



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

TO: Business Practices Subcommittee Chairs: Greg Lander (absent), Producer Segment Vacancy, Diane McVicker, Kim Van Pelt, Robert McAnally (absent)
Posting for Interested Industry Participants

FROM: Rae McQuade, Executive Director

RE: Final Minutes from the Business Practices Subcommittee Meeting

DATE: November 4, 1999

GAS INDUSTRY STANDARDS BOARD

GISB BUSINESS PRACTICES SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING

Teleconference Call: November 4, 1999

FINAL MINUTES

Administrative

Ms. Van Pelt chaired the meeting, welcomed the participants and identified them in a roll call. Ms. McVicker will take minutes. The antitrust advice was given. The agenda was modified to postpone discussion of R98013 to a later meeting. The agenda was then adopted as modified without objection.

Review of the draft minutes of October 28, 1999 was postponed until the next BPS call.

Review of the following Capacity Release Category of Business Practices Subcommittee Requests for discussion and possible vote.

R96115 Submitted by Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation

Request: Texas Eastern Transmission requests that a Withdrawal Quick Response document be created to respond to Uploads of Offer Withdrawal and Bid Withdrawal documents.

Discussion: The discussion began with a work paper submitted by Mr. Lander of Skipping Stone. Mr. Lander was not on the call; however, he had made it known to parties that his work paper was to be considered as a starting point and could be modified.

Ms. Davis suggested that S1 and S2 be combined and made into Instructions to IR. Ms. Davis then made language revisions to S3 to shorten it without changing the meaning.

Motion: Proposed Standard

A Withdrawal Upload Response should be sent by the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) within 15 minutes of receipt of a Withdrawal Upload that seeks to withdraw a bid. A Withdrawal Upload Response should be sent by the TSP within 30 minutes of receipt of a Withdrawal Upload that seeks to withdraw an offer.



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

Proposed Instructions to IR:

The Business Practices Subcommittee instructs the Information Requirements Subcommittee to:

- 1) accommodate the sending of a new data set by a Transportation Service Provider (TSP) which informs a party sending a Withdrawal Upload that either a) there is an error in the Withdrawal Upload which prevents the withdrawal from being processed or b) the withdrawal can be processed and is considered to be effective,
- 2) accommodate the requirement that if there is an error in the Withdrawal Upload which prevents the withdrawal from being processed, at least one reason should be sent in the Withdrawal Upload Response.

The above proposed standard and instructions to IR were made in to a motion by Ms. Davis and seconded by Ms. McCain.

The motion passed with no opposition.

Review, discussion and response to correspondence received from the Information Requirements Subcommittee for Request Nos. R98035 and R98089.

R98035 Submitted by ANR Pipeline

Request: ANR Pipeline requests that confirmation data sets be modified to accommodate pre-limit quantities.

Discussion: The discussion began with a memo from Ms. Hess, Chair of the Information Requirements Subcommittee, to the BPS Chairs. Ms. LeCureaux agreed with IR's comments that, since the application is infrequently used, it doesn't lend itself to EDI. Based upon the information provided by the requestor during the IR implementation discussion and subsequently, IR bringing the information back to BPS, BPS discussed the need to standardize this practice. It appears to be infrequently used in the industry and Ms. LeCureaux made a motion that R98035A be declined. Mr. Keisler seconded.

Motion: Based upon information provided by the requester during the Information Requirements implementation discussion and subsequent discussions in BPS prompted by IR questions, BPS reconsidered the need to standardize this practice. It appears that this business practice is used infrequently. Therefore, BPS recommends that R98035A be declined.

Discussion: During further discussion, Ms. Hopkins mentioned a potential need for the modification. The motion was withdrawn. ANR and Enron agreed to further discuss the need prior to the 11/18/99 BPS meeting. It was also mentioned that Enron would contact El Paso Energy as they may be utilizing this business practice.



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

R98089 Submitted by ANR Pipeline

Request: Add a code value of "RD" to the ANSI data element Acknowledgment Type in BAK segment of the Confirmation Response (1.4.4) transaction set.

Discussion: Mr. Keisler discussed that the recommendation from IR for R98089 was that IR should add a code value description to indicate a rejection because of header level error and a data element validation code be added to the header of confirmation response as proposed by IR coded for which error occurred.

Motion: BPS adopts the recommendation from Information Requirements for the proposed implementation of R98089.

- ◆ Add the following code value description for the Transaction Status Code in the Confirmation Response:

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
<u>The entire transaction was rejected due to header level errors.</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	<u>RD</u>

- ◆ Add the following data element to the header level of the Confirmation Response.

Business Name (Abbreviation)	Definition	Data Group	EBB Usage	EDI / FF Usage	Condition
<u>Validation Code</u> <u>(Val Cd)</u>	<u>Code that identifies errors/warnings.</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>nu</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>Required when Transaction Status Code indicates that header level errors exist.</u>

- ◆ Add the following code value descriptions for the Validation Code in the Confirmation Response:

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
<u>Invalid Confirming Party</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	
<u>Missing Confirming Party</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	
<u>Invalid Confirmation Requester</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	
<u>Missing Confirmation Requester</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	
<u>Missing Transaction Identifier</u>	<u>[no definition necessary]</u>	

The motion passed with no opposition.

Next Meeting Date: November 18, 1999



Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

Attendance List:

		R96115	R98089
Pipelines:			
Bill Griffith	Colorado Interstate Gas	In Favor	absent
Betty Barnum	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line	In Favor	absent
Mark Gracey	Tennessee Gas Pipeline	In Favor	In Favor
Dale Davis	Williams	In Favor	In Favor
Jim Keisler	Williams	not voting	not voting
Tammy Hopkins	Florida Gas Transmission	In Favor	In Favor
Mary Draemer	TransWestern	In Favor	In Favor
Stephanie LeCureaux	ANR	In Favor	In Favor
Twyla Strogon	CNG	In Favor	absent
Marcy McCain	Algonquin Gas Transmission	In Favor	In Favor
Kim Van Pelt	CMS Trunkline Gas Company	not voting	not voting
Fred Cumminger	Texas Eastern	In Favor	In Favor
Trish Meigs	Texas Eastern	not voting	not voting
Julie Unruh	Koch Gateway	Abstain	In Favor
Sally Turley	El Paso	In Favor	absent
Tammy Lee-Jaquet		absent	In Favor
End Users:			
Diane McVicker	Salt River Project	In Favor	In Favor
Tina Patton	Boeing	In Favor	In Favor
Services:			
Leigh Spangler	Lattitude	In Favor	Abstain