



**GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Conference Call Materials
May 29, 2001**

**Gas Industry Standards Board
Executive Committee Meeting
May 8, 2001**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Tab 1. Welcome & Opening Remarks

- Antitrust Guidelines

Agenda - Meeting Announcement & Agenda

Minutes - Draft Minutes of May 8, 2001

Tab 2. FERC Order No. 637 Recommended Standards

- FERC Order 637 Item 1 (BPS Priority #6) -- 5.3.31 and 5.3.32
- Ballot



**GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Conference Call Materials
May 29, 2001**

1. Welcome and Opening Remarks

- The officers of the Executive Committee have called this conference call to consider and vote on one recommendation related to FERC Order 637.
- To participate in the conference call, please call the GISB office for instructions.
- The chairman may elect to extend the hours of the meeting if more time is required to complete the agenda.
- For further assistance please reach:

Veronica Thomason at (713) 356 - 0060
- The officers presiding over the Executive Committee meeting are:

Jim Buccigross	- Chairman
Mike Novak	- Vice Chairman
Rae McQuade	- Executive Director
Jay Costan	- General Counsel
- Transcripts will be available. Please call the GISB office for information on ordering the transcripts.



**GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Conference Call Materials
May 29, 2001**

1. Antitrust Guidelines

- GISB General Counsel Jay Costan will review the antitrust guidelines. The points are:

Antitrust guidelines direct meeting participants to avoid discussion of topics or behavior that would result in anti-competitive behavior including: restraint of trade and conspiracies to monopolize, unfair or deceptive business acts or practices, price discriminations, division of markets, allocation of production, imposition of boycotts, and exclusive dealing arrangements.



**GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Conference Call Materials
May 29, 2001**

1. Adoption of Agenda

- The proposed agenda, attached, has been distributed and is available on GISB's home page.
- **The Executive Committee is requested to review the agenda, suggest changes if needed, and vote to adopt the agenda.**



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 3625, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

TO: GISB Executive Committee Members & Alternates, Posting for Interested Industry Participants
FROM: Rae McQuade, Executive Director
RE: Draft Agenda for Executive Committee Meeting – May 29, 2001
DATE: May 15, 2001

GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Tuesday, May 29, 2001 – 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. Central

CONFERENCE CALL ANNOUNCEMENT

The chair and vice chair of the Executive Committee (EC) have called a meeting via conference call to discuss and vote on the recommendation related to Order 637 BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6). This recommendation modifies GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32 to support FERC Order No. 637, and the Executive Committee determined on May 9 that a conference call was needed to review the comments and vote on this recommendation, in order for it to be considered in the version 1.5 publication.

For the publication schedule for version 1.5, the following timeline was described in the May 9 conference call by Mr. Novak:

- The comment period ends on May 25.
- The EC meets via conference call on the afternoon of May 29 to vote on the recommended standards.
- The ratification period will be shortened to begin on May 29 and end on June 15, at which time the standards manuals can be published.
- The publication date for version 1.5 of the standards is June 18, 2001.

The determination to shorten the ratification period is made through a simple majority vote of the EC. The EC will take that vote on May 29, at its conference call.

To participate in the conference call, please call the GISB office for conference calling instructions. Materials for the meeting should be emailed shortly and posted on the home page. This conference call will be transcribed. Below is the draft agenda:

REVISED DRAFT AGENDA
Tuesday, May 29, 2001 – 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. Central

- | | | |
|-----------|----|---|
| 3:00 p.m. | 1. | Welcome <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Antitrust Guidelines• Welcome to members and attendees• Adoption of Agenda• Explanation of Procedures for transcribing in a conference call• Adoption of the draft minutes of May 9, 2001 |
| 3:15 p.m. | 2. | Discussion and “17/2” Vote on the Recommendation |
| 3:45 p.m. | 3. | Simple Majority Vote on Time Period for Member Ratification of the Above Recommendation |
| 4:00 p.m. | 4. | Adjourn |



**GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Conference Call Materials
May 29, 2001**

1. Adoption of Minutes

- The draft minutes of May 8 are posted on the home page for review and are included in these materials for vote to adopt. **The Executive Committee is requested to review the draft minutes, suggest additional changes if needed, and vote to adopt as minutes of the meeting.**



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 3625, Houston, Texas 77002
Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com
Home Page: www.gisb.org

TO: Executive Committee (EC) Members
Posting for Interested Industry Participants

FROM: Rae McQuade, Executive Director

RE: Draft Minutes¹ from the Executive Committee (EC) Conference Call – May 8, 2001

DATE: May 10, 2001

GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD
GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE CONFERENCE CALL
May 8, 2001
DRAFT MINUTES

1. Administrative

Mr. Buccigross welcomed the Executive Committee to the conference call and reviewed the procedures for transcription over a conference call. Mr. Costan read the antitrust charge. Ms. McQuade read roll call. Mr. Novak made the motion seconded by Ms. Chezar to adopt the revised agenda as posted and distributed. All were in favor. Ms.s Chezar made the motion seconded by Ms. Davis to adopt the revised redlined minutes of April 19, 2001. All were in favor.

2. New Standards

Recommendation:

- Modify the Sample Paper Transaction for the Offer Download, Bid Download, Award Download, Offer Upload Quick Response and Bid Upload to agree with the revised timelines in GISB Standard No. 5.3.2. Modify the Sample ASC X12 Transaction for the Offer Download, Bid Download, Award Download, Offer Upload Quick Response and Bid Upload to agree with the revised Sample Paper Transactions.

Executive Committee Action:

- Mr. Keisler reviewed the recommendation. There were no suggested changes to the recommendation. The motion was made by Ms. Chezar and seconded by Ms. Van Pelt to adopt the recommendation as posted and distributed. The motion passed unanimously (20 votes in favor, none in opposition – Rec 1).

Recommendation:

- Change the interpretation nos. 7.3.2, 7.3.3, 7.3.15 and final action for request no. C99003 to correspond to actions taken to change GISB Standard No. 5.3.2 to support FERC Order No. 637.

Executive Committee Motion:

- Mr. Novak described the changes to the interpretations. Ms. Hess made the motion seconded by Ms. Van Pelt to adopt the modified interpretations as presented on the recommendation.

¹ The draft minutes include notational votes received by May 10, but are otherwise unchanged from those prepared on May 8.



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 3625, Houston, Texas 77002
Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com
Home Page: www.gisb.org

Executive Committee Discussion:

Mr. Novak asked that the group direct its attention to the comments noted as submitted by National Fuel Gas Distribution et al² on May 1 (to be referred to as the NFGD comments throughout the remainder of the minutes). The comments were actually prepared and submitted by several parties, including the LDC segment members of GISB, Boeing, Salt River Project, Tennessee Valley Authority and Florida Power and Light. Mr. Novak described the changes to the two interpretations presented in the comments. Mr. Scheel noted that the recommendation does not treat the interpretations consistently, while the NFGD comments presented by Mr. Novak do treat the interpretations consistently. There was additional discussion on the need for the changes as presented by Mr. Novak.

In response to questions, Mr. Novak explained that in the revision to GISB standard no. 5.3.2, for nonbiddable releases, a party could post a release and the acquiring shipper could move the gas on the same day. Mr. Novak noted that the ability to move released gas on the same day would also apply to biddable releases. He defined partial day releases as releases where the release was posted and acquired, the acquiring shipper nominated under the intraday nomination deadlines, and the gas flowed – all of which occurred during the same day.

In response to Mr. Novak's definition of partial day releases, Ms. Hess explained that for intraday nominations, all of the quantities are 24 hour quantities. Mr. Novak asked Ms Hess if she agreed that two parties can hold and use the same capacity at different times of the same day. He noted that for semantics, the release could be called an intraday release or partial day release. Ms. Hess responded by giving an example: one shipper to has 10,000 dekatherms and releases 4,000. The result would be that the releasing shipper would have 6,000 for the day and the acquiring shipper would have 4,000 for the day.

Ms. Munson asked Ms. Hess if there was anything in the comments provided that contradicts the intent described by Mr. Novak. The response was that there was nothing specific in the comments that were contradictory, and Ms. Hess directed participants to a review of the transcripts, and in particular, the April 19 transcripts, (depending on the date of the transcripts, they can be ordered from either Ak/Ret Reporting or Ace Federal – they are copyrighted).

Ms. Van Pelt asked if a brief break could be taken. The break began at 2:55 p.m. central. The meeting reconvened at 3:15 p.m. central.

Ms. Chezar asked that if a release were done during the day, can two parties own and use the same capacity during the same day – the use would not be overlapping? Ms. Hess responded that two parties could not use the same capacity during the same day. Ms. Hess described the example again, where the releasing shipper would have 6,000 dekatherms for the day and the acquiring shipper would have 4,000 dekatherms for the day. Ms. Chezar asked how it would be handled if the releasing shipper released the full 10,000 dekatherms during one of the intraday cycles. Mr. Gracey responded that the acquiring

² The Comments submitted on May 1 were prepared by the GISB LDC Segment and Salt River Project, Tennessee Valley Authority, Florida Power and Light and Boeing.



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 3625, Houston, Texas 77002
Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com
Home Page: www.gisb.org

shipper would have the 10,000 dekatherms for the day and the releasing shipper would have that portion of the 10,000 dekatherms that flowed that day prior to the release being effected – because it could not be retroactively changed. Through the validation, the acquiring shipper may or may not get the full 10,000 dekatherms – which is dependent on each pipeline's individual tariff. Ms. Hess noted that examining flow quantities is confusing; the standards reflect the contractual quantities, not the flowing quantities.

Executive Committee Revised Motion and Action:

- Ms. Hess and Ms. Van Pelt agreed to revised the motion to adopt the interpretation no. 7.3.2 and final action c99003 as presented on the recommendation and adopt interpretation nos. 7.3.3 and 7.3.15 as revised in the May 1 NFGD comments. The revision was made to insert the phrase "(less than one year)" into the interpretation no. 7.3.15, (see attachment). The results of the vote taken on the motion were inconclusive (15 votes in favor, none in opposition – Rec 2) and the absent Executive Committee members will be contacted to provide their votes notationally.

Minor Correction:

- Correct version 1.4 of the EDM Standards Manual to refer to "base64 encoding" rather than "uuencoding". The standards manual incorrectly states that usernames and passwords sent via a HTTP POST are "uuencoded". The HTTP specification, RFC 1945, requires that usernames and passwords be "base64 encoded". The EDM standards manual should be changed to reflect the correct encoding style.

Executive Committee Action:

- Mr. Buccigross reviewed the minor correction. There were no suggested changes to the correction. The motion was made by Ms. Hess and seconded by Mr. Griffith to adopt the minor correction. The motion passed unanimously, (18 votes in favor, none in opposition – Rec 3).

3. Ratification Period

Ms. Van Pelt noted that the Business Practices Subcommittee (BPS) had reviewed the standards against the modifications to standard no. 5.3.2 regarding FERC Order No. 637. All were in agreement that the BPS made changes to GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32, which should go out for comment. The period for comment and ratification should be such that the changes to GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32 can be included in the GISB version 1.5 release.

Mr. Novak made the motion, seconded by Ms. Chezar to shorten the ratification period to 15 days for GISB Interpretation Nos. 7.3.2, 7.3.3, 7.3.15, c99003 and full staffing for GISB Standard No. 5.3.2 (recommendations voted upon by the EC on May 8) to 15 days. All were in favor (M1).

Mr. Novak then made the motion seconded by Ms. Chezar to shorten the industry comment period to 15 days for the modifications to GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32. The Executive Committee will meet via conference call on May 29 to vote on the modifications to the standards. All were in favor of the motion (M2).

As a last motion, Mr. Novak made the motion seconded by Ms. Chezar to delay the publication of version 1.5 to June 18, 2001. During discussion it was noted that the delay is needed in order to include the modifications to GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32. All were in favor



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 3625, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

of the motion (M3).

4. Other Business and Adjourn

The motion was made by Mr. Novak and seconded by Ms. Chezar to adjourn the meeting. All were in favor. The meeting adjourned at 4:15 p.m. central.



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 3625, Houston, Texas 77002
 Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com
 Home Page: www.gisb.org

5. Executive Committee Attendance & Voting Record

	Present	Rec 1	Rec 2	Rec 3.	M1	M2	M3
End Users:							
Bill Hebenstreit	Y	Y	Y1				
Joel Greene for Diane McVicker	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Dona Gussow	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Kelly Daly	Absent						
Tina Patton	Absent		Y1				
LDCs:							
Dolores Chezar	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mike Novak	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Chris Maturo	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Audrey Bragg for Bob Betonte	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Steve Sullivan	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Pipelines:							
Bill Griffith	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Dale Davis	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Theresa Hess	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Kim Van Pelt	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mark Gracey	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Producers:							
Paul Keeler	Ballot	Y	Y1	Y			
Lauren Kaestner	Absent						
Richard Smith	Y	Y	Y1				
Mike Johnson	Ballot	Y	Y1	Y			
Scott Brown	Ballot	Y		Y			
Services							
Jim Buccigross	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Sylvia Munson	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Keith Sappenfield	Y	Y	Y1				
Mark Scheel	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Carl Caldwell	Absent		Y1				
<hr/>							
Votes in Favor		20	22	18	14	14	14
Votes Opposed		0	0	0	0	0	0
(P)ass / (F)ail / (I)nconclusive		P	P	P	P	P	P
Ratification?		Y	Y	N	N	N	N

Y1 Notational Affirmative Vote, provided electronically after the meeting

**COMMENTS of the GISB LDC SEGMENT, THE BOEING COMPANY,
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, SALT RIVER PROJECT and
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY**

ORDER 637 - INTERPRETATIONS

**PROPOSED ALTERNATE INTERPRETATIONS 7.3.3 AND 7.3.15
Provided as a response to the April 11, 2001 Request for Comments**

May 1, 2001

As an alternative to modified standards developed by the Interpretations Subcommittee, alternate interpretations for standards 7.3.3 and 7.3.15 are proposed. As proposed by the Interpretations Subcommittee, standards 7.3.3 and 7.3.15 are essentially unchanged; modified only by the preface:

Note: This interpretation was provided in response to a request regarding a version of GISB Standard No. 5.3.2, which was last published in GISB Version No. 1.4.

While the intended purpose of this preface was to maintain the intent of the original interpretation but allow the reader to make self-evident adjustments to reflect the proposed version of GISB Standard No. 5.3.2, as constructed by the Interpretations Subcommittee, 7.3.3 and 7.3.15 are ambiguous. Interpretations should remove ambiguities and clarify. Without explicit modifications reflecting the latest version of 5.3.2, one reader may say that 7.3.3 and 7.3.15 are permissive of acquiring shippers being able to place nominations to begin flow on the same day that they acquire capacity. Another reader may interpret that flow cannot begin until after a timely nomination is placed.

Any reasonable reading of the minutes and/or transcripts of BPS and EC meetings conducted over the past year will show that the intention of proposed version of 5.3.2 is to permit a shipper to place a nomination to begin flow at the soonest opportunity following acquisition of capacity, even if the effective date of the acquired capacity is the current gas day.

Alternate interpretations for standards 7.3.3 and 7.3.15 that include explicit language reflecting proposed 5.3.2 are included below. Note that no one should read this as a "partial day recall" issue. The language solely pertains to permitting flow to begin at the soonest opportunity following acquisition of capacity, even if the effective date of the acquired capacity is the current gas day.

REDLINED VERSION WITH REVISIONS FROM THE EC - highlighted

7.3.3 Clarify the differences between Business Day and Work Day, as it applies to GISB standard 3.2.1.

Interpretation:

Standard 3.2.1 defines the ~~business-Business day-Day~~ as "...Monday through Friday, excluding Federal Banking Holidays for transactions in the U.S., and similar holidays for transactions occurring in Canada and Mexico." There is no GISB definition for the hours comprising a work day. The ~~business-Business day-Day~~ definition described in Standard 3.2.1 applies to Standard 5.3.2.

With regard to the ~~short-term~~ "less than 1 year" release open season, Standard 5.3.2 states:

*"For ~~short term-biddable~~ releases (less than ~~5 months~~1 year):
-- Offers should be tendered by ~~1:00 p.m.~~12:00 p.m. ~~on the day before~~
~~nominations for~~
~~short-term releases (less than 5 months)~~on a Business Day;
-- open season ends no later than ~~2:00 p.m.~~ 1:00 p.m. ~~on the day before~~
~~nominations are~~
due on a Business Day..."*

This means that the latest time that bidding on a ~~short term~~ "less than 1 year" ~~Offer offer~~ of release can start is 1:00 PM~~12:00 p.m. (noon)~~ ~~on the business day before~~ timely nominations would be due on a Business Day for flow on the first date that a release starts.

With regard to the posting of offers four days in advance of award start and providing for a ~~3-three~~ ~~business-Business day-Day~~ open season, the example of a ~~five-month~~1 year release commencing on a Tuesday after a Monday holiday would be as follows: The Offer would be posted no later than ~~1:00 p.m.~~12:00 p.m. (noon) the prior Wednesday (four ~~business-Business days-Days~~ prior to the Tuesday award start) as Saturday, Sunday, and the Monday holiday do not qualify as ~~business-Business days-Days~~.

Assume another example of a ~~five-month~~1 year release with the award commencing on a Saturday. The timeline would be as follows: The ~~Offer-offer~~ would be posted no later than ~~1:00 P.M.~~12:00 p.m. (noon) the prior Tuesday. This provides for both the required four ~~business-Business days-Days~~ prior to the Saturday award start and the three ~~business-Business days-Days~~ prior to the ~~2 P.M.~~ 1:00 p.m. Thursday ending of the open season, which is required in order to provide a three ~~business-Business day-Day~~ open season in advance of the Friday ~~A.M.a.m.~~ timely nominations deadline for Saturday flow under the award.

7.3.15 Clarify the timeline for capacity release posting and award - there are 3 known proposed implementations

Interpretation:

With regard to the "less than 1 year"~~short-term~~ release open season, Standard 5.3.2 states

"For ~~short term-biddable~~ releases (less than ~~5 months~~1 year):

- Offers should be tendered by ~~1:00 p.m.~~ 12:00 p.m. ~~on the day before nominations for short term releases (less than 5 months) on a Business Day;~~
- open season ends no later than ~~2:00 p.m.~~ 1:00 p.m. ~~on the day before nominations are due on a Business Day...~~

The ~~short term~~ biddable release (less than one year) must be posted no later than ~~1:00 P.M.~~ 12:00 p.m. (noon) the day ~~before~~ nominations are due. This does not mean that an Offer-offer may only be posted the day ~~before~~ nominations are due. There is no maximum number of days, in advance of nominations being due, by which an Offer-offer must be posted. At a minimum, an Offer-offer must be posted prior to ~~1:00 P.M.~~ 12:00 p.m. (noon). The Offer-offer of release may be posted for a greater period of time. If an Offer-offer is posted after ~~1:00 P.M.~~ 12:00 p.m. (noon) on a day, it must remain available for bid until no earlier than ~~2:00 P.M.~~ 1:00 p.m. the next day. In this way all bidders may review the Offer-offer postings between a known hour and know that all of the biddable Offers-offers are available. On what ever day an Offer-offer is posted, the open season must encompass at least the next occurrence of the hour between ~~1:00 P.M.~~ 12:00 p.m. (noon) and ~~2:00 P.M.~~ 1:00 p.m. central clock time. Thus, the intent of standard 5.3.2 is as follows:

- a) The time that bidding on a particular Offer-offer of release ends is ~~2 PM~~ 1:00 p.m. on a ~~business~~ Business day Day;
- b) the latest date that bidding on a particular Offer-offer of release can end is the ~~business~~ Business day Day ~~before~~ timely upon which nominations would be due for flow on the first date that a release starts;
- c) the minimum open season on a ~~short term~~ "less than 1 year" Offer-offer of release (open season being the time duration between bidding starting and bidding ending) is one hour;
- d) the minimum open season on a ~~long term~~ "1 year or more" Offer-offer of release (open season being the time duration between bidding starting and bidding ending) is three ~~business~~ Business days Days and one hour;
- e) there is no maximum bidding period other than the bidding on a particular Offer-offer of release can start no earlier than the time a particular Offer-offer of release is received and posted by the capacity release service provider;
- f) the latest time that bidding on a ~~short term~~ "less than 1 year" Offer-offer of release can start is ~~1:00 PM~~ 12:00 p.m. (noon) ~~on~~ ~~the business day before~~ ~~timely nominations would be due~~ on a Business Day for flow on the first date that a release starts; and,
- g) the latest time that bidding on a ~~long term~~ "1 year or more" Offer-offer of release can start is ~~1:00 PM~~ 12:00 p.m. (noon) on the third ~~business~~ Business day Day before timely nominations would be due for flow on the first date that a release starts (the fourth ~~business~~ Business day Day prior to award ~~-i.e., the 10:00 A.M. provision of the contract number by TSP to Service Requester~~).

CLEAN VERSION

7.3.3 Clarify the differences between Business Day and Work Day, as it applies to GISB standard 3.2.1.

Interpretation:

Standard 3.2.1 defines the Business Day as "...Monday through Friday, excluding Federal Banking Holidays for transactions in the U.S., and similar holidays for transactions occurring in Canada and Mexico." There is no GISB definition for the hours comprising a work day. The Business Day definition described in Standard 3.2.1 applies to Standard 5.3.2.

With regard to the "less than 1 year" release open season, Standard 5.3.2 states:

- "For biddable releases (less than 1 year):*
-- Offers should be tendered by 12:00 p.m. on a Business Day;
-- open season ends no later than 1:00 p.m. on a Business Day..."

This means that the latest time that bidding on a "less than 1 year" offer of release can start is

12:00 p.m. (noon) on a Business Day for flow on the first date that a release starts.

With regard to the posting of offers four days in advance of award start and providing for a three Business Day open season, the example of a 1 year release commencing on a Tuesday after a Monday holiday would be as follows: The Offer would be posted no later than 12:00 p.m. (noon) the prior Wednesday (four Business Days prior to the Tuesday award start) as Saturday, Sunday, and the Monday holiday do not qualify as Business Days.

Assume another example of a 1 year release with the award commencing on a Saturday. The timeline would be as follows: The offer would be posted no later than 12:00 p.m. (noon) the prior Tuesday. This provides for both the required four Business Days prior to the Saturday award start and the three Business Days prior to the 1:00 p.m. Thursday ending of the open season, which is required in order to provide a three Business Day open season in advance of the Friday a.m. timely nominations deadline for Saturday flow under the award.

7.3.15 Clarify the timeline for capacity release posting and award - there are 3 known proposed implementations

Interpretation:

With regard to the "less than 1 year" release open season, Standard 5.3.2 states

- "For biddable releases (less than 1 year):*
- Offers should be tendered by 12:00 p.m. on a Business Day;
- open season ends no later than 1:00 p.m. on a Business Day..."

The biddable release **(less than one year)** must be posted no later than 12:00 p.m. (noon) the day nominations are due. This does not mean that an offer may only be posted the day nominations are due. There is no maximum number of days, in advance of nominations being due, by which an offer must be posted. At a minimum, an offer must be posted prior to 12:00 p.m. (noon). The offer of release may be posted for a

greater period of time. If an offer is posted after 12:00 p.m. (noon) on a day, it must remain available for bid until no earlier than 1:00 p.m. the next day. In this way all bidders may review the offer postings between a known hour and know that all of the biddable offers are available. On whatever day an offer is posted, the open season must encompass at least the next occurrence of the hour between 12:00 p.m. (noon) and 1:00 p.m. central clock time. Thus, the intent of standard 5.3.2 is as follows:

- a) The time that bidding on a particular offer of release ends is 1:00 p.m. on a Business Day;
- b) the latest date that bidding on a particular offer of release can end is the Business Day upon which nominations would be due for flow on the first date that a release starts;
- c) the minimum open season on a "less than 1 year" offer of release (open season being the time duration between bidding starting and bidding ending) is one hour;
- d) the minimum open season on a "1 year or more" offer of release (open season being the time duration between bidding starting and bidding ending) is three Business Days and one hour;
- e) there is no maximum bidding period other than the bidding on a particular offer of release can start no earlier than the time a particular offer of release is received and posted by the capacity release service provider;
- f) the latest time that bidding on a "less than 1 year" offer of release can start is 12:00 p.m. (noon) on a Business Day for flow on the first date that a release starts; and,
- g) the latest time that bidding on a "1 year or more" offer of release can start is 12:00 p.m. (noon) on the third Business Day before timely nominations would be due for flow on the first date that a release starts (the fourth Business Day prior to award).



**GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Conference Call Materials
May 29, 2001**

2. Recommended Standards

- The Executive Committee is asked to review the recommendation, consider the comments and vote on:
 - FERC Order No. 637 Item 1 (BPS Priority #6) Recommendation 2 regarding 5.3.31 and 5.3.32



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 3625, Houston, Texas 77002
Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com
Home Page: www.gisb.org

via email & posting

TO: GISB Members, Posting on the GISB Home Page for Interested Industry Participants
FROM: Rae McQuade, Executive Director
RE: Request For Comments
DATE: May 10, 2001

An industry comment period begins today and ends on May 25 for the recommendations listed below. The Executive Committee will meet via conference call on May 29 to review these recommendations and consider them for vote as GISB standards or revisions to standards. The recommendations can be accessed from the GISB Web site, but is also attached to this request for comment¹. All comments received by the GISB office by end of May 25 will be posted on the Home Page and forwarded to the EC members for their consideration. The EC members will consider all comments and are scheduled to cast their votes on these recommendations on May 29 during their conference call. If you have difficulty retrieving this document, please call the GISB office at (713) 356-0060.

Best Regards,

Rae McQuade

cc: Jay Costan

Request Number	Description
-----------------------	--------------------

Order 637 BPS Order 637	Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)
-------------------------	-------------------------------------

	Modify GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32 to support FERC Order No. 637.
--	--

¹ All recommendations other than clarifications/interpretations can be found on the "Request For Standards" page (<http://www.gisb.org/req.htm>), which is accessible from the GISB main page. Clarifications/Interpretations (Cxxxxx) can be found on the "Clarification Requests" page (<http://www.gisb.org/clar.htm>).

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)

1. Recommended Action:

- Accept as requested
- Accept as modified below
- Decline

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:

- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

Per Recommendation:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY: Modify GISB Standard Nos 5.3.31, 5.3.32

STANDARDS LANGUAGE:

Proposed revision to GISB Standard No. 5.3.31:

Transportation Service Providers which support capacity release should accept and process uploads of ~~biddable~~ capacity release offers from releasing shipper(s) (or its authorized third party service provider), provided the offer is received by the Transportation Service Provider at their designated site no later than 15 minutes prior to the respective deadline specified in GISB Standard No. 5.3.2. ~~by 11:45 A.M. CCT on a Business Day.~~ Such received offer, if determined to be valid, should be posted as an Offer and should be available for bidding by ~~12:00 PM CCT~~ (the ~~normal~~ posted-by deadline and start of bidding time specified (for the received Business Day) in GISB Standard No. 5.3.2) ~~on that Business Day~~ or the Releasing Shipper's specified Business Day (if later than the received Business Day).

Proposed revision to GISB Standard No. 5.3.32:

Transportation Service Providers which support capacity release should accept and process uploads of capacity release bids from potential acquiring shipper(s) (or its authorized third party service provider), provided the bid is time-stamped as leaving control of the bidder no later than the respective deadline as specified in GISB Standard

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)

No. 5.3.2 ~~1:00 P.M. of the applicable deadline day~~ and is received by the Transportation Service Provider at their designated site no later than fifteen minutes after such deadline. ~~by 1:15 P.M.~~ Such timely bid, if determined to be valid, should be evaluated by the Transportation Service Provider for the purpose of identifying the winning bidder associated with the Offer upon which the bid was made.

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Review timelines for modifications including accommodation of intraday or partial day capacity releases (Affects GISB Standard No. 5.3.2 and related interpretations).

b. Description of Recommendation:

Executive Committee Meeting, December 14, 2000

Order 637 Priority Action Items 1 and 2 Revised by the Executive Committee on November 16, 2000 for GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.6 and 5.3.7

The recommendation is to modify GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.2, 5.3.6, 5.3.7, 5.3.31, 5.3.32, 5.3.35, 5.3.36, 5.3.41, and to propose new GISB Standard Nos. 5.2.z1, 5.3.z1, 5.3.z2, 5.3.z3.

Motion 1: The motion was made by Mr. Novak to adopt the proposed standards and revisions, which was seconded by Mr. Hebenstreit.

Discussion: Mr. Keeler expressed concerns with the proposed standard no. 5.3.6 – specifically in the evening nomination cycle the absence of restrictions on the recall if gas is already scheduled using the subject capacity.

The changes proposed by Mr. Keeler are noted in italic underlined text. The other changes proposed in the recommendation were accepted by the motion makers.

Change: Proposed revision to GISB Standard No. 5.3.6, made by Mr. Keeler and including comments from Mr. Payne's Enron North America comments:

5.3.6 Recall terms should be agreed to in advance between the releasing and acquiring shippers.

- Where the releasing shipper wishes to recall capacity to be effective for a gas day, releases with an award posting date prior to [month day, year] are grand-fathered and the recall notice should be provided to the transportation service provider (TSP) and the acquiring shipper no later than 8:00 A.M. Central Clock Time on the nomination day.
For releases with an award posting date on or after [month day, year]:
- Timely recall notice should be provided to the TSP and the acquiring shipper no later than 9:30 A.M. and 9:45 A.M., respectively, Central Clock Time on the nomination day such that the releasing shipper may place a timely nomination for the next day's gas flow.
- Evening recall notice should be provided to the TSP and the acquiring shipper no later than ~~1:00 p.m.~~ ~~and 1:15 p.m.~~ 3:00 p.m. and 3:15 p.m., respectively, Central Clock Time on the nomination day such that the releasing shipper may place an evening nomination for the next day's gas flow. Such recalls should be limited to situations where the capacity has not been scheduled. Further, the nomination by the releasing shipper should be scheduled on a basis that does not bump any scheduled gas.
- Flowing Day Recall notice effective for the Intraday 1 nomination cycle should be provided to the TSP and the acquiring shipper no later than 7:45 a.m. and 8:00 a.m., respectively, Central Clock Time on nomination day such that the releasing shipper may place an Intraday 1

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)

nomination for the current day's gas flow. Such recalls should be limited to situations where the capacity has not been scheduled. Further, the nomination by the releasing shipper should be scheduled on a basis that does not bump any flowing gas. Releasing and acquiring shippers may agree in advance that flowing day recalls will not be permitted.

- Flowing Day Recall notice effective for the Intraday 2 nomination cycle should be provided to the TSP and the acquiring shipper no later than 2:45 P.M. and 3:00 P.M., respectively, Central Clock Time on nomination day such that the releasing shipper may place an Intraday 2 nomination for the current day's gas flow. Such recalls should be limited to situations where the capacity has not been scheduled. Further, the nomination by the releasing shipper should be scheduled on a basis that does not bump any flowing gas. *Releasing and acquiring shippers may agree in advance that flowing day recalls will not be permitted.*

For recallable releases, the acquiring shipper should provide a recall contact to the TSP.

Vote (M1): The motion to adopt the original November 17 recommendation failed.

Motion: Mr. Novak made the motion, seconded by Ms. McVicker to adopt the November 17 recommendation with changes proposed by Mr. Keeler and Mr. Payne to proposed standard no. 5.3.6, (changes above, noted in underlined and strikethrough text), and changes recommended by Ms. Chezar to proposed standard no. 5.3.z3:

5.3.z3 Where a Flowing Day Recall has been issued and made effective, applicable usage and fuel charges ~~volumetric charges, including commodity charges~~, should be billed to the parties that utilize the capacity.

Discussion: Mr. Scheel noted that the proposed timely recall notice at 9:30 a.m. does not allow the acquiring shipper any time to find replacement capacity and still meet the 9:30 a.m. posting requirement for the timely cycle non-biddable releases as set out in revised standard 5.3.2. He pointed out similar problems for the other recall notice times. Vote (M2): Motion failed.

Discussion: It was discussed that the entire recommendation was at risk of failing, based on the votes taken so far in the meeting. It was then agreed to review those proposed standards that might pass, and consider them on an individual basis.

Motion: Mr. Scheel made the motion to adopt the proposed standard no. 5.3.2 (as presented in the November 17 recommendation), seconded by Mr. Hebenstreit with modifications proposed by Ms. Van Pelt and Ms. Davis:

5.3.2 For biddable releases (less than 1 year):

- offers should be tendered by 12:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
 - open season ends no later than 1:00 P.M. on a Business Day (evaluation period begins at 1:00 P.M. during which contingency is eliminated, determination of best bid is made, and ties are broken);
 - evaluation period ends at 2:00 P.M.;
 - match or award is communicated by 2:00 P.M.;
 - match response by 2:30 P.M.;
 - award posting by 3:00 P.M.;
- contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.
(Central Clock Time)

For biddable releases (1 year or more):

- offers should be tendered by 12:00 P.M. four Business Days before award;
- open season ends no later than 1:00 P.M. on the Business Day before timely nominations are due (open season is three Business Days);
- evaluation period begins at 1:00 P.M. during which contingency is eliminated, determination of best bid is made, and ties are broken;
- evaluation period ends at 2:00 P.M.;
- match or award is communicated by 2:00 P.M.;

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)

- match response by 2:30 P.M.;
 - award posting by 3:00 P.M.;
 - contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract. (Central Clock Time)
 - For non-biddable releases:
 - Timely Cycle
 - posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 9:30 A.M. on a Business Day;
 - contract tendered with contract # by 10:30 A.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.
 - Evening Cycle
 - posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 4:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
 - contract tendered with contract # by 5:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.
 - Intraday 1 Cycle
 - posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 8:00 A.M. on a Business Day;
 - contract tendered with contract # by 9:00 A.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.
 - Intraday 2 Cycle
 - posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 3:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
 - contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible for beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract. (Central Clock Time)
- and the following instructions (which are not part of the proposed standard:
Modify proposed standard no. 5.3.2 as shown above, adopt it and transfer it to the Interpretations Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee for review and updating of existing standards and interpretations. Any additional modifications should be brought back to the E.C. at its February 2001 meeting and approved prior to the 5.3.2 being fully staffed and / or member ratified.

Vote (M3): Failed.
Motion: Ms. Kaestner made the following motion to amend proposed standard no. 5.3.7, seconded by Paul Keeler:
5.3.7 ~~There should be no partial day recalls of capacity.~~ Transportation service providers should support the function of reputting by releasing shippers.

Discussion: It was noted that Ms. Kaestner's motion did not include any proposed changes to GISB Standard No. 5.3.6 as it currently reads in version 1.4.
Mr. Novak noted that he would agree with this as long as there is a letter to the FERC explaining that the EC has reached a point where there is a disagreement on treatment of partial day recalls.

Vote (M4): The motion failed.
Motion: Mr. Novak made the following motion, seconded by Mr. Scheel:
(1) Modify 5.3.2 as most recently voted upon and transfer 5.3.2 to Interpretations Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee for review and updating of existing standards and interpretations. Any additional

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)

modifications should be brought back to the E.C. at its February 2001 meeting and approved prior to the 5.3.2 being fully staffed and / or member ratified.

- (2) Provide a status report to the FERC that no consensus progress can be made on the following proposed standards and/or modifications to standards: 5.3.6, 5.3.7, 5.3.z3 and 5.2.z1. Some parties believe that the basis for these modifications lies within FERC Order No. 637 while others believe that no such basis exists. Others, irrespective of the FERC Order No. 637 issue, believe that flowing day recall is a valid business process, (e.g., some parties support the business process but disagree with the proposed recall timelines, while others believe that certain aspects of the business process may be operationally disruptive). No further progress can be made on this issue at this point and time.
- (3) The report would include relevant minutes and written comments.

Vote (M5): The motion failed.

Motion: Mr. Novak made the following motion, seconded by Mr. Hebenstreit:

Provide a status report to the FERC that no consensus progress can be made on the following proposed standards and/or modifications to standards: 5.3.6, 5.3.7, 5.3.z3 and 5.2.z1. Some parties believe that the basis for these modifications lies within FERC Order No. 637 while others believe that no such basis exists. Others, irrespective of the FERC Order No. 637 issue, believe that flowing day recall is a valid business process, (e.g., some parties support the business process but disagree with the proposed recall timelines, while others believe that certain aspects of the business process may be operationally disruptive). No further progress can be made on this issue at this point and time.

The report would include relevant minutes and written comments.

Vote (M6): The procedural motion passed with 15 in favor, 4 opposed and 1 abstaining.

Motion: Mr. Scheel made the following motion, seconded by Mr. Novak:

Modify 5.3.2 as most recently voted upon and transfer 5.3.2 to Interpretations Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee for review and updating of existing standards and interpretations. Any additional modifications should be brought back to the EC at its February 2001 meeting and approved prior to the 5.3.2 being fully staffed and / or member ratified.

[Note for clarity in the minutes: following is the '5.3.2 as most recently voted upon' in this E.C. meeting]

5.3.2 For biddable releases (less than 1 year):

- offers should be tendered by 12:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
- open season ends no later than 1:00 P.M. on a Business Day (evaluation period begins at 1:00 P.M. during which contingency is eliminated, determination of best bid is made, and ties are broken);
- evaluation period ends at 2:00 P.M.;
- match or award is communicated by 2:00 P.M.;
- match response by 2:30 P.M.;
- award posting by 3:00 P.M.;
- contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract. (Central Clock Time)

For biddable releases (1 year or more):

- offers should be tendered by 12:00 P.M. four Business Days before award;
- open season ends no later than 1:00 P.M. on the Business Day before timely nominations are due (open season is three Business Days);
- evaluation period begins at 1:00 P.M. during which contingency is eliminated, determination of best bid is made, and ties are broken;
- evaluation period ends at 2:00 P.M.;
- match or award is communicated by 2:00 P.M.;
- match response by 2:30 P.M.;

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)

- award posting by 3:00 P.M.;
- contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract. (Central Clock Time)
 - For non-biddable releases:
 - Timely Cycle
 - posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 9:30 A.M. on a Business Day;
 - contract tendered with contract # by 10:30 A.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.
 - Evening Cycle
 - posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 4:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
 - contract tendered with contract # by 5:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.
 - Intraday 1 Cycle
 - posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 8:00 A.M. on a Business Day;
 - contract tendered with contract # by 9:00 A.M.; contract executed; nomination possible beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract.
 - Intraday 2 Cycle
 - posting of prearranged deals not subject to bid are due by 3:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
 - contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible for beginning at the next available nomination cycle for the effective date of the contract. (Central Clock Time)

Vote (M7): The motion passes.

Motion: Mr. Novak made the following motion to adopt proposed standard no. 5.3.35, which was seconded by Mr. Ishikawa:

5.3.35 Unless the affected party and the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) have agreed to exclusive notification via EDI/EDM, the affected party should provide the TSP with at least one Internet E-mail address to be used for Electronic Notice Delivery of intraday bumps, operational flow orders, recall notices and other critical notices. The obligation of the TSP to provide notification is waived until the above requirement has been met.

Vote (M8): The motion fails.

Motion: Mr. Spangler made the following motion, seconded by Mr. Scheel, regarding adoption of proposed standard no. 5.3.31:

5.3.31 Transportation Service Providers which support capacity release should accept and process uploads of biddable capacity release offers from releasing shipper(s) (or its authorized third party service provider), provided the offer is received by the Transportation Service Provider at their designated site by 11:45 A.M. CCT on a Business Day. Such received offer, if determined to be valid, should be posted as an Offer and should be available for bidding by 12:00 PM CCT (the normal posted-by deadline and start of bidding time) on that Business Day or the Releasing Shipper's specified Business Day (if later than the received Business Day).

5.3.32 Transportation Service Providers which support capacity release should accept and process uploads of capacity release bids from potential acquiring shipper(s) (or its

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)

authorized third party service provider), provided the bid is time-stamped as leaving control of the bidder no later than 1:00 P.M. of the applicable deadline day and is received by the Transportation Service Provider at their designated site by 1:15 P.M. Such timely bid, if determined to be valid, should be evaluated by the Transportation Service Provider for the purpose of identifying the winning bidder associated with the Offer upon which the bid was made.

Vote (M9): The motion passes.

Motion: Mr. Novak made the following motion to adopt proposed standard no. 5.3.36, seconded by Mr. Maturo:

5.3.36 Transportation Service Providers (TSP) should support the concurrent sending of electronic notification of intraday bumps, operational flow orders, recall notices and other critical notices to two Internet E-mail addresses for each affected party. If the TSP elects to delegate the notice requirement to the original recalling shipper, that shipper, upon provision of applicable contact information, if available, from the TSP, should issue the recall notice to all affected contracting parties in the release chain.

Action: After discussion, the motion was withdrawn.

Action: For recently passed GISB Standard No. 5.3.31 modifications, the point is to address the timeline for biddable offers, and not to exclude an upload of non-biddable offers. The language for GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32 should be forwarded to BPS and Interpretations Subcommittee for scrubbing.

Motion: Mr. Novak made the motion, seconded by Mr. Love, to send 5.3.36, 5.3.35 and 5.3.xx back to BPS with a high priority for consideration after which it would be sent out for industry comment.

5.3.xx To effect the provision of a notice of recall (and subsequent reput) of released capacity, releasing shippers and acquiring shippers should provide each other with the email address(es) of their respective representatives responsible for sending and receiving Recall and Reput notices. Acquiring shippers who re-release capacity should also provide the respective email addresses of their acquiring shippers to their original releasing shipper.

Vote (M10): The procedural motion passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Novak made the motion seconded by Ms. McVicker, to adopt the proposed changes to standard no. 5.3.41, and new standards 5.3.z1 and 5.3.z2, after which they would be transferred to the Interpretations Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee for review and updating of existing standards and interpretations. Any additional modifications should be brought back to the EC at its February 2001 meeting and approved prior to the standards being fully staffed and / or member ratified.

5.3.41 The display of capacity release data for an Offer should be selected from a summary list of Offers. The summary list should be comprised of the following:

Offer Number

Release Term Start Date

Release Term End Date

Recall Cycle Indicator

Location Information as applicable, and/or navigation to detail

Maximum Offer Quantity – Contract

Biddable Deal Indicator

other data elements, if applicable

5.3.z1 A release which takes effect during a gas day shall not be available for recall until the day following the effective date of the release.

5.3.z2 Recall notices should contain at least the affected Service Requester Contract(s) and the effective date/cycle.

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)

Vote (M11): The motion passed.

Business Practices Subcommittee, January 18, 2001

The motion for vote (ML) in the EC Minutes of 12/14/2001 directs the Interpretations Subcommittee and BPS to review and update the standards and interpretations for how they are impacted by items voted on by the EC at that meeting, BPS is specifically to review:

- 5.3.31 Discussion focused on the interaction between the word “biddable” in the EC passed (M9) of 12/14/2001 and the implementation of the pre-arranged deal uploads – whether or not biddable—in the existing standards. Standard 5.3.2 and standard 5.3.10 were also discussed. As discussion continued, it was observed that it might even be possible to eliminate both standard 5.3.31 and standard 5.3.32 and add a reference to standard 5.3.33 which points back to standard 5.3.2 with respect to the deadlines and the references within standard 5.3.2 concerning Business Day. One suggestion had been to break 5.3.31 into two parts to parallel the construction of standard 5.3.2. Another suggestion was to leave standard 5.3.31 as modified by the EC in December and to add another standard dealing with the non-biddable deal uploads. Earlier it had been observed that the fact a time is referenced at all in standard 5.3.31 and standard 5.3.32 runs the group afoul of the determination not to deal specifically with the timeline in standard 5.3.2 or with the logic initially offered to move the times in standard 5.3.31 and standard 5.3.32 back by one hour. It had been stated that the reason the times had been shifted was to provide the “one hour for the contract activity” and still be able to nominate at 5:00 PM of the offer/bid/award day for flow at the 9:00 PM to 9:00 AM cycle of that gas day (Intraday 2). The participants wanted to think through whether they felt that standard 5.3.33 with a reference to standard 5.3.2 (the deadline) would be sufficient and be a better way to address the issues associated with uploads being received in advance of deadlines for the processing of the transactions they are associated with (or initiate). There was agreement to come back with their thoughts at the next meeting.
- 5.3.32 See above discussion.
- 5.3.33 See above discussion
- 5.3.35 While the existing standards were not reviewed with respect to the EC’s actions, the proposed modified standard 5.3.35, standard 5.3.36, and standard 5.3.xx were reviewed. One issue identified in the discussions was that the requirement of notification to shippers that their capacity was being/had been recalled should be between the contracting parties. As articulated, this meant that the notice would be between the recalling shipper and the acquiring shipper as well as between the recalling shipper and the TSP. There was no voiced opposition to this and it was pointed out that currently there is no requirement for the TSP to provide notice of recalls. Where the controversy arises, is whether the TSP should be the one to notify parties which were the subsequent acquiring shippers, and what might happen if they did not. One opinion was that the party initiating the recall caused the capacity which was subsequently re-released to “evaporate” and that the party who had their capacity recalled was not themselves in turn recalling capacity from their acquiring shipper. This was not agreed to by another who stated that they could see how the second acquiring shipper could look to the GISB standards and state that they did not have sufficient notice. This caused the discussion to dwell on who had contract rights with whom and what the different implications for any proposed process would be. It was also stated that the process outlined in proposed standard 5.3.xx could have the effect of a party notifying other, non-contracting parties, as to the status/value of their contract rights with another non-contracting party and that this was problematic. One formulation was for the participants to take back to their respective offices the discussion as to the implications of notifying and of not notifying all parties with whom you have/had a contractual relationship with respect to the effect on that relationship

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)

of a particular recall, when that recall impacts(ed) on that relationship(s) and the capacity associated with that relationship.

5.3.36 See above discussion

5.3.xx (recall notices) - See above discussion

Discussion of What to do about the Interpretations:

Mr. Lander proposed that Order 637 Proposed Standards Interpretation questions should be sent to Interpretations Subcommittee. Ms. Hess noted that the EC did forward the review and update of interpretation to the Interpretations Subcommittee. Others agreed. Based on this, BPS will not review the approved standards for potential impact on existing interpretations.

5.3.41

The display of capacity release data for an Offer should be selected from a summary list of Offers. The summary list should be comprised of the following:

- Offer Number
- Release Term Start Date
- Release Term End Date
- Recall Cycle Indicator*
- Location Information as applicable, and/or navigation to detail
- Maximum Offer Quantity – Contract
- Biddable Deal Indicator
- other data elements, if applicable

Discussion:

Recall Cycle Indicator may be a new data element. No other standards appear to be impacted. IR will deal with dataset issues.

5.3.z1 (recall notices)

5.3.z1 *A release which takes effect during a gas day shall not be available for recall until the day following the effective date of the release.*

Discussion:

No other standards appear to be impacted. IR will deal with dataset issues, if any.

5.3.z2 (recall notices)

5.3.z2 *Recall notices should contain at least the affected Service Requester Contract(s) and the effective date/cycle.*

Discussion:

Until a final disposition is made on standard 5.3.36 – see above discussion, no further action is required.

5.3.2 – Based on the above statement from the BPS chairs, no action will be taken on standard 5.3.2 at this time.

Business Practices Subcommittee, February 1, 2001

Based on the discussion at the Executive Committee, it was determined that there were issues with the modifications that were made to GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32. These standards were discussed at the January 18, 2001 BPS meeting (see final minutes). Based on the new capacity release timeline (GISB

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)

Standard No. 5.3.2) adopted in December by the EC, GISB Standards Nos. 5.3.31 and 5.3.32 are being reviewed to determine if modifications are needed.

Motion:

Mr. Aschbrenner made the following motion, seconded by Ms. Hess:

Modify 5.3.31 as follows:

Transportation Service Providers which support capacity release should accept and process uploads of ~~biddable~~ capacity release offers from releasing shipper(s) (or its authorized third party service provider), provided the offer is received by the Transportation Service Provider at their designated site no later than 15 minutes prior to the respective deadline specified in GISB Standard No. 5.3.2 ~~by 11:45 A.M. CCT on a Business Day~~. Such received offer, if determined to be valid, should be posted as an Offer and should be available for bidding by ~~12:00 PM CCT (the normal~~ posted-by deadline and start of bidding time specified (for the received Business Day) in GISB Standard No. 5.3.2 ~~on that Business Day~~ or the Releasing Shipper's specified Business Day (if later than the received Business Day).

Discussion:

Ms. Bragg stated that she believed Mr. Novak's concerns regarding the 15 minute interval and the exclusion of non-biddable capacity release offers have been addressed by this motion.

Ms. Lewis asked if 5.3.31 is a subset of 5.3.33 and said that it appears that 5.3.31 conflicts with 5.3.33 and is restrictive. Ms. Davis stated that there shouldn't be any conflict by modifying 5.3.31 and 5.3.32 and leaving 5.3.33 unchanged. She also stated that GISB Standard No. 5.3.33 addresses processing and validation issues and that there is no conflict with the modifications suggested with 5.3.31. Ms. Lewis stated that her real problem with 5.3.31 is that it contains language that is redundant of language in 5.3.33. Ms. Hess stated that some of the language in 5.3.31 is included in 5.3.33 and that there is some redundancy but no conflict. Ms. Lewis stated that you cannot read 5.3.31 by itself without considering 5.3.33 and that is a problem. After quite a bit of discussion, it was determined that we are here to make modifications to the times, where needed, and how they inter-relate with GISB Standard No. 5.3.2. Ms. Lewis stated that they may conduct a further review of the relationship between 5.3.31, 5.3.32, 5.3.33 and file a new request.

Motion passes with no opposition (vote 1 on the attendee list)

Sense of the Room: February 1, 2000 10 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor : 1 End-Users 0 LDCs 9 Pipelines 0 Producers 0 Services

Opposed : 0 End-Users 0 LDCs 0 Pipelines 0 Producers

Services

Motion:

Mr. Aschbrenner made the following motion, seconded by Mr. Love:

Modify 5.3.32 as follows:

Transportation Service Providers which support capacity release should accept and process uploads of capacity release bids from potential acquiring shipper(s) (or its authorized third party service provider), provided the bid is time-stamped as leaving control of the bidder no later than the respective deadline as specified in GISB Standard No. 5.3.2 ~~1:00 P.M. of the applicable deadline day~~ and is received by the Transportation Service Provider at their designated site no later than fifteen minutes after such deadline ~~by 1:15 P.M.~~. Such timely bid, if determined to be valid, should be evaluated by the Transportation Service

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BPS Order 637 Priority Action Item 1 (Priority 6)

Provider for the purpose of identifying the winning bidder associated with the Offer upon which the bid was made.

Discussion:

There was no discussion.

Motion passes with no opposition (vote 2 on the attendee list)

Sense of the Room: February 1, 2000 10 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor : 1 End-Users 0 LDCs 9 Pipelines 0 Producers 0 Services

Opposed : 0 End-Users 0 LDCs 0 Pipelines 0 Producers

Services

The discussion then turned to the inter-relation between GISB Standard Nos. 5.3.35, 5.3.36, and 5.3.xx

Discussion:

Ms. Arnaout referred meeting attendees to Mr. Novak’s posted workpaper. She stated that the LDCs don’t have the ability to notify the replacement shipper because they do not have the information and that the Transportation Service Provider should do this because they have the notification contact information. See also the posted workpaper (titled *1/31/2001 Comments of National Fuel Gas Distribution*).

Mr. Love felt like we should not try to address part of the standards dealing with recalls until we address all of the standards relating to recalls. Proposed standards relating to recall were not passed and will be sent to the FERC. Mr. Love stated that we shouldn’t make any of these changes at this time. Mr. Love stated that releasing is not like Title Transfer Tracking in that Informational Postings requires to whom capacity was released and from whom. Ms. Hess stated that the awards are posted as well. Mr. Aschbrenner agreed and felt that we should not address the notices standards with regard to recalls out of sequence.

Motion:

Mr. Love made the following motion, seconded by Clancy Aschbrenner:

Table the discussion relating to recall notification pending a response from FERC regarding recalls, pursuant to the GISB status report as provided for during the 12/14/2000 Executive Committee meeting.

Procedural motion passes with no opposition (see vote 3 on attendee list)

Sense of the Room: February 1, 2000 10 In Favor 0 Opposed

c. Business Purpose:

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):



**GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Conference Call Materials
May 29, 2001**

**GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE BALLOT
MAY 29, 2001**

Please send your ballot to the GISB office by May 28, 2001 if you are unable to participate on the conference call.

Vote	Recommendation
------	----------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	FERC Order No. 637 Item 1 (BPS Priority #6) Regarding 5.3.31 and 5.3.32
--------------------------	---

Submitted by Executive Committee Member Company:

Submitted by Executive Committee Member Signature:

Submitted on Date:
