on the confirmation, this is considered an explicit confirmation for that cycle (RTC or CR).

Changes to the Move to Cycle Field after the timely cycle will not be reflected in subsequent days.

Example 1:

On the Timely Nomination Cycle a shipper requests 5,000 dth. During processing 1,000 dth are cut.

### Timely

Nominated	5,000
Reduced -	1,000
Scheduled	4,000

On the Evening Cycle, the 1,000 dth which were reduced would be restored.

### Evening

Move to Cycle	1,000
New Nominated	5,000

If, for the Evening Cycle, the shipper decides to reduce their nomination by 1,000, and another 1,000 is reduced during processing:

### Evening

Nominated	5,000
Update -	1,000
Reduction -	1,000
Scheduled	3,000

...Only the 1,000 which was reduced during processing is moved to the Intraday 1 Nomination cycle. Updates are not moved to the next cycle. This would serve to show that the customer had changed the original nomination.

### Intraday 1

Move to Cycle	1,000
New Nominated	4,000

Keeping up with a 4-cycle schedule has proved a hardship for customers 1.) managing many transactions for each delivery date and 2.) working in small shops where it is difficult to man all shifts. This would enable all parties to take advantage of the additional opportunities for nominating and confirming gas after the timely cycle has been processed.

Attachments (such as : further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions):



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

Move to Next Cycle	Usage: Optional Instructs the Service Provider whether to automatically move any quantities reduced in processing to the next cycle for the same delivery date. A 'Y' instructs the Service Provider to move reduced quantities to the next cycle. An 'N' instructs the Service Provider to not move the reduced quantity to the next intraday cycle. The default value is 'N' and will be used if this field is left blank.
--------------------	---

ACTION: After review during the meeting, it was determined that this request will be reviewed at the next meeting.

R98041 Submitted by Columbia Energy

Add contract data elements and bid rate data elements to allow the nomination of a "Super-Nom." These data elements need to be repeating data elements that would allow any number of contracts and bid rates to be included on the nomination.

These data elements should be mutually agreed. A bid rate must have a corresponding contract. However, it is not necessary to supply a bid rate with every contract.

The example explained in "11. Abstract" uses four additional contracts and comes from functionality currently offered by Columbia Gas Transmission and Columbia Gulf Transmission. The four contracts are offshore, onshore, mainline, and distribution.

These data elements are needed in the Nomination and Scheduled Quantity.

The new standard would allow a Service Requester to create one nomination for transporting gas from the wellhead to the citygate.

Columbia Gas Transmission and Columbia Gulf Transmission would use the new data elements to reduce the number of nominations that a service requester needs to transport gas from the Gulf of Mexico to market.

The data elements will provide a level of service that has been a part of Columbia's nomination process since the implementation of Order 436. The "Super-Nom" will reduce the number of nominations required to transport gas.

The "Super-Nom" was a feature allowed when Gas\*Flow maintained the EDI implementation guides. At that time, the trading partners were Columbia Gas Transmission, Columbia Gulf Transmission, Enron, and Gaslantic.

The nomination screen on Columbia's EBB contains Service Requester Contract, Associated Contract, and four other contracts with associated bid rates. By using these contracts/bid rates, a Service Requester can submit a single nomination that defines the path to transport gas from the Gulf of Mexico to a market in the northeast.

When using the current GISB nomination data elements, a Service Requester must submit four nominations. With the additional contracts/bid rates on Columbia's EBB, only one nomination is required.

The four Columbia contracts/bid rates are:

1. Offshore - Used to transport gas from offshore locations to onshore locations.
2. Onshore - Used to transport gas from onshore locations to Rayne, Louisiana.
3. Mainline - Used to transport gas from Rayne, Louisiana to Leach, Kentucky.
4. Distribution - Used to transport gas from Leach, Kentucky to market.

The elimination of these four contracts/bid rates would require a Service Requester to submit four nominations.



# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
 PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com  
 HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

**ACTION:** After review during the meeting, it was determined that this request will be reviewed at the next meeting.

**R98042** Submitted by Duke Energy

Duke Energy Corporation pipelines (Algonquin Gas Transmission Company, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation and Trunkline Gas Company) request the addition of the attached data elements to the *Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5)*.

The addition of these data elements to the EDI transaction set is required so that users of the Duke Energy pipelines' Internet Web site will have access to the same information as users of EDI transactions. The Duke Energy pipelines are in the process of creating their interactive Web site and request that this be processed as expeditiously as possible in order to facilitate electronic commerce via the Internet. These data elements, although requested by the Duke Energy pipelines, could be applicable across the pipeline segment.

**Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5)**

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Delivery Location Confirmation Status	Indicates whether confirmation at the detail level for the delivery location has occurred.	SO	
Receipt Location Confirmation Status	Indicates whether confirmation at the detail level for the receipt location has occurred.	SO	

**ACTION:** The request was amended during the meeting. It will be further discussed at the next meeting.

**R98043** Submitted by Duke Energy

Duke Energy Corporation pipelines (Algonquin Gas Transmission Company, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation and Trunkline Gas Company) request the addition of the attached data elements to the Request for Confirmation (1.4.3) and the Confirmation Response (1.4.4).

The addition of these data elements to the EDI transaction set is required so that users of the Duke Energy pipelines' Internet Web site will have access to the same information as users of EDI transactions. The Duke Energy pipelines are in the process of creating their interactive Web site and request that this be processed as expeditiously as possible in order to facilitate electronic commerce via the Internet. These data elements, although requested by the Duke Energy pipelines, could be applicable across the pipeline segment.

**Request for Confirmation (1.4.3)**

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Change Indicator	Indicates that a change in quantity of gas occurred between the prior and current days.	NA1	
Confirmation Status	Indicates whether confirmation has occurred at the detail level.	NA1	
County	The name of the county in which the facility is located.	NA1	



®

# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
 PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com

HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Location Operator*	The party contractually responsible for the measurement of gas at a location.	M	
Physical Direction of Flow	Indicates the direction in which gas physically flows at a location from the transportation service provider's perspective.	NA1	
Preliminary Allocation Method	The allocation method that will be used to allocate the gas if no pre-determined allocation is submitted.	NA1	
Previous Day Scheduled Quantity	The quantity of gas scheduled on the day prior to the beginning date.	NA1	
State Abbreviation	The abbreviation of the state in which the facility is located.	NA1	

\*Industry Common Code

### Confirmation Response (1.4.4)

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Location Operator*	The party contractually responsible for the measurement of gas at a location.	M	
Transaction Status Code	Status of entire transaction set.	M	

\*Industry Common Code

**ACTION:** The elements outlined in the request excluding transaction status code and location operator are addressed through the application of proposed standard nos. 27 and 52. The request regarding transaction status code and location operator will be further discussed at the next meeting.

R98044 Submitted by Duke Energy

Duke Energy Corporation pipelines (Algonquin Gas Transmission Company, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation and Trunkline Gas Company) request the addition of the attached data elements to the Scheduled Quantity for Operator (1.4.6).

The addition of these data elements to the EDI transaction set is required so that users of the Duke Energy pipelines' Internet Web site will have access to the same information as users of EDI transactions. The Duke Energy pipelines are in the process of creating their interactive Web site and request that this be processed as expeditiously as possible in order to facilitate electronic commerce via the Internet. These data elements, although requested by the Duke Energy pipelines, could be applicable across the pipeline segment.



®

# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com

HOME PAGE: www.gisb.org

## Scheduled Quantity for Operator (1.4.6)

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Change Indicator	Indicates that a change in quantity of gas occurred between the prior and current days.	NA1	
Confirmation Status	Indicates whether confirmation has occurred at the detail level.	NA1	
County	The name of the county in which the facility is located.	NA1	
Estimated BTU	Estimated heating value for the location.	SO	
Location Operator*	The party contractually responsible for the measurement of gas at a location.	M	
Physical Direction of Flow	Indicates the direction in which gas physically flows at a location from the transportation service provider's perspective.	NA1	
Preliminary Allocation Method	The allocation method that will be used to allocate the gas if no pre-determined allocation is submitted.	NA1	
Previous Day Scheduled Quantity	The quantity of gas scheduled on the day prior to the beginning date.	NA1	
State Abbreviation	The abbreviation of the state in which the facility is located.	NA1	

\*Industry Common Code

ACTION: The request was amended during the meeting. *It will be further discussed at the next meeting.*

R98045 Submitted by Duke Energy

Duke Energy Corporation pipelines (Algonquin Gas Transmission Company, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation and Trunkline Gas Company) request the creation of an EDI transaction set titled In-Field Storage Transfer.

The purpose of this EDI transaction set is for a trading partner to be able to transfer gas from one storage contract to another. The creation of this transaction set is required so that users of the Duke Energy pipelines' Internet Web site will have access to the same information as users of EDI transactions. The Duke Energy pipelines are in the process of creating their interactive Web site and request that this be processed as expeditiously as possible in order to facilitate electronic commerce via the Internet. This transaction set, although requested by the Duke Energy pipelines, could be applicable across the pipeline segment.



®

# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 4925. HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77002  
PHONE - (713) 757-4175, FAX - (713) 757-2491, email gisb@aol.com

HOME PAGE: [www.gisb.org](http://www.gisb.org)

## In-Field Storage Transfer

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Accounting Period	The month and year the information was recorded.	M	
Destination Contract	The contract to which the transfer quantity is requested to be moved.	M	
Destination Status	Indicates whether the destination contract holder has confirmed the transfer.	M	
Origin Contract	The contract from which the transfer quantity is requested to be moved.	M	
Origin Status	Indicates whether the origin contract holder has confirmed the transfer.	M	
Requested Quantity	The quantity requested to be transferred.	M	
Requester Company Code*	The requesting company.	M	
Service Requester*	Identifies the party requesting the service.	M	
Service Requester Contract	This is the contract under which service is being requested.	M	
Transfer Date	The requested date for the transfer to occur.	M	
Transfer Quantity	The quantity which the two parties have agreed to transfer.	M	
Transfer Status	Indicates the status of the transfer transaction.	M	
Transportation Service Provider*	A code which uniquely identifies the transportation service provider.	M	
Zone	The geographic location with which the storage contract is associated.	M	

\*Industry Common Code

ACTION: Deferred by submitter to the next meeting.

## V. Plan for Remaining Activities & Adjournment

The recommended standards from this group will be reviewed on October 16 to determine which can go out for industry comment on October 17 and presented to the Executive Committee in November. A clean-up call will be scheduled on October 12 from 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. central. Several ideas were discussed on how to have the process move more efficiently. Several noted that the deadline for nominations is likely not to be met as confirmations and scheduled quantities have not been addressed. The meeting adjourned at 4:30 p.m.