

# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002  
Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com  
Home Page: www.gisb.org

---

**TO:** Business Practices Subcommittee Chairs, Interested Industry Participants  
**FROM:** Rae McQuade, Executive Director  
**RE:** Final Minutes from the Business Practices Subcommittee Meeting  
**DATE:** May 25, 2000

---

## 1. Administrative

Mr. Lander chaired the meeting, welcomed the participants and gave the anti-trust advice. The agenda was adopted as posted.

The modified red-line Draft Minutes of 5/18/2000 were adopted as final.

## 2. R98062 – CIG

### Text of IR Question:

At its meeting on April 10 - 11, 2000, the Information Requirements (IR) subcommittee discussed request **R98062** from Colorado Interstate Gas relating to a Request for a Specific Park/Loan Deal, and determined to send the following question regarding the request to BPS.

During the processing of R98062, IR raised the issue of whether a shipper's request for a specific Park/Loan deal requires EDI implementation. There was discussion that this process may be inappropriate for implementation in EDI because there are few data elements associated with this process and it is used infrequently. IR asks that BPS consider this information and instruct IR as to the appropriate course of action in processing this request.

**Discussion:** The discussion picked up where it had left off the previous week.

**Motion:** BPS recommends that request R98062 having to do with a shipper's request for a specific Park/Loan deal be declined, as the practice does not require standardization at this time.

Moved by Kim Van Pelt and seconded by Theresa Hess.  
Motion Passed on a balance vote of 3.33 to 0.67, the voting record appears with the attendance list as Vote 1.

## 3. R97019 Noram – for discussion and possible vote

**Request:** Add looping of the N1 data element within the nominations to enable communication of provider, supplier, provider contract, etc.

# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

---

**Discussion:** Kim Van Pelt offered that CMS supports the request and needs the data element because of their Off-shore. In the case of Trunkline, they use the upstream Identifier to communicate the identity of the producer. They have a place on their EBB to capture the identity of the seller of the gas. Questions to be posed to Andy Brady of Noram are: Are you still interested in pursuing the request, if not, are you withdrawing it and that if you are withdrawing it, CMS - Panhandle Pipeline Companies would consider adopting it. Other questions were:

Who is the Provider contract between?

Is it the provider and some other seller which may or may not be the supplier?,

Is it the OBA or other contract with the TSP?

Likewise, is the Supplier contract, the gas sales contract between the Supplier and the Shipper sending the nomination?

Is it the contract with the provider?

What if there are multiple parties between the working interest owner and the shipper.

Do you need this information at all receipt locations?

Does the presence of the DUNS+4 mean that you really do want DUN+4?

It was decided to postpone further processing of the request until at least the next meeting. Kim Van Pelt was going to visit with Reliant (successor to Noram).

#### 4. **Category 3 - Capacity Priority for Scheduling** - for possible discussion only

- **A R97070 – El Paso**

Todd Hudson explained the request and identified that the existing code value No.48 – Authorized point overrun probably works for the Overrun Fronthaul. They believe that they need the back-haul and short haul. A great deal of discussion took place about the meaning of the terms and seeking clarification of what the business practices were. In response to a line of questions it was determined that these codes made no real difference in the nomination process. It was determined that there was no practical way that the shipper could cause a nomination that was not one of these type to become one of these types by sending one of these Transaction types. The fact that a transaction was one of these types of overrun was due to the contract and the location not the information as to a transaction type from the shipper. It was determined that there seemed to be a number of cases where additional information was required. The questions are below. El Paso is asked to come back to the next meeting and provide further information.

The committee asked Todd Hudson of El Paso to find the answer to a number of questions. These were: Find out what difference it might make

# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

---

to have this information in the nomination. Can a nomination which is not a back-haul become a back-haul if nominated with the back-haul transaction type.

Is El Paso seeking to communicate to the shipper earlier than the SQ time, the fact that EP is interpreting a nom as one of these types of nominations (i.e., at the nomination Quick Response stage)?

If the shipper sends a quantity of 10 and the allowable amount is 8. Is El Paso going to generate a reduction reason on the nomination of 10 reducing it to 8 and generate a new line item (new transaction) for the 2 with a transaction type, or a reduction reason, or what.

If all 10 is overrun but communicated in the nom as current business, does that make a difference?

We will return to this item at the next BPS meeting.

- R97082 – Florida Gas
  - R00006 – Southern Natural
  - R00003 – Great Lakes
- None of these items were discussed

## 5. Next meeting and agenda

1. Administrative:
2. R97019 Noram – for discussion only
3. Category 3 - Capacity Priority for Scheduling - for discussion and possible vote
  - A. R97070 – El Paso
4. Capacity Priority for Scheduling - for possible discussion
  - R97082 – Florida Gas
  - R00006 – Southern Natural
  - R00003 – Great Lakes
5. Next meeting and agenda
6. Adjourn

The meeting Adjourned at 4:35 Central Time

Next meeting is June 1st, 2000

# Gas Industry Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 4925, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: gisb@aol.com

Home Page: www.gisb.org

## Meeting Attendees and Voting Record

<u>Segment</u>	<u>Company</u>	<u>Voting</u>	<u>GISB</u>	<u>Vote 1</u>	<u>Vote 2</u>	<u>Vote 3</u>
<u>Member</u>						
<b>Pipelines:</b>						
Dale Davis	Williams Gas Pipeline	X	X	Yes		
Stephanie LeCureaux	ANR Pipeline	X	X	Yes		
Todd Hudson	El Paso Natural Gas	X	X	Yes		
Theresa Hess	Enron – Transwestern	X	X	Yes		
Bill Griffith	CIG	X	X	Yes		
Stephanie Marks	Dominion Transmission			Abstain		
Roy Beck	Great Lakes Gas	X	X	Yes		
Tony Pauza	Great Lakes Gas		X			
Fred Cummingner	Texas Eastern	X	X	Yes		
<b>Kim Van Pelt</b>	<b>CMS-Panhandle</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	Yes		
Jim Keisler	Williams Gas Pipeline		X			
<b>Services:</b>						
Debra Stubblefield	Corocoran Law Office			Yes		
<b>Greg Lander</b>	<b>CapacityCenter.com</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	No		
Mark Scheel	Dynegy, Inc	X	X	Abstain		
Randy Young	Koch Midstream	X	X	Yes		
<b>Producers:</b>						
<b>End Users:</b>						
<b>LDC's:</b>						

**Bold signifies a BPS Chair**