



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

TO: Executive Committee (EC) Members
Posting for Interested Industry Participants

FROM: Rae McQuade, Executive Director

RE: Final Minutes from the Executive Committee Meeting – October 12, 2000

DATE: October 26, 2000

GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD

GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Colorado Interstate Gas Offices, Colorado Springs, Colorado

October 12, 2000

FINAL MINUTES

1. Administrative

Mr. Buccigross opened the meeting and welcomed the attendees. He thanked Mr. Aschbrenner, Mr. Griffith and CIG for hosting the meeting. Mr. Costan gave the antitrust charge. Ms McQuade read the roll call. The agenda was adopted unanimously with a reordering of agenda item 4, "Discussion and Determination of Next Steps on BPS Order No. 637 Recommendations for Proposed Standards," to after the adoption of the minutes. The minutes of August 24 and September 27 were adopted with changes noted in the meeting. For a full accounting of the discussion from today's meeting, the transcripts should be ordered from Ms. Jane Copeland of Ak/Ret Reporting, (361-882-9037).

2. BPS Update

Ms Van Pelt gave the following update: "The BPS developed a list of questions, categorized and discussed each of them, and while the BPS does not yet have a recommendation regarding these discussions, it is feasible to create such a recommendation. It is possible that some of these issues will come into discussion today at the EC and potentially be resolved, such as the items for the Index of Customers. The BPS will schedule another meeting expeditiously to continue discussions and possibly draft language based on these discussions. The absence of recommendations now is not evidence of the inability to reach a consensus – rather that the discussions were lengthy and productive."

Ms. Van Pelt noted that a meeting should be convened shortly to complete review of the issues and draft a recommendation to the EC. Mr. Lander asked that the EC define exactly its expectations of the BPS and a timeline for the deliverables to be completed. There was no determination by the EC to give the BPS instructions. Ms. Chezar noted that some companies have filed comments on Order 637 and in those filings, included comments that the GISB process, which has had the result of delaying progress. She suggested sending a record of the GISB actions to the FERC. Mr. Novak supported the assertion that the BPS has made progress, and expects that more progress can be made. Also he noted that the FERC should be informed of our progress. There was no decision at that time to provide a report to the FERC, although Ms. McQuade noted that all minutes are provided to FERC staff.



3. BPS Order 637 Items

Recommendation:

Proposed revision to GISB Standard No. 5.3.22 (Priority Action Item 3, Priority 1):

5.3.22 ~~For less than maximum rate transactions only, c~~Converting a daily rate to a monthly rate is accomplished by multiplying the daily rate times the number of days in the rate period, dividing the result by the number of months in the rate period, ~~and~~ taking the remainder out to 5 decimals places, and rounding up or down to the transporter's specified decimal place.

Converting a monthly rate to a daily rate is accomplished by multiplying the monthly rate by the number of months in the rate period, dividing the result by the number of days in the rate period, ~~and~~ taking the remainder out to 5 decimals places, and rounding up or down to the transporter's specified decimal place.

Motion:

Ms. McVicker motioned that the proposed standard be adopted, which was seconded by Mr. Love.

Discussion:

There was discussion on whether the set of recommendations should be voted out as a package, as title transfer tracking and cross contract ranking were, or vote each of the recommendations separately. Ms. Van Pelt noted that it was the intent to consider each of the recommendations separately.

Vote 1:

Undecided at the meeting. A ballot will be sent out to those LDC members and producer members not present, who, after voting notationally, will determine the outcome of the vote. It is expected that the vote will be collected within the next few days. There were eighteen votes in favor – it failed to garner two affirmative votes from each segment. [After the meeting, the notational ballots were collected and the motion passed, as recorded in the voting section of these minutes.]

Recommendation:

Proposed inclusion of a new GISB Standard (Priority Action Item 8, Priority 3):

x.3.x With respect to reporting of firm service, Transportation Service Providers should communicate the Rate Charged, Maximum Tariff Rate, quantity(ies), and location information to identify the pertinent terms & conditions of the contract. In addition, these characterizations should be specified as to reservation and usage (or a blended rate, if applicable), and surcharge(s) (where such surcharge(s) are not otherwise included within specified reservation, usage or blended rate(s)). To the extent there are different such rates associated with different quantities or time periods, the quantities and time periods to which the distinct rates apply should also be identified. Where a rate is applicable only with respect to the entire contract for a quantity and time period it should be reported as a contract level rate.

Motion:

Ms. McVicker motioned that the proposed standard be adopted, which was seconded by Ms. Davis.



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

Discussion:

Mr. Lander asked that the Information Requirements Subcommittee instructions be modified so that instruction 17¹ should not be construed as an instruction to review and change the Capacity Release data sets. The motion on the floor pertains strictly to the proposed standard above, not the instructions. The instructions were not modified.

Mr. Lander also raised concerns on instruction 16². Again it was noted that the motion is specific to the business practice standard proposed. The instructions were not modified.

Vote 2:

Undecided at the meeting. A ballot will be sent out to those LDC members and producer members not present, who, after voting notationally, will determine the outcome of the vote. It is expected that the vote will be collected within the next few days. There were eighteen votes in favor – it failed to garner two affirmative votes for all segments. [After the meeting, the notational ballots were collected and the motion passed, as recorded in the voting section of these minutes.]

Recommendation:

Proposed modification of GISB Standard No. 4.3.23 (Priority Action Item 9, Priority 4):

4.3.23 The subcategories and labels for the categories of Informational Postings should be as follows:

CATEGORIES

Affiliated Marketer Info.

Capacity

Index of Customers

Notices

Tariff

Transactional Reporting

SUBCATEGORIES

Capacity Allocation Log (when applicable)

Discount Offers

Operationally Available

Unsubscribed

Critical

Non-Critical

Title Page

Table of Contents

Preliminary Statement

Map

Currently Effective Rates

Rate Schedules

General Terms and Conditions

Form of Service Agreement

Entire Tariff

Sheet Index

Firm

Interruptible

¹ Instruction 17 from the recommendation is: "(17) BPS instructs IR to utilize the "Combined Table for Firm and Interruptible Services and Capacity Release" as a basis for the development of the reporting of firm service, interruptible service, and capacity release."

² Instruction 16 to the Information Requirements Subcommittee states: "(16) BPS instructs IR to accommodate the reporting of interruptible quantity for the reporting of interruptible services as the quantity that the Service Requester is entitled to nominate."



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

Motion:

Ms. McVicker motioned that the proposed standard be adopted, which was seconded by Mr. Griffith.

Discussion:

Ms. Patton had questions, which were answered by Ms. Van Pelt.

Vote 3:

Undecided at the meeting. A ballot will be sent out to those LDC members and producer members not present, who, after voting notationally, will determine the outcome of the vote. It is expected that the vote will be collected within the next few days. There were eighteen votes in favor – it failed to garner two affirmative votes for all segments. [After the meeting, the notational ballots were collected and the motion passed, as recorded in the voting section of these minutes.]

Recommendation:

There was no action requested on Priority Action Item 13, Priority 5. The BPS transferred the request to Information Requirements Subcommittee with the following instructions: "BPS instructs IR to require affiliate information between the releasing shipper and the replacement shipper to be provided to the TSP in the Offer Upload (applicable only to prearranged deals) and the Bid Upload."

Recommendation:

Proposed modification of GISB Standard No. 5.3.2 (Priority Action Item 1, Priority 6):

5.3.2 For short-term biddable releases (less than 5 months):

- ~~Offers~~ should be tendered by 1:00 P.M. on the Business dDay before timely nominations ~~for short-term releases (less than 5 months);~~
- open season ends no later than 2:00 P.M. on the Business dDay before timely nominations are due (evaluation period begins at 2:00 P.M. during which contingency is eliminated, determination of best bid is made, and ties are broken);
- evaluation period ends at 3:15 P.M.;
- match or award is communicated by 3:15 P.M.;
- match response by 4:00 P.M.;
- award posting by 5:00 P.M.;
- ~~posting of pre-arranged deals not subject to bid by 9 A.M. the day of nominations;~~
- contract tendered with contract # by 10:00 A.M.; contract executed; timely nomination possible for next day gas flow. (Central Clock Time)

For longer term biddable releases (five months or more):

- offers should be tendered by 1:00 P.M. four ~~b~~Business dDays before award ~~for long-term releases;~~
- open season ends no later than 2:00 P.M. on the Business dDay before timely nominations are due (open season is three ~~b~~Business dDays);
- evaluation period begins at 2:00 P.M. during which contingency is eliminated, determination of best bid is made, and ties are broken;
- evaluation period ends at 3:15 P.M.;
- match or award is communicated by 3:15 P.M.;
- match response by 4:00 P.M.;
- award posting by 5:00 P.M.;



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

-
- ~~posting of pre-arranged deals not subject to bid by 9 A.M. the day of nominations;~~
- contract tendered with contract # by 10:00 A.M.; contract executed; timely nomination possible for next day gas flow. (Central Clock Time)

For non-biddable releases:

Timely Cycle

- posting of pre-arranged deals not subject to bid are due by 9:30 A.M. on a Business Day;
- contract tendered with contract # by 10:30 A.M.; contract executed; nomination possible for Timely Cycle.

Evening Cycle

- posting of pre-arranged deals not subject to bid are due by 4:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
- contract tendered with contract # by 5:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible for Evening Cycle.

Intraday 1 Cycle

- posting of pre-arranged deals not subject to bid are due by 8:00 A.M. on a Business Day;
- contract tendered with contract # by 9:00 A.M.; contract executed; nomination possible for Intraday 1 Cycle.

Intraday 2 Cycle

- posting of pre-arranged deals not subject to bid are due by 3:00 P.M. on a Business Day;
- contract tendered with contract # by 4:00 P.M.; contract executed; nomination possible for Intraday 2 Cycle. (Central Clock Time)

Motion:

Mr. Keeler motioned that the proposed standard be adopted, which was seconded by Mr. Love.

Discussion:

Mr. Novak asked that the motion be removed so that the work of the BPS can continue. Mr. Lander suggested that the EC consider other language that might be more amenable. Ms. Chezar supported forwarding this issue to the FERC. Mr. Lander offered alternate language, that while he fully expected the motion to fail, it would fail with language that he considered more supportive of concerns raised. After a break, discussion continued. No changes were made to the language.

Vote 4:

The motion failed.

Motion:

Mr. Love made the motion that this issue be sent to the BPS, which was seconded by Mr. Greene.

Vote 5:

The procedural motion passed with 18 in favor and 1 opposed.

Recommendation:

Proposed modification of GISB Standard No. 4.3.16 (Index of Customers):

4.3.16 The documents identified in GISB Standard 4.3.6 should be made available in HTML or RTF format, except with respect to the Index of Customers document which may be



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

displayed in HTML or RTF and which should be downloadable in a defined, tab-delimited ASCII text file, with provisions for title information and footnote capability, as set forth in Code of Federal Regulations Part 284, Section 223. (Reference Order Number 637581, Docket No. RM98-10-000~~RM 95-4-000~~, issued February 29, 2000~~1996~~, "Appendix A, Instruction Manual for Electronic Filing of the Index of Customers" issued pursuant to ~~with~~ the above referenced order.)

4.3.35 Delete

~~The header information should be displayed at the top before the columnar information. The column headings for the posting of "Index of Customers" should be displayed as follows:~~

~~_____ Rate Schedule
_____ Customer
_____ Contract Effective Date
_____ Contract Termination Date
_____ Maximum Daily Quantity
_____ Rollover Period
_____ Footnotes (when applicable)~~

~~These columns should appear in this order from left to right. The data should be sorted in ascending order by rate schedule and then by customer name within rate schedule. Footnote text should be displayed below the columnar information.~~

Motion:

Mr. Love motioned that the proposed standard modification and deletion be adopted, which was seconded by Ms. Davis.

Discussion:

After discussion, modifications to the motion were accepted by Mr. Love and Ms. Davis to reflect the actions taken by the BPS on October 10 and 11.

Amended Motion:

Modify GISB Standard No. 4.3.16, by replacing it with:

4.3.16 The documents identified in GISB Standard 4.3.6 should be made available in HTML or RTF format, except with respect to the Index of Customers document which may be displayed in HTML or RTF and which should be downloadable in a defined, tab-delimited ASCII text file, with provisions for title information and footnote capability, as set forth in Code of Federal Regulations Part 284, Section 223. (Reference Order Number 637581, Docket No. RM98-10-000~~RM 95-4-000~~, issued February 29, 2000~~1996~~, "Appendix A, Instruction Manual for Electronic Filing of the Index of Customers" issued pursuant to ~~with~~ the above referenced order.)

Modify GISB Standard No. 4.3.35, by replacing it with:

4.3.35 For the "Index of Customers," the column headings for the web site display for the "Index of Customers" should be displayed in the order provided for in reference Order No. 637, Docket No. RM 98-10-000, issued February 9, 2000, "Appendix A, Instruction Manual for Electronic Filing of the Index of Customers" issued June 29, 2000, pursuant to the above referenced order, for those fields identified as "detail fields." In addition, the other Index of Customers information not included in the columnar display should be accessible from the columnar display.

Discussion:



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

There was discussion on how specific to be in this standard – the need for a date to be specified or the need to list the column headings. The above language was the result of the discussion.

Vote 6:

Undecided at the meeting. A ballot will be sent out to those LDC members and producer members not present, who, after voting notationally, will determine the outcome of the vote. It is expected that the vote will be collected within the next few days. There were eighteen votes in favor – it failed to garner two affirmative votes for all segments. [After the meeting, the notational ballots were collected and the motion passed, as recorded in the voting section of these minutes.]

Motion:

Ms. Davis made the motion seconded by Ms. Van Pelt:

To the extent that the notational votes on revisions to GISB standard nos. 5.3.22 and 4.3.23 and the new proposed standard x.3.x results in approval of such standards, they should not go out for member ratification until they are fully staffed.

Vote 7:

The procedural motion passed with 19 votes in favor and none opposed.

Motion:

Mr. Keeler made the motion seconded by Ms. McVicker:

To the extent that the notational votes on the Order 637 work plan items result in approval, then the recommendations should be sent on to the appropriate subcommittees for full staffing.

Vote 8:

The procedural motion passed with 18 votes in favor and none opposed.

4. Triage

The Triage Subcommittee presented one new request, R00026, submitted during the EC meeting by CapacityCenter.com.

R00026 Submitted by CapacityCenter.com

GISB should undertake to resolve on an expedited basis the issues defined in the BPS minutes of 10/10 and 10/11.

Ms. McVicker made the motion to find the request within scope, which was seconded by Ms. Chezar. The vote was taken and the motion passed with 18 in favor and none opposed. Ms. McVicker made the motion, which was seconded by Ms. Van Pelt to find the Triage Subcommittee recommendation to send the request to BPS to be addressed in an expedited manner. The motion passed with 18 votes in favor and none opposed.

5. Recommended Standards

Recommendation: Request Nos. R96007 & R96008:

Add two GISB standards describing the business practice of requesting the Allocation dataset. Add two GISB standards describing the business practice of requesting the Shipper Imbalance dataset. Add a dataset called the Request for Information to be used to request the Allocation dataset or the Shipper Imbalance dataset, with the associated



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

Technical Implementation of Business Process and Sample Paper Transaction. Add a dataset called the Response to Request for Information that tells the requester whether the request can be fulfilled, with the associated Technical Implementation of Business Process and Sample Paper Transaction.

Motion:

Ms. Van Pelt made the motion, seconded by Ms. Davis to adopt the recommendation.

Discussion:

Mr. Lander responded to questions from Mr. Caldwell, primarily regarding the presentation of information. Ms. Hess reviewed her comments, which resulted in changes to 2.3.A for both R96007 and R96008.

Amended motion:

Adopt the recommendation with the changes to 2.3.a as shown below for R96007 and R96008:

R96007 2.3.A

Transportation Service Providers (TSPs) which:

a) provide parties with the ability to request statement(s) of allocation via electronic bulletin board or web page; and,

b) provide parties with the ability to view such requested statements of allocation via electronic bulletin board or web page; and,

c) do not provide such parties with the ability to request a GISB Standard No. 2.4.3 Allocations via at least a fax, phone, or e-mail;

should support the ability of such party (or their agent) to request GISB Standard No. 2.4.3 Allocation via the Request for Information and to receive the Transportation Service Provider's response via a GISB Standard No. 2.4.3 document. Where the conditions in a) and b) above exist and the TSP does provide such parties with the ability to request a GISB Standard No. 2.4.3 Allocation via at least a fax, phone, or e-mail, then the Transportation Service Provider is not required to support Request for Information.

The period of time (how far back in time a request may specify) should be comparable as between the electronic request/view method and the upload request/receive response method, provided, however, the TSP would not be required to respond with information generated prior to its implementation of GISB Standard No. 2.4.3.

R96008 2.3.A

Transportation Service Providers (TSPs) which:

a) provide parties with the ability to request imbalance information via electronic bulletin board or web page; and,

b) provide parties with the ability to view such requested imbalance information via electronic bulletin board or web page; and,

c) do not provide such parties with the ability to request a GISB Standard No. 2.4.4 Shipper Imbalances via at least a fax, phone, or e-mail;

should support the ability of such party (or their agent) to request GISB Standard No. 2.4.4 Shipper Imbalance via the Request for Information and to receive the Transportation Service Provider's response via a GISB Standard No. 2.4.4 document. Where the conditions in a) and b) above exist and the TSP does provide such parties with the ability to request a GISB Standard No. 2.4.4 Shipper Imbalance via at least a



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

fax, phone, or e-mail, then the Transportation Service Provider is not required to support Request for Information.

The period of time (how far back in time a request may specify) should be comparable as between the electronic request/view method and the upload request/receive response method, provided, however, the TSP would not be required to respond with information generated prior to its implementation of GISB Standard No. 2.4.4.

Vote 9:

Motion passes unanimously.

Recommendation: EII Request to Accommodate Capacity Release EBB and Flat File Requirements in Data Dictionaries:

Discussion:

In light of the Enron comments, Mr. Keisler noted that the Information Requirements Subcommittee will reconsider this recommendation and will resubmit the recommendation at a later date.

6. Subcommittee Reports

For the Common Code Subcommittee, Ms. Hopkins gave the report and noted that the subcommittee is now inactive, as it has completed its efforts related to Order 637. Final minutes should be available shortly. On the Contracts Subcommittee, Ms. McVicker noted that the last contentious issue for the revisions to the short-term contract should be resolved at the meeting scheduled for next week. The related annual plan items should be addressed after this effort concludes. For the Imbalances Subcommittee, Mr. LaTour is stepping down as co-chair. He was thanked for all his hard work and leadership. The subcommittee is inactive. For the EDD Subcommittee, Mr. Whatley updated the EC that efforts are underway to modify the existing data sets to implement title transfer tracking. Two more meetings are needed to review the code values, after which the effort will be considered concluded, and will be turned over to the Technical Subcommittee for a final review. For the EDM subcommittee, the security standards will be addressed in conjunction with the Sandia report. The Future Technology Task Force will be addressing the interoperability surveys, which are being compiled and will be transferred to the task force. After review of the surveys, the task force will recommend modifications to standards if needed. For the BPS, Ms. Van Pelt noted that BPS is addressing nominations related issues, which should conclude shortly.

For Information Requirements Subcommittee, Mr. Keisler noted that their efforts have been directed to the development of a storage report. In October, they should report further on Order 637 items. He reported on efforts related to Order 637 imbalance activity. Imbalances are accommodated through the existing GISB standard data sets and if additional data elements and code values are needed at a later date, they will be processed through the normal request process. Mr. LaTour is also resigning as co-chair for Information Requirements Subcommittee at the end of this month. He was thanked for his efforts and leadership. For the Order 637 action item on accommodation of agents in the GISB data sets, they are accommodated through the existing GISB standard data sets and if additional data elements and code values are needed at a later date, they will be processed through the normal request process.

The ANSI Subcommittee and Technical Subcommittee update was given by Ms. Van Pelt. She noted that a formal report and timeline will be prepared for discussion at the next EC meeting. For the 2001 Annual Plan Subcommittee, a meeting should be set up shortly. The



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

subcommittee is co-chaired by Mr. Novak and Mr. Sappenfield.

7. XML Report

Mr. Keisler, for Mr. Hunsicker, summarized the report provided by the XML Subcommittee. Mr. Love motioned that the XML subcommittee recommendation be accepted, which was seconded by Mr. Caldwell.

Motion:

While members of the XML Subcommittee have differing opinions on what the next step(s), (such as a pilot project) should be, they are in agreement that GISB should:

- continue to explore the incorporation of XML into EDM,
- consider working with other industry XML work groups, like UIG XML, to see if any synergies could exist for the development of XML standards, and
- provide a plan to the Executive Committee on how XML could work within the existing GISB standards framework.

Vote:

The motion passed unanimously, with 17 affirmative votes.

Mr. Caldwell suggested that the development of the short-term base contract in XML might be a good place to start and could be considered a pilot project. The UIG group has an XML subgroup, which is in the process of developing standards. The Ontario retail choice program has determined to develop transactions using XML, and ERCOT is considering whether to use XML or EDI. The plan that the GISB XML Subcommittee would devise as part of the endorsed recommendation should provide for an orderly transition, should the determination be made at a later date by the EC that XML should be offered as an electronic communication choice. The effort will benefit from the work that has been directed toward development of EDI transactions. Mr. Spangler noted that this pilot effort could be included on the plan. Ms. McQuade will contact Mr. Hunsicker and determine when such a plan can be devised.

8. Sandia National Laboratory Surety Assessment Report

Mr. Buccigross summarized the report through review of the conclusion of the report. A direct quote from the report notes that:

“The Assessment Team believes that the GISB EDM Standards provide a valid base mechanism for the use of electronic commerce. The mechanisms provided by the standards, when used in accordance with the standards, afford reasonable protection to the partners.”

Mr. Novak made the motion to accept the report and provide it to the EDM Subcommittee for action, which was seconded by Ms. McVicker. There are several suggestions for improvement. The EDM Subcommittee will provide a response to each of the findings cited in the report. The responses will either include standards modifications or explanations for why no standards modifications are needed.

9. Other Business

Mr. Novak asked that a conference call be scheduled for the EC on the afternoon of November 16, the purpose of which is to address Order 637 BPS issues. The EC conference



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

call will replace the scheduled BPS meeting.

In discussion on publishing the next release of the standards, the release will include all existing final actions, imbalance netting and trading, title transfer tracking and inclusion of the new GISB ANSI data sets. March 31, 2001 was endorsed by the EC as the publication date for the next release of standards. The EDD Subcommittee, Information Requirements Subcommittee and Technical Subcommittee chairs agreed that March 31 publication date seemed reasonable. It was also noted that March 31 would accommodate the inclusion of any changes resulting from the interoperability survey and Sandia report reviews. The imbalance netting and trading standards, once ratified, will be placed on the home page on the main page as well as in the final actions area, to answer concerns that March 31 is too late of a date to publish those standards.

10. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 4:50 p.m.



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

11. Executive Committee Attendance & Voting

Segment	Present	Voting Record: Motions ³									Member
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
End Users	✓	✓	✓	✓	A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Bill Hebenstreit
	✓	✓	✓	✓	A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Joel Greene for Kelly Daly
	✓	✓	✓	✓	A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Dona Gussow
	✓	✓	✓	✓	A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Diane McVicker
	✓	✓	✓	✓	A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Tina Patton
LDCs	✓	A	A	A	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	Dolores Chezar
	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Mike Novak
		✓	✓	✓				✓			Chris Maturo
		✓	✓	✓				✓			Bob Betonte
Pipelines	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Steve Sullivan
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Bill Griffith
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Dale Davis
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Paul Love
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Kim Van Pelt
Producers	✓	✓	✓	✓	A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Mark Gracey
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Paul Keeler
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Scott Brown (phone)
											Mike Johnson
											Richard Smith
Services	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Vacancy
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Jim Buccigross
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Carl Caldwell
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Keith Sappenfield
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Mark Scheel
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Leigh Spangler for Sylvia Munson
		21	21	21	11	18	21	19	18	19	Votes In Favor
		0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	Votes Opposed
		S	S	S	S	P	S	P	P	S	Type of Vote (P)rocedural, (S) "17/2"
		P	P	P	F	P	P	P	P	P	Pass (P) / Fail (F)/Undecided (U)

Administrative: Rae McQuade - Executive Director
 JoAnn Garcia - GISB Staff
 Veronica Thomason - GISB Staff
 Jay Costan - GISB General Counsel
 Jane Copeland - Ak/Ret Reporting Service

³ The motions are noted in the text of the minutes.



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

Observers to the Meeting - October 12, 2000:

Name	Company	GISB Member
PIPELINES:		
Bianchi, Joe	ANR Pipeline	✓
Aschbrenner, Clancy	CIG	✓
Burch, Kathryn	Duke Energy - Texas Eastern	✓
Hopkins, Tammy	Enron - Florida Gas Transmission	✓
Hess, Theresa	Enron - Transwestern	✓
Young, Randy	Koch Gateway	✓
McDougal, Prince	Southern Natural Gas	✓
Keisler, Jim	Williams Gas Pipeline	✓
END USERS:		
Jones, Veronica	Defense Energy Support Center	✓
LDCs:		
Arnaout, Mariam	AGA	N/A
Shahan, Mike	Dominion - Peoples Natural Gas	✓
SERVICES:		
Lander, Greg	CapacityCenter.com	✓
Whatley, Pete	Dynegy	✓
Payne, Gary	Enron North America	✓
Spangler, Leigh	Latitude Technologies	✓