

# North American Energy Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 3625, Houston, Texas 77002  
Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: naesb@aol.com  
Home Page: www.naesb.org

---

**TO: Business Practices Subcommittee Chairs, Interested Industry Participants**  
**FROM: Rae McQuade, Executive Director**  
**RE: Final Minutes from the Business Practices Subcommittee Meeting, May 8, 2002**  
**DATE: June 6, 2002**

---

## 1. Administrative

Ms. Van Pelt opened the meeting at 9:00 a.m. CCT and gave the anti-trust advice. Participants at the meeting and on the call introduced themselves. The agenda was adopted as amended to modify the discussion of item R98041 to discussion and possible vote and the discussion of item R02005 to discussion and possible vote.

## 2. Review of the following Information Requirements Subcommittee Questions for Discussion and Possible Vote (see memos posted on bottom of BPS web page)

Regarding R96056 \_\_\_\_\_ Producer Imbalance, memo dated 4/19/2002

From the Memo:

At its meeting on April 16-17, 2002, the Information Requirements (IR) subcommittee discussed request R96056 from ExxonMobil. This requests the development of a new data set to accommodate the sending of a Producer Imbalance Statement. During IR's discussion, it was determined to send the following question to BPS and the following motion was made:

Motion:

Question to BPS:

As a result of processing requests R96056, Information Requirements (IR) is developing the Producer Imbalance Statement dataset. As a part of this, IR is developing EDI/Flat File and EBB usages. Should there be data groupings and/or data element ordering for this new dataset?

Ms. Davis gave additional information on the request and discussion was held as to the pros and cons of data ordering and data grouping of the Producer Imbalance Statement. After discussion, the following motion was made:

### **motion**

BPS instructs the Information Requirements Subcommittee that for the Producer Imbalance Statement in R96056, data groupings and data element ordering is not necessary at this time.

**motion passes (balanced 7-0)**

Regarding R96009 \_\_\_\_\_ Request for Scheduled Quantity, memo date 4/19/2002

From the Memo:

At its meeting on March 19 – 20, 2002, the Information Requirements (IR) subcommittee discussed request R96009 from ANR and TransCapacity. This request involves the ability for a shipper to request a Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5). During IR's discussion, it was determined to send the following question to BPS and the following motion was made:

# North American Energy Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 3625, Houston, Texas 77002  
Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: naesb@aol.com  
Home Page: www.naesb.org

---

## Motion:

Send the following question to BPS concerning R96009: In the processing of R96009, IR has determined that the best implementation would be to utilize the Request for Information that was developed for requesting Allocation information and/or Shipper Imbalance information. It should be noted that the Request for Information had not been developed at the time that R96009 was submitted.

Standards 2.3.36 through 2.3.39 set forth guidelines for the support of the Request for Information for requesting Allocation information and/or Shipper Imbalance information and timeframes for replying to such requests.

Should there be similar guidelines for Scheduled Quantity information?

It was discussed that the Request for Information data sets could be used to accommodate the business need in the R96009 request. This data already accommodates the request for an Allocation and the request for a Shipper Imbalance. It was also discussed that standards could be created to mirror 2.3.36, 2.3.37, 2.3.38, and 2.3.39 for purposes of requesting scheduled quantities. After discussion, it was proposed that these standards be deleted and that new standards be created to accommodate the request of all three documents. Ms. Davis volunteered to do this work and put together a workpaper for the next meeting.

### 3. Review of the following Business Practices Requests:

#### R98041                      Columbia Gas    Discussion and Possible Vote

This request was originally sent from EII to IR with instructions for implementation. IR had questions about the instructions and sent a memo to BPS on April 26, 2000 (see memo posted on bottom of BPS web page). The questions asked were considered by BPS, but then forwarded to the Interpretations Subcommittee (IS) for interpretation of Standard 1.1.3 regarding super nominations. The Interpretations Subcommittee interpreted the standard and made a recommendation (see clarification request C00003) in interpretation 7.3.47. Request R98041 was then sent back to BPS for disposition. The request then “fell through the cracks”.

The original issue and the IS interpretation were discussed and the following motion was made:

#### **motion**

In response to the Information Requirements (IR) Subcommittee's question, BPS instructs IR to refer to interpretation 7.3.47 contained in NAESB WGQ Version 1.5.

**motion passes (balanced vote 7-0)**

#### R02003                      Tennessee Gas Pipeline    Discussion only

Mr. Gracey explained the request, which is requesting a new Capacity Release data element - Discount Indicator – Non-Reservation. According to the request, this element will be used to indicate if the Releasing Shipper intends for non-reservation discounts associated with a contract to be passed to the replacement shipper.

This request will be placed on the next agenda for discussion and possible vote.



# North American Energy Standards Board

1100 Louisiana, Suite 3625, Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 356-0060, Fax: (713) 356-0067, E-mail: naesb@aol.com

Home Page: www.naesb.org

---

## Meeting Attendees and Voting Record

---

<b>Attendee</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>05/08 (nv) – not voting</b>	<b>NAESB Member?</b>
<b>Pipelines:</b>			
<b>Kim Van Pelt</b>	<b>CMS-Panhandle Eastern</b>	√	√
Bill Griffith	Colorado Interstate Gas	√	√
Randy Young	Gulf South Pipeline	√	√
Mark Gracey	Tennessee Gas Pipeline	√	√
Dale Davis	Williams Gas Pipeline	√	√
Christopher Burden	Williams Gas Pipeline	√ (nv)	√
<b>Services:</b>			
Mark Scheel	Dynegy Inc	√	√
<b>Producers:</b>			
Richard Smith	Exxon/Mobil	√	√
<b>End Users:</b>			
<b>Tina Burnett</b>	<b>Boeing</b>	√	√
<b>LDCs:</b>			
<b>Mike Novak</b>	<b>National Fuel Gas Dist.</b>	√	√
Audrey Bragg	Washington Gas	√	√
<b>Associations:</b>			
<b>GISB Office:</b>			

**Bold signifies a BPS Chair**