



## Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: [www.gisb.org](http://www.gisb.org)

---

**TO:** Business Practices Subcommittee (BPS) Chairs: Greg Lander, Producer Segment  
Vacancy, Diane McVicker, Kim Van Pelt, Robert McAnally (absent)  
Posting for Interested Industry Participants

**FROM:** Rae McQuade, Executive Director

**RE:** Final Minutes from the Business Practices Subcommittee Meeting

**DATE:** November 18, 1999

---

### GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD

#### GISB BUSINESS PRACTICES SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING

Teleconference Call: November 18, 1999

#### FINAL MINUTES

#### I. Administrative

Ms. Van Pelt chaired the meeting and welcomed the participants. Ms. Van Pelt identified the participants in a roll call, Jim Buccigross will take minutes. The antitrust advice was given. The agenda was adopted as drafted.

The draft minutes of October 28, 1999 were modified in the meeting and the modified minutes were adopted as final. The review of the draft minutes of November 4, 1999 was postponed until the next meeting.

#### II. Review, **discuss and possible vote**, or response to correspondence received from the Information Requirements Subcommittee for Request No. R98035

**Request:** R98035A - ANR Pipeline

Modify confirmation data sets to accommodate pre-limit quantities.

**Discussion:** Ms. Van Pelt reviewed the request and noted that the discussion was continued from the last meeting. She asked Ms. Hess whether Enron still had questions on this request based on the previous discussion. Ms. Hess indicated that she had no current issues with the request.

Mr. Lander asked whether this was a comparability issue, in other words, would a decline create a lack of comparability between the EBB and the EDI. Ms. Hess indicated her belief that this would not be the case.

**Motion:** Based upon information provided by the requester during the Information Requirements (IR) implementation discussion, and subsequent discussion in BPS, prompted by questions from IR, BPS has determined that this business practice does not require standardization. Therefore, BPS recommends that R98035A be declined.

**Action:** The motion carried unanimously. See voting record for specifics.



## Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: [www.gisb.org](http://www.gisb.org)

---

**III. Review of the following Capacity Release Category of Business Practices Subcommittee Requests for discussion & possible vote.**

**Request:** R97011 – Pan Energy/Duke Energy

Duke Energy pipelines *et al* request that the Bidder Company Code be moved to the detail level of the 567 Final Disposition.

**Discussion:** During the October 28, 1999 call, Mr. Lander noted that there are 3 potential issues in this request:

There is no communication of the identity of non-winning, non-prearranged bidders

There is communication of winning bidders to the Releasing Shipper, and the datasets should accommodate the mandatory sending of this information to the releaser.

In the case of multiple bids, as to sending other winning bidders identity to other winning bidders, this information may be sent to a winning bidder, at the option of the TSP.

In the case of non-winning bidders, the TSP has the choice of sending the same document (with all parties identified) to non-winning prearranged bidders.

Mr. Lander further explained the pre-arranged deal process and further explained and refined the above issues as follows:

There is no communication of the identity of non-winning, non-prearranged bidders in the Offer Upload Final Disposition dataset.

There is communication of the identity of winning bidder(s) to the Releasing Shipper and the Offer Upload Final Disposition dataset should accommodate the mandatory sending of this information to the releasing shipper in one communication.

In the case of multiple bids upon one offer, the Transportation Service Provider should have the choice of either sending an Offer Upload Final Disposition to the winning bidder(s) identifying all winning bidders, or, sending to each winning bidder an Offer Upload Final Disposition identifying only that winning bidder.

In the case of a non-winning pre-arranged bidder, the Transportation Service Provider should have the choice of either sending an Offer Upload Final Disposition to the non-winning pre-arranged bidder identifying all winning bidders and identifying the pre-arranged bidder as a non-winning bidder, or, sending to the non-winning pre-arranged bidder an Offer Upload Final Disposition identifying only the pre-arranged bidder as a non-winning bidder.

**Motion:** Mr. Lander moved the following (as modified by discussion of his initial motion, the language below represents the final motion):

**As a 5.3.x standard:**



## Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: [www.gisb.org](http://www.gisb.org)

---

*There should be no communication of the identity of non-winning, non-prearranged bidders in the Offer Upload Final Disposition.*

*There should be communication of the identity of winning bidder(s) to the Releasing Shipper in one communication.*

*In the case of multiple bids upon one offer, the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) should have the choice of either sending an Offer Upload Final Disposition to the winning bidder(s) identifying all winning bidders, or sending to each winning bidder an Offer Upload Final Disposition identifying only that winning bidder.*

*In the case of a non-winning pre-arranged bidder, the TSP should have the choice of either sending an Offer Upload Final Disposition to the non-winning pre-arranged bidder identifying all winning bidders and identifying the pre-arranged bidder as a non-winning bidder, or communicating to the non-winning pre-arranged bidder an Offer Upload Final Disposition identifying only the pre-arranged bidder as a non-winning bidder.*

### **As Instructions to IR:**

The Offer Upload Final Disposition should accommodate the communication of the identity of winning bidder(s) to the Releasing Shipper in one communication.

Modify the condition of Replacement Shipper Contract Number in the Offer Upload Final Disposition such that the winning bidder's contract number be communicated to that winning bidder. This is not intended to conflict with the ability of a TSP to send the same Offer Upload Final Disposition document to all winning bidders.

**Discussion:** Ms. Hess noted that the contract number issue is not resolved by this standard but could and should be accomplished as an instruction to the Information Requirements Subcommittee (IR).

She also noted that some of the proposed standard language may be better accomplished as instructions to IR.

Mr. Lander noted that if a TSP does not want to provide contract numbers for winning bidders to other winning bidders, it should send separate Offer Upload Final Dispositions.

Ms. Hess noted that instructions to IR would still be necessary for the Contract Number since it is a conditional data element in the Offer Upload Final Disposition.

Mr. Keisler also noted his belief that some of the standard language could be converted to instructions to IR. The initial motion was modified as represented above in response to his comments.

**Action:** The motion was deferred until the next meeting.

### **IV. Next Meeting and Agenda**

The next conference call is scheduled for December 9, 1999. The agenda will be as follows:



## Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: [gisb@aol.com](mailto:gisb@aol.com)

Home Page: [www.gisb.org](http://www.gisb.org)

---

For Discussion and possible vote:

R97011 - Texas Eastern

R98013 – Question from IR memo 10/10/99

R98042 – Question from IR memo 10/10/99

For Possible Discussion:

R98037 - Columbia Gas

Shipper Imbalance – Questions from IR memo 10/10/99

R97110

R96018

Invoicing Related Requests from EC Meeting of November 11, 1999

R99049

R99051

**V. Adjourn**

The meeting was adjourned without objection at 4:20 p.m. central.



®

## Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: [www.gisb.org](http://www.gisb.org)

---

### VI. Meeting Attendees & Voting Record

Name	Company	Email <sup>1</sup>	Vote?	R98035
<b>Pipelines:</b>				
Theresa Hess	Enron-Transwestern	thess@enron.com	Yes	Yes
Betty Barnum	CMS Panhandle Eastern	babarnum@cmsenergy.com	Yes	Yes
Jim Keisler	Williams Gas Pipeline		No	Not voting
Dale Davis	Williams Gas Pipeline	dale.m.davis@wgp.twc.com	Yes	Yes
Trish Meigs	Texas Eastern		Yes	Yes
Stephanie Lecureaux	ANR		Yes	Yes
Clancy Aschbrenner	CIG		Yes	Yes
Kim Van Pelt	CMS Trunkline Gas Co.		Yes	Yes
<b>Services:</b>				
Greg Lander	Skipping Stone		Yes	Yes
Jim Buccigross	National Registry		Yes	Yes
Randy Young	Koch Midstream		Yes	
Cynthia Corcoran	Cynthia Corcoran Attorney At Law		Yes	

---

<sup>1</sup> The privacy policy stated on the GISB Web Site ("www.gisb.org") governs the use of information, such as email addresses, collected by GISB on its members and meeting participants. Members and participants are not required to give such information to GISB.