

PIPELINE SEGMENT PROPOSED CONCEPTS
for CONFIRMATIONS AND CROSS CONTRACT RANKING MEETING
AUGUST 9 – 10, 1999

Concept A:

Absent mutual agreement to the contrary, the standard level of confirmation should be entity to entity.

Concept B:

As part of the confirmation and scheduling process between a Transportation Service Provider (TSP) and a Local Distribution Company (LDC) at a city gate, upon request by the LDC, the TSP should provide supplemental information obtained during or derived from the nomination process necessary for the LDC to meet its statutory and/or regulatory obligations. Such supplemental information, if available, should include the TSP's Service Requester Contract and, based upon the TSP's business practice, may also include a derivable indicator characterizing the type of contract and service being provided at the city gate, Downstream Contract Identifier and/or Service Requester's Package ID. In any event, the TSP may opt to continue entity/contract level confirmations at the city gate.

Concept C:

For confirmations at a location, absent mutual agreement to the contrary between the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) and the Operator, the TSP's business practices will determine whether Single-Tier Confirmation or Multi-Tier Confirmation is used.

- a) For a Single-Tier Confirmation, the TSP and the Operator should confirm to only the upstream entity to Service Requester level.

- b) For a Multi-tier Confirmation, the TSP and the Operator should confirm to only the upstream entity level. These upstream entities should either nominate or confirm with the TSP (based upon TSP's business practice) at the Service Requester level.