

To: Business Practices Subcommittee Chairs

From: Jim Keisler, Co-Chair—Information Requirements Subcommittee

Subject: Issue Regarding R98031 and R98035B--CBE Election

Date: February 1, 2000

At its meeting on January 10 – 12, 2000, the Information Requirements (IR) subcommittee discussed requests R98031 and R98035B. Could you please consider the following questions which were raised during that discussion and respond at your earliest convenience?

1. Question to Business Practices Subcommittee regarding R98031:

Standard # 105 states: “The data elements should accommodate confirmation by exception. If a Transportation Service Provider chooses to support confirmation by exception, the GISB standard data sets should accommodate it.”

In regards to **Standard #105**, an analysis by Information Requirements of the current Confirmation transaction sets indicates that those transaction sets do accommodate the business practice of confirmation by exception. However, IR has the following question:

Is the business practice of sending the election to initiate or terminate confirmation by exception suitable for EDI?

2. Questions to Business Practices Subcommittee regarding R98035B:

The Business Practices Subcommittee (BPS) at their November 18, 1999 meeting declined **R98035A**. BPS decided that the business practice of sending pre-limit quantities should not be standardized. IR noted in its November 2, 1999 memo that pre-limit quantities are sent infrequently. EII, however, indicated that a pre-limit quantity should be accommodated in the to be developed Confirmation by Exception Election data set.

Since BPS indicated in its response to **R98035A** that pre-limit quantities should not be standardized, should IR address pre-limit quantities pursuant to **R98035B**?