

Modified Proposed EII TF Work Paper:
Previously Proposed Standard Nos. 4.3.A *et al*

[Proposed new language for previous submitted standard 4.3.A:]

4.3.A/L A Transportation Service Provider who determines to provide transactional functions via GISB EBB/EDM, for each transaction upon inception of support for such service, should:

Provide the service via EDI/EDM, or FF/EDM or both, utilizing modifications defined by the TSP to the existing file structures, until such file structures are standardized by GISB; and,

Submit a request for standardization to GISB including details of the EBB/EDM, EDI/EDM and/or FF/EDM implementation.

~~39. Standardized flat file uploads and downloads from the transactional Web page may be supported. [This standard is no longer necessary.]~~

~~48. Any group of parties should be able to mutually agree to initiate a new business practice, and within 18—24 months after implementation of such practice submit a request to GISB for standardization. [This standard is no longer necessary.]~~

[Proposed new Definition:]

4.2.8 "Content Area" is the term used to describe the active data pertaining to the link selected in the navigational area. Where the content area is displayed simultaneously with the navigational area of the browser display, the content area should appear directly to the right of the navigational area.

[Proposed new Standard:]

4.3.O All elements used in standard EBB/EDM, EDI/EDM and FF/EDM should be defined in the related GISB x.4.z standard.

Proposed format for GISB Data Dictionary (x.4.z):

Business Name / Abbreviation	Definition	Area (H/D)	EBB usage	EDI Usage	FF Usage	Condition
Examples:						
Upstream Identifier Code		Detail	n/u	C	C	as stated
Upstream Identifier Name US Party		Detail	C	n/u	n/u	as stated
xxx Code			n/u	M	M	
xxx Code Description			M	n/u	n/u	

Note: For instance, for the Nomination (1.4.1) the Upstream Identifier Code could be required for the EDI usage and the Flat File usage, but may not be used for the Web Page usage. Correspondingly, the Upstream Identifier Name could be required for the Web Page usage and may not be used for EDI or Flat File. [Not to be included as part of the standard language.]

[Proposed new Standard:]

4.3.N The results of validation should be identical across GISB EBB/EDM, EDI/EDM and FF/EDM.

[Proposed new Standard:]

4.3.Q Where a TSP utilizes a subset of available GISB code values for specific data elements, the TSP should make available a list of the supported code values in a download utilizing GISB EDI/EDM or FF/EDM.

Note: If approved, this should go to the IR Subcommittee for identification of the data elements and code values, then to Technical Subcommittee for the EDI and FF structure.