

GISB Expedited Data Development Subcommittee Meeting
20000829 - 30; 0830hrs – 1630hrs
Williams Gas Pipeline; Houston, Texas
FINAL Minutes

1. Welcome and Introductions
 - Welcome given by Sylvia Munson
2. Housekeeping
 - Housekeeping given by Dale Davis
3. Anti-trust Guidelines
 - Anti-Trust benediction given by Sylvia Munson
4. Adoption of Agenda
 - Jim Keisler provided additional copies of the Agenda. Thank you, Jim.
 - Motion by Jim Keisler, seconded by Bill Griffith, to adopt agenda as posted. The motion passed 8 for, 0 opposed.
5. Review and Adoption Prior Meeting Minutes (including attachments)
 - 20000810
 - Motion by Dale Davis, seconded by Jim Keisler, to accept minutes and attachments as modified. The motion passed 8 for, 0 opposed.
6. Business Process and Practices
 - Motion by Jim Keisler, seconded by Mark Scheel, to modify the second paragraph of the proposed section of the Business Process and Practices for Flowing Gas labeled Imbalance Netting and Trading to read:

“Once trading parties have arranged a potential trade, the initiating trader provides the specifics of the trade via the Request for Imbalance Trade for both the initiating trader and the party with whom they are proposing to trade, the confirming trader. This Request for Imbalance Trade is sent by the initiating trader to the transportation service provider for this purpose. The transportation service provider will inform the initiating trader of the receipt of their request and of any errors using the Request for Imbalance Trade Quick Response.”
 - The motion passed 7 for, 0 opposed.
7. Address any response(s) from GISB committees & subcommittees
 - Executive Committee
 - From the 20000824 Executive Committee meeting:

“The EC instructs EDD to develop a recommendation and report on Imbalance Netting and Trading for discussion at the next EC meeting. An abbreviated comment period would be prescribed for this recommendation.”

GISB Expedited Data Development Subcommittee Meeting
20000829 - 30; 0830hrs – 1630hrs
Williams Gas Pipeline; Houston, Texas
FINAL Minutes

- Chairs will complete Recommendation form(s) for submission to the Executive Committee by 20001008

- Imbalances Subcommittee
 - Reported that the Imbalances Subcommittee took into consideration EDD's notice about the possible need for modifications to the navigation standards and made amendments to applicable standards.

- 8. Development of Title Transfer Tracking datasets and the accompanying documentation.
 - Review of Standards
 - Final Actions Regarding Title Transfer Tracking (TTT) Recommendations dated January 23, 1999
 - 1.3.70 - Title Transfer Tracking should be conducted using existing applicable data sets.
 - Definitions (Standard 1.2.13 through 1.2.19)
 - New parties
 - Title
 - Title Transfer Nomination
 - Revisions to existing Standards (Std. 1.3.2)
 - 1.3.2
 - No effect on existing datasets
 - New Principles (1.1.20 and 1.1.21)
 - New Standards
 - 1.3.64
 - Need a way to positively identify locations where TTT is required.
 - May need an error code for location for when the requested point is not a TTT point.
 - 1.3.65
 - TTT handled through nominations process
 - 1.3.66
 - No effect on existing datasets
 - 1.3.67
 - TSP, pursuant to a reasonable request, should provide a 3PAD with the following information for conducting TTT:
 - location codes or
 - contract codes or
 - both
 - Need to develop way to communicate this data to the 3PAD

GISB Expedited Data Development Subcommittee Meeting
20000829 - 30; 0830hrs – 1630hrs
Williams Gas Pipeline; Houston, Texas
FINAL Minutes

- 1.3.68
 - No effect on existing datasets
- 1.3.70
 - use existing datasets
- 1.3.71
 - Need to review the allocation and PDA matrices for parties
- 1.3.72
 - 3PADs will be balanced & balanced pro-rata by default
- 1.3.73
 - 3PAD listing, if acting for TSP, with location & contract
 - does not have to be a listing of all 3PADS, just those acting as agent for the TSP in the roll of TTTSP
 - Accomplish this through an informational posting or dataset?
- 1.3.74
 - Chain is as strong as its weakest link
 - Communicate only with the immediate counterparties
 - Need to review reduction reason codes on scheduled quantity
- 1.3.75
 - Communications with authorized agents
- 1.3.76
 - Locations should be kept in balance
- 1.3.77
 - No action necessary by EDD based on Instruction to IR (below).
 -
- Instructions to IR (a.k.a. EDD)
 - Confirmation process should not be addressed
- Discussion: (See attached discussion workpaper from whiteboard tables.)
 - Participants determined to map out transactions between Service Requesters, Title Transfer Tracking Administrators and Transportation Service Providers to accommodate a number of different scenarios. These scenarios included (so far):
 - 📁 Scenario 1 – Base Case: a single supply chain in and out of a location with the TSP exclusively doing TTT
 - 📁 Scenario 1 – Options 1 - 3: the same chain as Scenario 1- Base Case with a single 3PAD performing all TTT on behalf of the TSP at a single location
 - 📁 Scenario 2 – Base Case: two independent supply chains in and out of a location with the TSP exclusively doing TTT
 - 📁 Scenario 2 – Options 1 - 5: the same chains as Scenario 2 – Base Case with a single 3PAD performing all TTT on behalf of the TSP at a single location

GISB Expedited Data Development Subcommittee Meeting
20000829 - 30; 0830hrs – 1630hrs
Williams Gas Pipeline; Houston, Texas
FINAL Minutes

- Scenario 3 – Base Case: a single supply chain in and multiple chains out of a location with the TSP exclusively doing TTT
- Scenario 3 – Options 1 – 5: the same chains as Scenario 3 – Base Case with a single 3PAD performing all TTT on behalf of the TSP at a single location
- Scenario 4 – Base Case: multiple supply chains in and multiple chains out of a location with the TSP exclusively doing TTT
- Scenario 4 – Options 1 – 5: the same chains as Scenario 4 – Base Case with a single 3PAD performing all TTT on behalf of the TSP at a single location
- Scenario 5 – Base Case: multiple supply chains in and multiple supply chains out of a location with the TSP and a single 3PAD performing the TTT.
Note: this scenario was not completed at this time and will be completed at the next meeting.
- Other scenarios will be explored at subsequent meetings

9. Next meeting dates and locations

- Will try for 20000921 & 22 if we can talk BPS into canceling its meeting on September 22. Will check with CMS for hosting; they are hosting Technical on the 2 days before. If they cannot host, Dynegey can host. Check GISB website for updates.

10. Adjourn

- Adjourned @ 1625 hrs.