

**Gas Industry Standards Board**  
**Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions**  
**or**  
**Enhancement of an Existing GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions**  
Date of Request: January 22, 2001

**1. Submitting Entity & Address:**

Williams Gas Pipeline  
2800 Post Oak Blvd  
P O Box 1396  
Houston, TX 77251

**2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:**

Name : Jim Keisler  
Title : Systems Analyst Consultant  
Phone: 713-215-4322  
Fax : 713-215-4770  
E-mail: [Jim.E.Keisler@Williams.com](mailto:Jim.E.Keisler@Williams.com)

**3. Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

Williams Gas Pipeline (WGP) requests that the following correction be made to the Code Values Dictionaries for the indicated Capacity Release datasets:

**Recall/Reput Indicator:**

Offer Download (5.4.1), Award Download (5.4.3), Replacement Capacity (5.4.4), Offer Upload (5.4.7), Offer Upload Notification (5.4.9)

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
Capacity not recallable.		NO
Capacity recallable and reput must be taken by <a href="#">releasing-replacement</a> shipper.	[Note: Code value(s) denotes placement of data in dataset. See technical implementation Transaction Set Tables.]	RD / RR
Capacity recallable but not reputable.	[Note: Code value(s) denotes placement of data in dataset. See technical implementation Transaction Set Tables.]	YD / YR
Capacity recallable by releasing shipper and reputable at the option of <a href="#">releasing-replacement</a> shipper.	[Note: Code value(s) denotes placement of data in dataset. See technical implementation Transaction Set Tables.]	OD / OR

Note that the Transaction Set Tables were used as a starting point to create the Code Values Dictionary. The Transaction Set Tables and the Code Values Dictionary for this data element do not agree. Moreover, the Code Values Dictionary does not make sense as currently published. The Code Values Dictionary

**Gas Industry Standards Board**  
**Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions**  
**or**  
**Enhancement of an Existing GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions**  
Date of Request: January 22, 2001

needs to be modified (as shown above) both to agree with the Transaction Set Tables and to make sense.

**4. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standard, and required communication protocols):**

Many of these datasets are in wide use in the industry, and this mistake needs to be fixed as soon as possible so that the industry can use the affected codes without ambiguity.

**5. Description of Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

Correction of this mistake will clear up the ambiguity and uncertainty that has been introduced into the standards by this mistake.

**6. Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

None

**7. Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations:**

None

**8. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List trading Partners Willing to Test Standards or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts):**

Unknown

**9. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is in Use, Who are the Trading Partners:**

Unknown

**10. Attachments (such as: further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions):**

None