

**GISB Allocation Grid Drafting Team  
November 6 - 7, 1997,  
Resulting Notes**

**November 6, 1997**

1. Welcome, introductions and housekeeping by Dale Davis
2. Anti-trust guidelines were reviewed by Jim Buccigross.
3. Continuation of work on filling in the "allocation and PDA grid" file

In the case of "mixed" allocations, the group will deal with only the one method at a time in determining usage of data elements.

Where Flow Direction is BC on the PDA grids, some need it to be supplied; others do not need it. Therefore, usage is BC. Condition description: "This field is used by TSP's who require this information (e.g. those who allow the PDA submitter to specify the direction of flow for allocation purposes).

Where limit value is BC on the PDA grids, Condition column text is "May be sent when the TSP supports limit values on this allocation."

Adjourned at 5:00 PM. To continue November 7'th at 8:30 AM.

**November 7, 1997**

Continuation of work on filling in the "allocation and PDA grid" file

Later determined flow direction is not applicable to any of the 19(x) or 20(x) PDA grids.

Group could not determine applicability or usage of 19(3) and 20(3) on the PDA grids. We will skip it at this point pending a display of applicability.

19(4) Group believed this was only applicable where the upstream party is allocating his own contracts and not other upstream contracts.

20(4) Group believed this was only applicable where the downstream party is allocating his own contracts and not other downstream contracts.

25, 21 and 22 Group believed these were only applicable to pathed models

- 13 Group believed that for PDA grid, it was the same as 11(2) and that it was only applicable to the Pathed Non-threaded model.

15 Group believed that for PDA grid, it was the same as 12(2) and that it was only applicable to the Pathed Non-threaded model.

14(1) and 14(2) Group believed that this is not applicable for PDA grid

7 and 9 The group did not complete the PDA grid for these due to lack of understanding as to how they work.

There was one participant that wanted it noted that multiple levels within a given allocation statement or PDA document. There was no sense of the room taken.

1(1) through 2(3) The group reviewed the underlying principles to these levels. Upon further review, it was thought that the PDAs for these levels were covered by 3 and 4 under the Non-Pathed models. This will be further examined by the sponsors for final resolution at a later meeting.

It was determined that the next step was for the chairs to compress the matrix where determinable. The compressed matrix, along with the full matrix will be made available prior to the next meeting.

Jim Buccigross will make a copy of the updated work-in progress matrix available via E-mail.

(If you need a current copy of the file of the workpaper in progress please e-mail Jim Buccigross at [legaljb@tcapserv.com](mailto:legaljb@tcapserv.com) for a copy.)

Scheduling of next meeting: The chairs will schedule the next meeting, for some time in December which will be determined and posted on the GISB home page.

The meeting adjourned at 4:35 PM.