

## GISB Allocation Grid Drafting Team (AGDT) Meeting

Monday July 6, 1998  
Transco Tower  
Final Minutes

Welcome, introductions and housekeeping reviewed by Dale Davis

Anti-trust warning reviewed by Jim Buccigross

The agenda was adopted.

The Draft Minutes for the May 13, 1998 and June 5, 1998 meetings were approved with modifications.

Outstanding Items for R96131, et al:

- **Completed the review of revisions to the grids and the data dictionaries** (posted as work products from the June 5, 1998 meeting.)
  - **Associated Contract** – review of the proposed condition  
The history of the creation of the data element “Associated Contract” was reviewed. It was originally added as “Balancing Contract” in Jan. 1997 (R96023) as MA in the Nomination and C in Scheduled Quantity with the condition based on if it is present in the Nomination. In Feb. 1997, the Executive Committee reviewed the recommendations for R96016 to add another new data element “Reference Contract” to the nominations related data sets. It was decided at that time to not add another new data element but instead to go back to the data element “Balancing Contract” which had been approved in January 1997 in R96023 and rename the data element to “Associated Contract” so it could be used in multiple ways. In so doing, the condition was subsequently modified in the PDA and the Allocation Statement from MA to C with the condition:  
“Mandatory when submitted in the nomination and when Associated Contract is not used for Storage Balancing.”

### MOTION 1:

For data element “Associated Contract”:

- PDA data dictionary: Leave usage as C with condition “Based upon the usage defined by the Statement Type.”
- PDA Grid: for Statement Types 13 – 17 change the usage from MA to C5 which says: “Mandatory when submitted and mutually agreed to in the nomination and Associated Contract is not used for storage balancing.”
- Allocation Statement data dictionary: Leave usage as C with condition “Based upon the usage defined by the Statement Type.”
- Allocation Grid: for Statement Types 8R – 13, change the usage from MA to C4 which says: “Mandatory when submitted and mutually agreed to in the nomination and Associated Contract is not used for storage balancing.”

### Discussion:

The group discussed the proposed conditions above and the purpose of R98001 (deferred by BPS on 3/20/98 until after AGDT) and determined that the proposed language above does not conflict with the intent of the R98001 request. The requester of R98001 stated that so long as the language does not preclude the use of storage contracts on the nomination, they indicated their needs were met.

Sense of room on Motion 1: No opposition.

**GISB Allocation Grid Drafting Team (AGDT) Meeting**

**Monday July 6, 1998**

**Transco Tower**

**Final Minutes**

- **Clean up of errors and warnings**

**MOTION 2:**

**Clean up the following errors and warning messages to the PDA Quick Response previously agreed to at AGDT 4/28/98:**

- (1) In the PDA Quick Response for data elements in the PDA which are conditioned on the statement type code used: Need to change warning message from "(data element name) not used for chosen allocation method " to read "(data element name) not used for chosen Statement Type Code"

Data elements impacted:

Allocation Rank Level  
Downstream Contract Identifier  
Downstream Identifier Code  
Downstream Package ID  
Package ID  
Service Provider's Activity Code  
Service Requester ID  
Service Requester Contract  
Upstream Contract Identifier  
Upstream Identifier Code  
Upstream Package ID  
Associated Contract

- (2) **Delivery Location** and **Receipt Location** (if sent and not required by the statement type code chosen to be sent - No error message needed for this type of situation):

Warning:

ADD "(data element name) not used for chosen Statement Type Code"

- (3) **Bid Transportation Rate:** On April 28, the AGDT deleted the existing 2 errors and 1 warning related to Bid Transportation Rate. On June 5, AGDT decided to include the data element. Now need to reinstate the errors and warning and change the warning to read "Bid Transportation Rate not used for chosen Statement Type Code."

**(4) Transaction Type**

Error:

ADD Description: Invalid Transaction Type  
Definition: No definition necessary

ADD Description: Missing Transaction Type  
Definition: No definition necessary

Warning:

ADD Description: Transaction Type not used for chosen statement type code  
Definition: No definition necessary

Sense of room on Motion 2: No opposition

## GISB Allocation Grid Drafting Team (AGDT) Meeting

Monday July 6, 1998

Transco Tower

Final Minutes

- **Complete clean-up of draft workpapers:**

### **MOTION 3:**

**Additional Clean-Up / Typos to draft workpapers as follows:**

#### **(1) PDA Grid –**

**Transaction Type Code** – delete “code”

**PDA Submitter’s Tracking ID** – add to Sub-detail as Mandatory (R96125B – Vers. 1.3)

#### **(2) Allocation Grid –**

**Transaction Type Code** – delete “code”

delete lines (UD) / (UR) as previously agreed

#### **(3) PDA Data Dictionary**

**Allocation Rank Level** – add “etc” and delete “and following” – see the errata R96038 from 8/97

**Bid Transportation Rate** - previously agreed that usage should be C with condition “Based upon the usage defined in the statement type code”

**Package ID** – add condition – “Based upon the usage in the statement type code”

**PDA Submitter’s Tracking ID** – in Version 1.3 it will be mandatory. Use the same definition from the recommendation where it was approved (R96125B):

“This is created by the originator of the process. It is line item specific and is used by the originator of the process to tie the PDA Quick Response to the PDA. It is not validated by the receiver of the process nor is it a key in the receiver of the process’ data base. The receiver of the process will not track this identifier but merely echo it back in the response document. This identifier is used for EDI only and will not be added to EBBs. This data element contains alpha-numeric data.”

**Throughout the conditions:** change “Statement Type” to no initial cap

#### **(4) Allocation Statement Data Dictionary**

**Operational Quantity:** condition – change “accessed” to “assessed”

**Receipt Point** – should be Receipt Location \*

**Service Request Contract** – strike out first two sentences in condition

**Service Requester ID** - strike out first two sentences in condition

**Upstream Contract Identifier** - strike out first sentence in condition

**Throughout the conditions** - change “Statement Type” to no initial cap

Sense of room on Motion 3: No opposition

- **Code value for “swing” to PDA Allocation Rank Level**

The group reviewed R98006 which BPS 5/29/98 approved and sent to IR. The AGDT decided to make a note for the recommendation that AGDT sends to IR that the condition on the PDA Grid (condition C2) may need to be changed as follows:

Mandatory when the allocation Method is “Percentage”, ~~or~~ “Ranked” or “Swing.”

- **Operator Provided Value**

Subsequent to the last AGDT meeting, the E.C. met and reviewed the actions taken to determine the impact on what the AGDT is doing.

## GISB Allocation Grid Drafting Team (AGDT) Meeting

Monday July 6, 1998

Transco Tower

Final Minutes

R96034 - June 11, 1998 the E.C. added "Operator Provided Value" as a code for data element Allocation Method in PDA 2.4.1. (out for ratification due 7/20/98) with the following language:

Definition of "Operator Provided Value" in the TIBP in the PDA Implementation Guide (2.4.1) is:

A mutually agreed upon allocation methodology that indicates that the operator will provide a quantity for the subject transaction(s) for use in the allocation.

C97009 – June 11, 1998 E.C. approved definitions of original 4 allocation methods (rank, pro rata, swing and percentage) (out for ratification due 7/20/98)

Based on the above, it was noted that the currently proposed draft PDA data dictionary needs to be modified. The language previously developed for the PDA data dictionary for the data elements Allocation Rank Level and Limit Value includes "...otherwise not used" at the end of the condition. Discussion concluded that this phrase was not appropriate.

Sub detail error – "no match for combination of data elements as provided"

Sub detail warning – "allocation rank level not used for chosen allocation method"

No additional errors or warnings needed if send this and Pro Rata.

### **Motion 4:**

**On the PDA data dictionary (2.4.1), referring back to the motion on May 13, 1998, modify the condition for Allocation Rank Level and Limit Value to read:**

**Mandatory when the Allocation Method is "Percentage" or "Ranked" (delete phrase 'otherwise, not used.')**

Sense of room on Motion 4: no opposition

### **Motion 5:**

**No changes need to be made to the draft PDA and Allocation Statement grids or data dictionaries to accommodate Operator Provided Value.**

Sense of room on Motion 5: no opposition

**GISB Allocation Grid Drafting Team (AGDT) Meeting**

**Monday July 6, 1998  
Transco Tower  
Final Minutes**

- **Create code values for Transaction Type for the PDA and Allocation Statement –**  
Using the code values log dated 6/11/98 Pages 29 – 30

**Motion 6: List of code values for data element Transaction Type in the PDA and Allocation Statement as follows: (“X” means Yes)**

Transaction Type	PDA	Allocation Statement	Existing Code Value
Current Business	X	X	01
Authorized Contract Overrun	X	X	02
Imbalance Payback from Transportation Service Provider	X	X	03
Imbalance Payback to Transportation Service Provider	X	X	04
Plant Thermal Reduction	X	X	05
Storage Injection	X	X	06
Storage Withdrawal	X	X	07
Pooling	X	X	08
Imbalance transfer	No *	No *	09
Cashout	No	No	10
Storage Inventory Transfer	No	X	11
Authorized Injection Overrun	X	X	12
Authorized Withdrawal Overrun	X	X	13
Extended Receipt / Delivery Service	X	X	14
Park	X	X	26
Park Withdrawal	X	X	27
Loan	X	X	28
Loan Withdrawal	X	X	29
Meter Bounce	X	X	31
Storage Inventory Cycling	X	X	41
Authorized Point Overrun	X	X	48
Gathering	No	No	49
Unauthorized Overrun	No	X	50
Capacity Release	No	No	51
TSP Deficiency Credit	X	X	52
SR Deficiency Credit	X	X	53

\* issue identified for resolution in Imbalance Task Force  
Sense of room on Motion 6: No opposition

- **Continue review Technical Implementation of Business Processes (TIBP) for PDA, PDA Quick Response and Allocation Statement and incorporate changes into other TIBP changes which have been approved since issuance of Version 1.2**

**TIBP – PDA - Workpaper –** Re-draft of PDA TIBP which includes changes to be reflected in Version 1.3 (assuming all changes ratified through 7/20/98)

**TIBP - PDA Quick Response -** TIBP which includes changes to be reflected in Version 1.3 – reviewed and no changes necessary.

**TIBP – Allocation Statement –** postponed discussion until next meeting

## GISB Allocation Grid Drafting Team (AGDT) Meeting

Monday July 6, 1998

Transco Tower

Final Minutes

- **Determine appropriate Statement Type Code descriptions and definitions –**

Discussion on whether or not the statement type codes reflected on the PDA grid and Allocation grid should be used as the descriptions and no definitions are necessary. The thought is that any given statement type code merely reflects the combination of data elements that can be used for various business practices in use today. Each TSP should indicate to its trading partners which statement type codes it supports in the PDA and Allocation Statement.

**Motion 7:**

**The code value descriptions for the data element Statement Type Code on the PDA and Allocation Statement should use the names identified on the PDA grid and the Allocation Statement grid, respectively, with a definition for each as ‘no definitions necessary.’**

Sense of room – no opposition.

**Review of next steps**

TIBP - Allocation Statement

Sample Paper Transactions for PDA, PDA Quick Response and Allocation Statement

**The next meeting** will be August 11, 1998 from 8:30 – 4:30 – tentatively at Koch’s new offices in Greenway Plaza. If not at Koch, it will be held at Transco.

Try to schedule final meeting as conference call for Aug 26 from 9 – noon pending verification with the GISB office. [note: subsequent to the 7/6/98 meeting , the GISB office verified the date / time availability]

The meeting adjourned at 4:55.