

March 10, 1999

**TO:** Cross Contract Ranking Subcommittee chairs, Posting on the GISB home page for interested industry participants

**FROM:** Donna Scott

**RE:** **Final Minutes from the Cross Contract Ranking Subcommittee Meeting—  
March 1, 1999**

**I. Administrative**

The meeting opened with Ms. Munson welcoming the participants to the meeting. Ms. Scott gave the antitrust advice. The roll was called and the revised agenda was adopted. The minutes of February 2 and 3, 1999 were adopted with changes.

**II. Continued Discussion & Resolution of Philosophical Tasks Identified in Nov 1998.**

Ms. Munson opened discussion by asking if there were any open issues that needed to be resolved. The discussion began with issue number one: Which rank is used in scheduling, receipt versus delivery versus upstream versus downstream at a point (depends on source of reduction)? Make consistent across models.

Greg Lander stated that the industry should use receipt ranks on receipt side and delivery ranks on delivery side reductions. On the non-pathed pipelines, go to the lowest ranked delivery then make the reductions.

Discussion centered around how ranks could be used among the three model types. An example was used for a supply cut:

1. the pathed pipe uses receipt to determine which line item gets cut, which in turn determines which delivery point is affected,
2. the pathed non-threaded uses the path receipt rank to determine which path gets cut and then uses the downstream rank to determine which market gets cut at the delivery location, and
3. non pathed uses receipt rank to determine which supply gets cut and the delivery rank determines what market gets cut.

It was observed that whatever happens to the U - Pathed Non-Threaded Model (Un-threaded Segment) must also happen to the N - Non-Pathed Model. It is still unclear what the effects of a supply reduction on the upstream side of the Non-Pathed Model would be. This is an unresolved issue that needs further discussion at the next face to face meeting where diagrams can be used to illustrate the dynamics of reductions.

The discussion turned to Issue 9: To which cycles should ranking apply? The group felt that rankings should apply to all cycles in the same way. The following motion was seconded, discussed and voted as concept 7.

**C7: For a nomination to be considered a new line item, a data element which is part of the key should be different than one already present. If a line item is received by the Transportation Service Provider and key data elements are not different than ones already present, the line should overlay the data elements which are not part of the key and which have changed.**

The motion passed unanimously. (15 in favor, 0 opposed)

**III. Upcoming Meeting and Adjournment**

Enron will host the next meeting which is scheduled from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. on March 10, 1999.