

The Chair's Summary of
3-24-97 Intra-Day Noms Meeting

1) General Consensus (no show of hands) that GISB can achieve an intra-day scheduling process which defines a minimum standard timeline(s). Meeting on 4-11-97 to discuss ideas. (Charter change)

2) Possible language change to 1.2.4:

“An intra-day nomination is a nomination submitted after the nomination deadline or which specifically indicates that the nomination is intended to be processed as an intra-day nomination and whose effective time is no earlier than the beginning of the gas day and runs through the end of that gas day.”

3) Possible new standard:

“At a receipt or delivery point, with respect to confirming intra-day nominations, the lesser of rule (confirmed, nominated, or already flowed) applies when confirming. If no communication is received, then no change is made.”

4) Survey of participants indicate current use of intra-day nominations is relatively low (.i.e. 7.5% maximum) but most expect usage to increase with the passage of an intra-day standard. Reasons to use intra-day nominations vary:

- a) imbalance control
- b) reaction to scheduling changes
- c) changes in market price of gas
- d) meet customer's changing quantity requirements
- e) reaction to OFO's, critical notices, etc.
- f) utilize excess pipeline capacity

(It was noted that some intra-day noms occur currently because of different nomination deadline times. In other words, these should disappear with total implementation of the GISB nomination timeline).

5) Issues to be resolved:

- a) submittal vs effective time vs processing interval vs communicated time.
- b) gas flow time vs confirmation time
- c) Is one intra-day nomination scheduling per day too limiting?
- d) Is the “baby-step” approach wise?
- e) Is the need large enough to justify multiple intra-day nominations per day (load loss to competing alternatives)

- f) Gaming or shifting of financial effects if intra-day nominations aren't for physical flow changes and prospective
- g) Clear communications of intra-day nomination scheduled volumes
- h) Released capacity should have same rights as IT for intra-day noms.

6) Segment Needs:

Market (LDC's & End-Users)

- correct errors
- physical changes
- need intra's for today and tomorrow's gas day.

Transportation Service Provider

- confirmations from up and down parties
- communicate decreases in shipper volumes and receive replacement/intra-day nominations
- keep pipeline operationally balanced
- time to completely process intra-day nomination and effect physical changes
- no retroactive scheduling
- not flow 24-hour volume contractual right in less than 24 hours.

Services

- non-ambiguous way to communicate to TSP on behalf of service requester what service the SR wants
- improve efficiency of intra-day noms
- no bump after close of business on nomination day
- imbalance control
- reaction to scheduling changes
- changes in market price of gas
- meet customer's changing quantity requirements
- reaction to OFO's, critical notices, etc.
- utilize excess pipeline capacity

Producers

- sufficient lead notice to effect flow changes
- uniform a flow as possible
- Point Operators to be integral to the confirmation process and also receive scheduled quantities.