

GISB Allocation Grid Drafting Team Meeting

Friday, June 5, 1998
Transco Tower
Final Minutes

- Welcome, introductions, housekeeping and anti-trust warning reviewed by Dale Davis.
- The draft agenda was adopted.
- The review of the draft minutes for meeting of May 13, 1998 was deferred until the next meeting.
- Outstanding Items for R96131, et al:
 - Continue review of workpapers – Revised Allocation Statement and PDA Grids
 - a) Do we need to include the UR / UD on either of the grids? No, we don't want to confuse the issue and have it appear as a new data element or data element usage code. It was info we used for initial grid formulation only. Don't include anything in TIBP either.
 - b) On PDA - Allocation Rank Level – there's a request just sent to IR to add "swing" so may need to adjust.
 - c) Also need to address the proposed additional allocation method "Operator Provided Value" after next weeks E.C. meeting.
 - d) Where the Service Providers Activity Code is MA, you need to have the transaction type as mandatory. Example, it would distinguish between a current month versus make-up. Transaction type has also been added to invoice. Need to allow for the PDA and allocation to differentiate similarly. Needed for all transactions at the nom level.

Motion:

Add the data element Transaction Type to the PDA and Allocation Statement grids wherever the data being communicated is at the nomination level. This means that on the grids, the transaction type should be mandatory for the following statement type codes:

PDA: statement type codes 13 – 17

Allocation Statement: statement type codes 9R, 9D, 11R, 11D, 12R, 12D, and 13.

In the data dictionary, the usage would be conditional with the condition "Based upon statement type code."

Sense of Room: Unanimous in favor

- Review revised data dictionaries
 1. Based on the previous AGDT motions and conditions, and incorporated them into the Version 1.2 PDA data dictionary. A workpaper reflecting this was reviewed and changes made as follows.
 2. Determined that we need to research why the usage of Associated Contract was "C" when it was originally added before we finalize the usage and condition for this data element.
 3. Re-considered deletion of data element Bid Transportation Rate.

GISB Allocation Grid Drafting Team Meeting

Friday, June 5, 1998
Transco Tower
Final Minutes

4. Reviewed the condition for the variable data elements which was formulated at the May 13 AGDT meeting.

Motion:

Delete the AGDT previous motion of April 1, 1998 that deleted the data element "Bid Transportation Rate" from the PDA grid. Bid Transportation Rate should be included in the following statement types:

PDA: statement type codes 13 – 17

Allocation Statement: Statement Type codes 9R, 9D, 11R, 11D, 12R, 12D, and 13.

On the PDA and Allocation Statement grids the usage should be Conditional – with the condition as "Mandatory when present and processed in the nomination." In the data dictionaries, the usage would be conditional with the condition "Based upon Statement Type code."

Sense of Room: Unanimous in favor

- Revised PDA and Allocation Statement grids will be posted as work products for this meeting.

Motion: Revise the PDA Data Dictionary as follows:

- Revise the usage from MA to C for the following data element:
 - "Package ID".
- Revise the usage from BC to C for the following data elements:
 - Downstream Contract Identifier
 - Downstream Identifier Code
 - Service Requester Contract
 - Service Requester ID
 - Upstream Contract Identifier
 - Upstream Identifier Code
- Add data element "Transaction Type"
 - usage: Conditional
 - Definition:

This field identifies the specific type of scheduling transaction. This field will be populated with GISB approved transaction types. For example: authorized overrun, imbalance payback to pipeline, imbalance payback from pipeline, plant thermal reduction, current business, pooling, injection, withdrawal. The default value is current business.
 - Code Values: need to create a list of code values
- Modify the condition for the PDA data dictionary as shown below for the following list of data elements:

Condition: "Based upon the usage defined by the Statement Type code."

Applicable to:

 - Bid Transportation Rate
 - Delivery Location
 - Downstream Contract Identifier
 - Downstream Identifier Code
 - Downstream Package ID
 - Package ID
 - Receipt Location
 - Service Requester Contract

GISB Allocation Grid Drafting Team Meeting

Friday, June 5, 1998
Transco Tower
Final Minutes

Service Requester ID
Transaction Type
Upstream Contract Identifier
Upstream Identifier Code
Upstream Package ID

Sense of the Room: Unanimous in favor

[NOTE: Statement Type code previously defined by recommendation for R96125
(4/22/97 BPS/IR)]

Motion: Revise the Allocation Statement Data Dictionary as follows

- Revise the usage from MA to C for the following data element :
 - "Package ID"
- Revise the usage from BC to C for the following data elements:
 - Downstream Contract Identifier
 - Downstream Identifier Code
 - Service Requester Contract
 - Service Requester ID
 - Upstream Contract Identifier
 - Upstream Identifier Code
- Add the data element "Bid Transportation Rate"
 - Usage: C
 - Definition : (use existing definition)
This field reflects the rate under which the shipper is requesting service
- Add data element "Transaction Type"
 - Usage: Conditional
 - Definition: (use existing definition)
This field identifies the specific type of scheduling transaction. This field will be populated with GISB approved transaction types. For example: authorized overrun, imbalance payback to pipeline, imbalance payback from pipeline, plant thermal reduction, current business, pooling, injection, withdrawal. The default value is current business.
 - Code Values: need to create a list of code values
- Modify the condition as indicated below for the following list of data elements:
Condition: "Based upon the usage defined by the Statement Type code."
Applicable to:
 - Bid Transportation Rate
 - Delivery Location
 - Downstream Contract Identifier
 - Downstream Identifier Code
 - Downstream Package ID
 - Package ID
 - Receipt Location
 - Service Requester Contract
 - Service Requester ID
 - Transaction Type
 - Upstream Contract Identifier
 - Upstream Identifier Code
 - Upstream Package ID

GISB Allocation Grid Drafting Team Meeting

Friday, June 5, 1998

Transco Tower

Final Minutes

- Modify the condition for Adjustment Type to:
Mandatory when the Statement Basis is "Revision"

Sense of the Room: Unanimous in favor

- Revised PDA and Allocation Statement data dictionaries to be posted as work products from this meeting.

7. Review of next steps

- Complete the review of revisions to the grids and the data dictionaries
- Create code values for Transaction Type for the PDA and Allocation Statement
- Continue review Technical Implementation of Business Processes (TIBP) for PDA, PDA Quick Response and Allocation Statement and incorporate changes into other TIBP changes which have been approved since issuance of Version 1.2.
- Determine appropriate Statement Type Code descriptions and definitions
- Review of requests referred to AGDT.

8. Next meeting date and location: Monday July 6 - 8:30 – 4:30 at Transco
Next meeting thereafter is tentatively set August 11 – 8:30 – 4:30 – possibly at Koch's new offices.

The meeting adjourned at 4:30 PM