

**GISB Allocation Grid Drafting Team Meeting**

**Monday October 5, 1998  
Conference Call  
Draft Minutes**

The conference call was called to order at 9:00 AM

Welcome, introductions, housekeeping and anti-trust warning – Dale Davis

Agenda adopted as written.

Draft Minutes for meeting of August 28, 1998 adopted as written.

**MOTION:** Correct the name of the Transaction Type Code added 7/6/98 by the Allocation Grid Drafting Team for use in the PDA and Allocation, from “Loan Withdrawal” to “Loan Payback”.

**Sense of room:** Passed Unanimously

**MOTION:** By action taken April 1, 1998 by the AGDT, the following error message code values were erroneously added for the PDA and the Allocation documents:

- Missing delivery location
- Invalid delivery location
- Delivery location invalid for statement type code
- Missing statement type code
- Invalid statement type code
- Statement type code not supported by TSP
- Missing receipt location
- Invalid receipt location
- Receipt location invalid for PDA statement type code

It is hereby noted that they should be deleted from such.

Additionally, the following code values should be added for the validation code errors for the PDA Quick Response.

<b>Business Name</b>	<b>Usage</b>	<b>Code Value</b>	<b>Code Value Description</b>	<b>Code Value Definition</b>
Validation Code Errors	C		<i>Missing delivery location</i>	<i>(no definition necessary)</i>
			<i>Invalid delivery location</i>	<i>(no definition necessary)</i>
			<i>Delivery location invalid for PDA statement type code</i>	<i>(no definition necessary)</i>
			<i>Missing PDA statement type code</i>	<i>No definition necessary</i>
			<i>Invalid PDA statement type code</i>	<i>(no definition necessary)</i>
			<i>PDA statement type code not supported by TSP</i>	<i>(no definition necessary)</i>
			<i>Missing receipt location</i>	<i>(no definition necessary)</i>
			<i>Invalid receipt location</i>	<i>(no definition necessary)</i>
			<i>Receipt location invalid for PDA statement type code</i>	<i>(no definition necessary)</i>

**Sense of the Room:** Passes Unanimously

Review workpapers to be included in a motion to transfer such to Information Requirements. The workpapers to be included are:

- Draft Recommendation Form for R96125A and R96131 for the Executive Committee to be completed by IR and Technical Subcommittees.

Note: The definition for Package ID in the PDA and Allocation data dictionaries is as shown in Version 1.3. This definition is different than in other data dictionaries and should be noted for future clean up.

- PDA Technical Implementation of Business Processes (PDATIBP) and PDA Sample Paper Transaction
- PDA Quick Response Sample Paper Transaction
- Allocation Statement Technical Implementation of Business Processes (Allocation TIBP) and Sample Paper Transaction
- Proposed recommendation on requests pending completion of Allocation Grid Drafting Team.

**MOTION:**

The Allocation Grid Drafting Team (AGDT) transfers the draft recommendation on Requests R96125A and R96131 to the Information Requirements Subcommittee (IR).

The AGDT notes that on 5/28/98 the Business Practices Subcommittee (BPS) transferred R98006 to IR with instructions. The BPS minutes state:

“A motion was made, seconded and passed to:

As instructions to Information Requirements Subcommittee:

Change the definition and condition of Allocation Rank Level data element to include use with the "swing" Allocation Method.”

As a result of these instructions, in the proposed modified PDA TIBP, the condition “C2”, may need to be modified to read “Mandatory when the allocation method is “Percentage”, or “Ranked” or “Swing”.

As a note to the Technical Subcommittee: With the addition of the Statement Type Code at the detail level, the Purchase Order Type Code (values A1, A2, A3) which is an ANSI data element but not a GISB data element will no longer be needed (minutes of April 1, 1998).

By the above actions, the AGDT believes the following requests are satisfied and no additional standards are necessary for the following:

Request Request Description [Requester]

R97036 Modify the GISB Implementation guides to reflect changes needed to support pathed non-threaded in the PDA and Allocation Statements  
[Koch and Natural]

R97087 Transco proposes that three (3) data elements be added to the PDA (Standard 2.4.1) and Allocation Statement (Standard 2.4.4) datasets. These data elements are part of the transaction key as defined in Standard 1.3.27. Accordingly, they need to be available in the PDA and Allocation Statement datasets to describe transactions unambiguously (in a multi-level allocation system, to describe transactions at the detail level unambiguously). The data elements and their suggested usage codes are as follows:

- -Receipt Location C (conditional) on Statement Type, Model Type, Quantity Type Indicator
- -Delivery Location C (conditional) on Statement Type, Model Type, Quantity Type Indicator
- -Transaction Type C (conditional) on Statement Type [Transco]

R98001 Modify the usage or condition of Associated Contract in the PDA and Allocation Statement. The current condition states "Mandatory when submitted in the nomination and Associated Contract is not used for storage balancing." This implies that an Associated Contract that is used for storage cannot be in the PDA or Allocation Statement. Since a storage contract may be part of the allocation process, it is necessary to allow an Associated Contract that is used for storage balancing to be in the PDA and Allocation Statement.  
[Columbia Gas]

**Sense of the Room:** Passes Unanimously

Review of next steps: Send above listed documents to Information Requirements.

Next meeting date: November 9, 1998 to address issues identified by IR, if any.

Call adjourned at 10:30 AM