

GISB STANDARDS LANGUAGE COMMITTEE

11/4/97

Remaining Issues for Intraday Task Force From the Standard Group

- 1.. How to determine nomination timing intent:
Concept of “Time element of nom is not controlling as to hourly flow (that is determined by interconnected parties)”, yet “shipper cannot be prevented from entering any effective time they wish, where it will be used to assist the confirming parties as needed”
- 2.. Whether or not all data or changes only should be sent in each intraday “Scheduled Quantities” report; also that the report should contain some indicator or representation as to what intraday cycle is included.
- 3.. The “at least one” concept in current 1.3.32; also the idea of limiting the volume of intraday transactions:
1.3.32 - All pipelines should allow at least one intra-day nomination per day for each transportation service that allows for intra-day nominations. Additional intra-day nominations should be permitted on a best efforts basis.
- 4.. Holding capacity on a continuous pipe until grid time; holding such noms for processing:
Intraday nominations processed outside the standard nomination timelines which cannot be similarly confirmed should be held for processing until the next standard nomination cycle. However it is understood that the TSP is not required to hold capacity for this nom until the next standard nomination cycle.
- 5.. Identifying which cycle the Scheduled Quantities document applies to by adding a new data element tag of some sort.
- 6.. The request for different results from the Confirmation matrix – specifically, if parties are communicating and agreement cannot be reached, the previously agreed to quantity prevails, not the lesser of. If parties are not communicating, then allow the nom volume to prevail in all cases (increases and decreases).